

Author's Proof

Carefully read the entire proof and mark all corrections in the appropriate place, using the Adobe Reader commenting tools ([Adobe Help](#)). Do not forget to reply to the queries.

We do not accept corrections in the form of edited manuscripts.

In order to ensure the timely publication of your article, please submit the corrections within 48 hours.

If you have any questions, please contact science.production.office@frontiersin.org.

Author Queries Form

Q1	The citation and surnames of all of the authors have been highlighted. Please check all of the names carefully and indicate if any are incorrect. Please note that this may affect the indexing of your article in repositories such as PubMed.	
Q2	Confirm that the email address in your correspondence section is accurate.	
Q3	Verify that all the equations and special characters are displayed correctly.	
Q4	Ensure, if it applies to your study, the ethics statement is included in the article.	
Q5	Ensure to add all grant numbers and funding information, as after publication this is no longer possible.	
Q6	The author contribution section is mandatory and a standard statement has been inserted. Please edit as needed to accurately reflect the contribution of each author.	
Q7	Please provide the name of the department for "University of Lincoln, Lincoln, United Kingdom."	
Q8	Please add the following references to the reference list. "Giedd et al., 1999; Huttenlocher, 1990; Luna and Sweeney, 2004; Huber and Born, 2014."	
Q9	Please reduce short running title to maximum of five words.	



Commentary: Effects of Sleep on Word Pair Memory in Children—Separating Item and Source Memory Aspects

Jennifer M. **Johnson** and Simon J. **Durrant***

University of Lincoln, Lincoln, United Kingdom

Keywords: declarative memory consolidation, sleep, children, hippocampus, prefrontal cortex

A commentary on

Effects of Sleep on Word Pair Memory in Children—Separating Item and Source Memory Aspects

by Wang, J. Y., Weber, F. D., Zinke, K., Noack, H., and Born, J. (2017). *Front. Psychol.* 8:1533. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01533

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Peter Klaver,
University of Surrey, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Emma L. Axelsson,
Uppsala University, Sweden
Jenny Richmond,
University of New South Wales,
Australia

*Correspondence:

Simon J. Durrant
Sidurrant@lincoln.ac.uk

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Developmental Psychology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 08 December 2017

Accepted: 31 May 2018

Published: xx June 2018

Citation:

Johnson JM and Durrant SJ (2018)
Commentary: Effects of Sleep on
Word Pair Memory in
Children—Separating Item and Source
Memory Aspects.
Front. Psychol. 9:1022.
doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01022

Evidence of sleep-dependent memory consolidation in adults has become widespread in the last two decades (Rasch and Born, 2013). However, investigations of similar consolidation in children are still at an early stage (Maski et al., 2015).

Previous research has shown sleep-dependent memory consolidation in children on tasks such as lexical integration of novel words (Henderson et al., 2012) and spatial location learning (Kurdziel et al., 2013). However, this appears to be limited to declarative memory tasks; procedural learning tasks do not show the same sleep-related benefit in children that is seen in adults (Fischer et al., 2007; Wilhelm et al., 2008). Declarative memory tasks are strongly hippocampal-dependent (Squire, 1992), and consolidation of hippocampal memories is particularly associated with slow wave sleep (SWS) (Diekelmann et al., 2009; Born and Wilhelm, 2012). It has therefore been suggested that the large amount of SWS seen during childhood (Ohayon et al., 2004) is the reason declarative memories show sleep-dependent consolidation in children.

However, not all declarative memories are equal. In particular, a key distinction can be drawn between the memory of an object (item memory) and the context in which it was encountered (source memory). The recent paper by Wang et al. (2017) used a clever design to separate these two forms of memory, and examined their consolidation in children across an 11 h interval containing wake or sleep or a shorter 1 h wake interval, and compared this to adults with an 11 h sleep or 1 h wake interval. The design used two lists of word pairs and the innovation was to measure memory not only for the target words using cued recall (item memory), but also for memory of which list they came from (source memory). When comparing adults to children, a key finding was that memory for word pairs was lower after sleep in children (compared to before), but showed no reduction after sleep in adults (Figure 2B in the original paper). Interestingly, this turned out to be driven entirely by the word pairs without source memory; where the item and source had both been remembered, no difference was seen.

The authors interpreted their findings in the context of less distinct encoding in children leading to more rapid unbinding of items and sources. However, this does not explain why item-only memory declines across sleep in children but item-source memory remains intact, and why the opposite pattern (stronger consolidation across sleep of item-only memory compared to item-source memory) is seen in adults.

We believe that the influential model by Preston and Eichenbaum (2013) allows a more comprehensive framework in which to explain the findings. This model postulates the existence of a “what” stream of cortical processing from the dorsal hippocampus through the perirhinal cortex, and a “where” stream from the dorsal hippocampus through the parahippocampal cortex. Additionally, the ventral hippocampus connects to the medial prefrontal cortex (mPFC), where pre-existing schemata influence subsequent memory processing (Tse et al., 2007; van Kesteren et al., 2010, 2012), and exert a top-down influence on “what” facilitating segregation from “where.” Importantly, Eichenbaum (2014, 2017) has suggested that the “where” stream also processes temporal context information in a similar way, i.e., it may also operate as a “when” stream.

A key difference in the declarative memory systems of children and adults is that the density of hippocampal synapses in children reaches similar levels to adults within the first 6 months of life (Seress and Ábrahám, 2008), but the frontal lobes have a prolonged developmental course (Luna and Sweeney, 2004) with myelination (Giedd et al., 1999), synaptic density counts (Huttenlocher, 1990) and overall function of the prefrontal cortex not reaching adult levels until late adolescence (Huber and Born, 2014). In children we should therefore expect to see stronger bottom-up processing, and a reduced top-down influence of existing schemata, which would otherwise typically strengthen item (“what”) memory at the expense of list context (“where/when”). This is supported by previous evidence confirming that more rapid consolidation of schematic memories occurs during sleep and involves the mPFC (Durrant et al., 2015), and that sleep-dependent consolidation leads to a reduction in associated contextual information (Cairney et al., 2011).

For the Wang et al. (2017) study, greater decontextualization and consolidation during sleep in adults compared to children would show up as a bigger reduction in item-only memory in children and this is exactly what the authors found (Figure 2D).

REFERENCES

- Born, J., and Wilhelm, I. (2012). System consolidation of memory during sleep. *Psychol. Res.* 76, 192–203. doi: 10.1007/s00426-011-0335-6
- Cairney, S. A., Durrant, S. J., Musgrove, H., and Lewis, P. A. (2011). Sleep and environmental context: interactive effects for memory. *Exp. Brain Res.* 214, 83–92. doi: 10.1007/s00221-011-2808-7
- Diekelmann, S., Wilhelm, I., and Born, J. (2009). The whats and whens of sleep-dependent memory consolidation. *Sleep Med. Rev.* 13, 309–321. doi: 10.1016/j.smrv.2008.08.002
- Durrant, S. J., Cairney, S. A., McDermott, C., and Lewis, P. A. (2015). Schema-conformant memories are preferentially consolidated during REM sleep. *Neurobiol. Learn. Mem.* 122, 41–50. doi: 10.1016/j.nlm.2015.02.011
- Eichenbaum, H. (2014). Time cells in the hippocampus: A new dimension for mapping memories. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 732–744. doi: 10.1038/nrn3827
- Eichenbaum, H. (2017). Time (and space) in the hippocampus. *Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci.* 17, 65–70. doi: 10.1016/j.cobeha.2017.06.010
- Fischer, S., Wilhelm, I., and Born, J. (2007). Developmental differences in sleep’s role for implicit off-line learning: comparing children with adults. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 19, 214–227. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2007.19.2.214
- Henderson, L. M., Weighall, A. R., Brown, H., and Gareth Gaskell, M. (2012). Consolidation of vocabulary is associated with sleep in children. *Dev. Sci.* 15, 674–687. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7687.2012.01172.x

However, we would expect to see relatively little change across sleep for items with their original contexts intact in both children and adults, reflecting equal hippocampal development, and we see this too (Figure 2C). This argument is further strengthened by the fact that the relationship between SWS and memory consolidation seen in the study in children was limited to items which retained their context. It also explains why the children showed little sleep-dependent benefit for items which have lost their contextual cues (Figure 1F).

In children, memory for the lists themselves was also stronger after sleep compared to wake (Figure 1G), while in adults, no significant enhancement of list memory was seen (Supplementary Material). If memory for the lists makes use of the contextual stream, the absence of strong mPFC influence allows both streams to consolidate during sleep, whereas the top-down mPFC influence in adults strengthens the “what” stream at the expense of the “where/when” stream (hence list memory is not enhanced after sleep compared to wake in adults).

The neural basis of our interpretation could be tested in fMRI, where we predict that prefrontal activation will be stronger in adults during sleep and associated with item-only memory, while hippocampal activation would be associated with both item and source memory, but more strongly for items which retain their context.

The Wang et al. (2017) paper has shown the clear benefits of measuring different aspects of declarative memory in a single study. We hope this new interpretation will help further improve our understanding of different memory systems and stimulate research in this area.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors listed have made a substantial, direct and intellectual contribution to the work, and approved it for publication.

- Kurdziel, L., Duclos, K., and Spencer, R. M. (2013). Sleep spindles in midday naps enhance learning in preschool children. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 17267–17272. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1306418110
- Maski, K., Holbrook, H., Manoach, D., Hanson, E., Kapur, K., and Stickgold, R. (2015). Sleep dependent memory consolidation in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Sleep* 38, 1955–1963. doi: 10.5665/sleep.5248
- Ohayon, M. M., Carskadon, M. A., Guilleminault, C., and Vitiello, M. V. (2004). Meta-analysis of quantitative sleep parameters from childhood to old age in healthy individuals: developing normative sleep values across the human lifespan. *Sleep* 27, 1255–1273. doi: 10.1093/sleep/27.7.1255
- Preston, A. R., and Eichenbaum, H. (2013). Interplay of hippocampus and prefrontal cortex in memory. *Curr. Biol.* 23, R764–R773. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.041
- Rasch, B., and Born, J. (2013). About sleep’s role in memory. *Physiol. Rev.* 93, 681–766. doi: 10.1152/physrev.00032.2012
- Seress, L., and Ábrahám, H. (2008). “Pre- and postnatal morphological development of the human hippocampal formation,” in *Handbook of Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 2nd Edn, eds C. A. Nelson and M. Luciana (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press), 187–209.
- Squire, L. R. (1992). Declarative and nondeclarative memory: multiple brain systems supporting learning and memory. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 4, 232–243. doi: 10.1162/jocn.1992.4.3.232

229	Tse, D., Langston, R. F., Kakeyama, M., Bethus, I., Spooner, P. A., Wood, E. R., et al. (2007). Schemas and memory consolidation. <i>Science</i> 316, 76–82. doi: 10.1126/science.1135935	286
230		287
231	van Kesteren, M. T., Fernández, G., Norris, D. G., and Hermans, E. J. (2010). Persistent schema-dependent hippocampal-neocortical connectivity during memory encoding and postencoding rest in humans. <i>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.</i> 107, 7550–7555. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0914892107	288
232		289
233		290
234		291
235		292
236	van Kesteren, M. T., Ruiters, D. J., Fernández, G., and Henson, R. N. (2012). How schema and novelty augment memory formation. <i>Trends Neurosci.</i> 35, 211–219. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2012.02.001	293
237		294
238	Wang, J. Y., Weber, F. D., Zinke, K., Noack, H., and Born, J. (2017). Effects of sleep on word pair memory in children - separating item and source memory aspects. <i>Front. Psychol.</i> 8:1533. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01533	295
239		296
240		297
241		298
242		299
243		300
244		301
245		302
246		303
247		304
248		305
249		306
250		307
251		308
252		309
253		310
254		311
255		312
256		313
257		314
258		315
259		316
260		317
261		318
262		319
263		320
264		321
265		322
266		323
267		324
268		325
269		326
270		327
271		328
272		329
273		330
274		331
275		332
276		333
277		334
278		335
279		336
280		337
281		338
282		339
283		340
284		341
285		342

Wilhelm, I., Diekelmann, S., and Born, J. (2008). Sleep in children improves memory performance on declarative but not procedural tasks. *Learn. Mem.* 15, 373–377. doi: 10.1101/lm.803708

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Johnson and Durrant. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.