Do thinking styles play a role in whether people pathologise their pornography use?

Athena Duffy Trent Doctorate in Clinical Psychology, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

David L Dawson Trent Doctorate in Clinical Psychology, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, UK

Nima G Moghaddam Trent Doctorate in Clinical Psychology, University of Lincoln, Lincoln, UK

Roshan das Nair Trent Doctorate in Clinical Psychology, Division of Psychiatry & Applied Psychology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK; Institute of Mental Health, Triumph Road, Jubilee Campus, Nottingham, UK Correspondence roshan.dasnair@nottingham.ac.uk

ORCID icon <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8143-7893>

Received 19 Aug 2016

Accepted 26 Nov 2017

Published online: 22 Dec 2017

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14681994.2017.1412417>

Do thinking styles play a role in whether people pathologise their pornography use?

ABSTRACT

The concept of pornography addiction remains controversial and as such there are no diagnostic criteria. Despite this, individuals present to services with self-perceived problematic pornography use. Current treatment generally focuses on the pornography use, yet people's relationship with pornography is complex, and other factors may be relevant for therapy. Drawing on cognitive theory literature, this study explored whether thinking styles, influenced how people evaluate their pornography use. Self-reported ($n = 265$) "pornography addicts", "somewhat pornography addicts", and "non-addicts" were compared on their perceived effects of their pornography use, cognitive distortions, impact of religious beliefs, social desirability, and shame, within the values theory framework. Findings showed that groups differed significantly in their propensity for cognitive distortions, reported effects of their pornography use, the impact of their religious beliefs, and time spent viewing pornography. Significant differences were not found for the shame scales or social desirability. Regression analysis demonstrated that thinking styles mediated the relationship between time spent viewing pornography and the overall perceived negative impact of pornography. In light of this study's findings, thinking styles should be a focus in future research and treatment as it may help to reduce cognitive dissonance and engender agency.

KEYWORDS: Pornography, addiction, self-perceived problematic pornography, thinking styles, shame, values

Introduction

Pornography, or porn, can be defined as “printed or visual material containing the explicit description or display of sexual organs or activity, intended to stimulate sexual excitement” (Oxford Dictionary, 2011 Pornography, In Oxford English Dictionary. (2011). Retrieved July 11, 2014, from <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/pornography>). However, it is notoriously difficult to achieve an agreed definition. Since the advent of the internet, and the shift towards online pornography distribution, consumers have had more ubiquitous access to pornography than at any other time (Poulsen, Busby, & Galovan, 2013 Poulsen, F. O., Busby, D. M., & Galovan, A. M. (2013). Pornography use: Who uses it and how it is associated with couple outcomes. *Journal of Sex Research*, 50(1), 72–83.,). Some researchers have argued that increased exposure can lead to an increased risk of sexual aggression and reduced sexual and relationship satisfaction for viewers (Malamuth, Addison, & Koss, 2000 Malamuth, N. M., Addison, T., & Koss, M. (2000). Pornography and sexual aggression: Are there reliable effects and can we understand them?. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 11(1), 26–91. Poulsen et al., 2013 Poulsen, F. O., Busby, D. M., & Galovan, A. M. (2013). Pornography use: Who uses it and how it is associated with couple outcomes. *Journal of Sex Research*, 50(1), 72–83.,), and furthermore actors taking part in pornography can be vulnerable to being exploited, degraded, and sexualised (Kendall & Funk, 2004 Kendall, C. N., & Funk, R. E. (2004). Gay male pornography's “actors” when “fantasy” isn't. *Journal of Trauma Practice*, 2(3–4), 93–114.). Others view it as an increased opportunity for natural and beneficial sexual expression (Attwood, 2005 Attwood, F. (2005). What do people do with porn? Qualitative research into the consumption, use, and experience of pornography and other sexually explicit media. *Sexuality and culture*, 9(2), 65–86., 2010 Attwood, F (2010). Younger, paler, decidedly less straight: the new porn professionals. In (Ed.), *Porn.com: making sense of online pornography*. (pp. 88–106). New York: Peter Lang.). However, there is insufficient empirical evidence to support either claim (Weinberg, Williams, Kleiner, & Irizarry, 2010 Weinberg, M.S., Williams, C. J., Irizarry, Y. (2010). Pornography, normalization, and empowerment. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 39(6), 1389–1401.,) and recent reviews of the literature pertaining to pornography use have identified methodological and conceptual shortcomings (Ferguson & Hartley, 2009 Ferguson, C.J., Hartley, R.D. (2009). The pleasure is momentary... the expense damnable?: The influence of pornography on rape and sexual assault.. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 14(5), 323–329.,; Ley, Prause, & Finn, 2014 Ley, D., Prause, N., & Finn, P. (2014). The emperor has no clothes: A review of the ‘pornography addiction’ model. *Current Sexual Health Reports*, 6(2), 94–105.). Without adequate research to further our understanding of the complex relationship individuals have with pornography, we risk pathologising behaviours which are legal and consensual, condoning behaviours which may cause distress for some individuals, or even diverting attention from deeper issues such as an individual's thinking styles and feelings of shame. Clinically, difficulties may arise when the chosen viewpoint, which determines the content and extent of treatment offered to those who perceive their pornography use to be problematic, is based on subjective opinion rather than empirical evidence. Therefore, there is a responsibility for professionals to continue to engage with this debate.

Pornography addiction

“Pornography addiction” has not been accepted and classified as a psychological disorder, although research continues to investigate the effects of pornography use as a distinct sexual behaviour (Kafka, 2010 Kafka, M. P. (2010). Hypersexual disorder: A proposed diagnosis for DSM-V. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 39(2), 377–400.). However, given there is no agreed diagnostic category for pornography addiction, there is disagreement amongst researchers regarding its definition, and a plethora of terms are used in this area,¹¹. As we aimed to explore differences in how people perceived their own behaviours, for the purpose of this study, terms relating to “self-perceived problematic/addictive pornography use” will be used. View all notes such as compulsive viewing (Cooper, Delmonico, Griffin-Shelley, & Mathy, 2004 Cooper, A., Delmonico, D. L., Griffin-Shelley, E., & Mathy, R. M. (2004). Online sexual activity: An examination of potentially problematic behaviors. *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity*, 11(3), 129–143.; Young, 2005 Young, K. S. (2005). Understanding Cybersex Addiction. *The Amplifier*. American Psychological Association Division on Media Psychology, Fall/Winter 2004,9.), impulsive viewing (Shapira et al., 2003 Shapira, N. A., Lessig, M. C., Goldsmith, T. D., Szabo, S. T., Lazoritz, M., Gold, M. S., & Stein, D. J. (2003). Problematic internet use: Proposed classification and diagnostic criteria. *Depression and Anxiety*, 17(4), 207–216.), excessive viewing (Pyle & Bridges, 2012 Pyle, T. M., & Bridges, A. J. (2012). Perceptions of relationship satisfaction and addictive behavior: Comparing pornography and marijuana use. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 1(4), 171–179.), dependence (Cavaglioni, 2009 Cavaglioni, G. (2009). Cyber-porn dependence: voices of distress in an Italian internet self-help community. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 7(2), 295–310.), and hypersexual disorder (Kafka, 2010 Kafka, M. P. (2010). Hypersexual disorder: A proposed diagnosis for DSM-V. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 39(2), 377–400.; Kaplan & Krueger, 2010 Kaplan, M. S., & Krueger, R. B. (2010). Diagnosis, assessment, and treatment of hypersexuality. *Journal of Sex Research*, 47(2–3), 181–198.; Reid, Li, Gilliland, Stein, & Fong, 2011 Reid, R. C., Li, D. S., Gilliland, R., Stein, J. A., & Fong, T. (2011). Reliability, validity, and psychometric development of the Pornography Consumption Inventory in a sample of hypersexual men. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 37(5), 359–385.). How pornography addiction is operationalised, therefore, often varies between studies, and this influences the conclusions made about the impact of pornography addiction and about whether it actually exists at all. Indeed, a recent systematic literature review revealed stark disparities between the operational definitions of pornography addiction in different studies (Duffy, Dawson, & das Nair, 2016 Duffy, A., Dawson, D., & das Nair, R. (2016). Pornography addiction in adults: A systematic review of definitions and reported impact. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 13(5), 760–777.). Broadly, definitions related to “excessive” use and negative consequences of use, yet arbitrary indices of “excessive”, were often utilised. The variables used to operationalise pornography addiction and its impact were also found to be inadequate and simplistic, and as a result, the conclusions made in the studies were often misleading.

Regardless of the status of pornography addiction, individuals continue to seek treatment for their perceived problematic pornography use, separate from their other sexual behaviours (Reid et al., 2011 Reid, R. C., Li, D. S., Gilliland, R., Stein, J. A., & Fong, T. (2011). Reliability, validity, and psychometric development of the Pornography Consumption Inventory in a sample of hypersexual men. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 37(5), 359–385.; Reid, Harper, & Anderson, 2009 Reid, R. C., Harper, J. M., & Anderson, E. H. (2009). Coping strategies used by hypersexual patients to defend against the painful effects of shame. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, 16(2), 125–138.). Despite over 100 years of scientific interest in sexuality and associated problems (Kafka, 2010 Kafka,

M. P. (2010). Hypersexual disorder: A proposed diagnosis for DSM-V. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 39(2), 377–400.), reasons for this remain unclear, for example, whether individuals experience a compulsion to behave in particular ways, or whether they pathologise their behaviours in response to negative consequences or feelings of shame. Furthermore, controversies remain regarding the agenda of research conducted and the use of biased definitions (Pope, Voges, Kuhn, & Bloxsome, 2007 Pope, N., Voges, K., Kuhn, K.A., Bloxsome, E., 2007, Pornography and erotica: Definitions and prevalence, paper presented at International Nonprofit and Social Marketing Conference Social entrepreneurship, Social Change and Sustainability, Brisbane, 2007. Australia: Giffith University.). Some critics have proposed that research in this area is entrenched in morality and religious values (Ley et al., 2014 Ley, D., Prause, N., & Finn, P. (2014). The emperor has no clothes: A review of the 'pornography addiction' model. *Current Sexual Health Reports*, 6(2), 94–105.), and researchers condemn the sexual behaviour, rather than considering how an individual evaluates their sexual behaviour as problematic. Viewing innate desires and behaviours against a backdrop of dominant moral and religious standards can be disempowering and shaming for some individuals (Ley et al., 2014 Ley, D., Prause, N., & Finn, P. (2014). The emperor has no clothes: A review of the 'pornography addiction' model. *Current Sexual Health Reports*, 6(2), 94–105.). Indeed, it was not in the distant past that in the Western world “homosexuality” was considered abnormal based on dominant views about such sexuality, however, with rigorous research and advocacy, homosexuality has ceased to be considered a pathology. This demonstrates how the impact of cultural discourse, in the absence of research, can shape views and actions (sanctions) related to sexual practices that appear too subversive.

Moreover, pioneering research (Kinsey, Pomeroy, & Martin, 2003 Kinsey, A. C, Pomeroy, W.B, Martin, C.E. (2003). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. 1948. *American Journal of Public Health*, 93(6), 894–898.) suggested our individual desires and behaviours are likely to differ from those deemed acceptable as a society, yet we continue to judge them as one and the same. When we view individual behaviours through a societal lens and place global sanctions on them, we may run the risk of pathologising subversive, but largely “normal”, non-coercive behaviours. Moreover, such an approach does not elucidate why some individuals can view pornography without perceiving it to be problematic, whilst others are distressed by their pornography use and seek treatment. Indeed, Kohut, Baer, and Watts (2015 Kohut, T, Baer, J.L, Watts, B. (2015). Is pornography really about “making hate to women”? Pornography users hold more gender egalitarian attitudes than nonusers in a representative American sample. *Journal of Sex Research*, 5(1), 1–11.) highlighted that research related to pornography use often assumes that pornography addiction and broader negative effects are caused by the content of pornography also; Murnen, Smolak, Mills, & Good, 2003 Murnen, S.K, Smolak, L, Mills, J.A, Good, L. (2003). Thin, sexy women and strong, muscular men: Grade-school children's responses to objectified images of women and men. *Sex Roles*, 49(9-10), 427–437.), with relatively little research investigating how pornography users evaluate their pornography use and associated effects. Therefore, it is essential that attention is paid to individual factors that may be contributing to these differences, rather than assuming pornography itself has caused an individual to become a “pornography addict”, in order to develop appropriate treatment, or offer help if needed, and disentangle misconceptions (Ley et al., 2014 Ley, D., Prause, N., & Finn, P. (2014). The emperor has no clothes: A review of the 'pornography addiction' model. *Current Sexual Health Reports*, 6(2), 94–105.).

Theoretical framework: value theory

Perceived norms and values are central to various behaviours, meaning-making of these behaviours, and for understanding social-psychological phenomena (Schwartz & Bardi, 2001 Schwartz, S. H., & Bardi, A. (2001). Value hierarchies across cultures taking a similarities perspective. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 32(3), 268–290.), including sexual behaviours (Goodwin et al., 2002 Goodwin, R, Realo, A, Kwiatkowska, A, Kozlova, A, Luu, L.A.N, Nizharadze, G. (2002). Values and sexual behaviour in central and eastern Europe. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 7(1), 45–56.). Research has shown that we hold a comprehensive, integrated, and stable set of motivational values which represent goals that apply across contexts and time and relate to fundamental, universal needs (Bardi & Schwartz, 2003 Bardi, A., & Schwartz, S. H. (2003). Values and behavior: Strength and structure of relations. *Personality and social psychology bulletin*, 29(10), 1207–1220.); biological needs, requisites of coordinated social interaction, and demands of group survival and functioning (Schwartz, 2013 Schwartz, S. H., (2013). Value priorities and behavior: Applying. Paper presented at the psychology of values: The Ontario symposium, Canada.). These values vary in their importance but apply across cultures and are expressed and/or pursued through behaviours.

The motivation and pursuit of each value has psychological, practical, and social consequences that may conflict or be congruent with the pursuit of other values (Bardi & Schwartz, 2003 Bardi, A., & Schwartz, S. H. (2003). Values and behavior: Strength and structure of relations. *Personality and social psychology bulletin*, 29(10), 1207–1220.). The values and associated behaviours can be organised to reflect two overarching and conflicting dimensions: “openness to change” vs. “conservation”, and “self-transcendence” vs. “self-enhancement”. Pursued values situated at opposing dimensions are more likely to conflict with each other than values pursued from the same dimension. However, values are generally not conscious except in the presence of conflicting value-expressive behaviours (Schwartz, 2013 Schwartz, S. H., (2013). Value priorities and behavior: Applying. Paper presented at the psychology of values: The Ontario symposium, Canada.). For example, some individuals’ pornography use, as a behaviour expressing a hedonistic value, may lead to psychological pleasure, increased online expenditure, and reduced social activity. One or more of these consequences in turn may conflict with the pursuit of other values, for example, the socially-related “conformity” value. Furthermore, experiences related to pornography use, such as ejaculation, may serve to reinforce the behaviour (Abramson & Pinkerton, 1995 Abramson, P.R, Pinkerton, S.D (1995). *Sexual nature/sexual culture*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.) and, for those individuals who are conflicted, compound feelings of guilt and shame.

Values inform the evaluations we make about the self, others, behaviours, and events (Schwartz, 2012 Schwartz, S.H (2012). An overview of the Schwartz theory of basic values. *Online readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(1), 11–31.). Previous studies have found that if people and/or situations promote our values and related goals they are evaluated positively, whereas if they threaten the attainment of valued goals, they are evaluated negatively (Eccles & Wigfield, 2002 Eccles, J.S, Wigfield, A (2002). Motivational beliefs, values, and goals. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53(1), 109–132.). This process is further facilitated by our thinking styles, such as cognitive flexibility.

Cognitive flexibility is “the person's awareness that various situations and options may exist, the person's willingness to be flexible and adapt, and the person's belief about their self-efficacy in being

flexible” (Hamtiaux & Houssemand, 2012 Hamtiaux, A, Houssemand, C (2012). Adaptability, cognitive flexibility, personal need for structure, and rigidity. *Psychology Research*, 2(109), 563–585. , p. 565). Low cognitive flexibility is linked to rigidity, structure, rule adherence, and inflexibility regarding beliefs and attitudes towards behaviours (Hamtiaux & Houssemand, 2012). Indeed, rigid and dichotomous thinking dispositions have been evidenced in sexual addictive discourses relating to morally “good” vs. “bad” sex (Irvine, 1995 Irvine, J.M (1995). Reinventing perversion: Sex addiction and cultural anxieties. *Journal of the History of Sexuality*, 5(3), 429–450. Keane, 2002 Keane, H (2002). *What's wrong with addiction ?* Melbourne: University Publish.). Using the theory to understand pornography addiction, if an individual's sexual behaviour conflicts with their inflexible beliefs, attitudes, and values, they may assign negative meaning to their pornography use. Research has shown this dissonance between behaviours and values can lead to distress and pathologising of behaviours (Musick, Blazer, & Hays, 2000 Musick, M. A., Blazer, D. G., & Hays, J. C. (2000). Religious activity, alcohol use, and depression in a sample of elderly Baptists. *Research on Aging*, 22(2), 91–116.,).

Considerable research has demonstrated that cognitions greatly influence how we interpret situations and events (Beck, Freeman, & Davis, 2015 Beck, A.T., Davis, D.D, Freeman, A (2015). *Cognitive therapy of personality disorders*. New York: Guilford Publications. Millon & Davis, 1996 Millon, T, Davis, R.D (1996). *An evolutionary theory of personality disorders*. New York: Guilford Press.). According to cognitive theorists, the content and process of these cognitions are associated with the particular activity (Beck, Rush, Shaw, & Emery, 1979 Beck, A., Rush, A., Shaw, B., & Emery, G. (1979). *Cognitive therapy of depression*. New York, NY: Guilford.). If someone resides within a community or culture in which the dominant discourse is that pornography is deviant (Attwood, 2007 Attwood, F. (2007). “Other” or “one of us”? The porn user in public and academic discourse. *Participations: Journal of Audience and Reception Studies*, 4(1), 1–23), they may interpret their pornography viewing as breaking an implicit rule and have thoughts such as “I shouldn't be watching porn” (content). Individuals with rigid thinking styles may also hold particular biases in the way they consider their pornography use, such as increased salience (Haselton, Byrant, Wilke, Frederick, Frankenhuys, Moore, 2009 Haselton, M.G, Byrant, G.A, Wilke, A, Frederick, D.A, Frankenhuys, W.E, Moore, T (2009). Adaptive rationality: An evolutionary perspective on cognitive bias. *Social Cognition*, 27(5), 733–763.,), whereby more weight is given to the times they use pornography than to the times when they are not using pornography (process), thus exacerbating their anxiety and conflict about that behaviour.

However, thinking styles are not pervasive to all situations, which raises the question of what it is about pornography use in particular. Dweck, Chiu, and Hong (1995 Dweck, C.S, Chiu, C.Y, Hong, Y.Y (1995). Implicit theories and their role in judgments and reactions: A word from two perspectives. *Psychological Enquiry*, 6(4), 267–285.,) described people as having certain implicit theories that serve as an analytic framework through which information is encoded, interpreted, and responded to. These theories relate to people's assumptions about whether personal attributes are fixed or malleable. Each of the two assumptions has cognitive and behavioural consequences. Furthermore, such a cognitive style is not generalised but domain-specific. To illustrate, an individual who believes morality is a fixed trait may perceive their pornography use within that context (i.e. as a moral issue), whereas someone who believes morality is dynamic may be more likely to understand pornography-use behaviours as related to the user's “needs, goals, intentions, emotional states, [and/or] prior behaviours” (Dweck et al., 1995 Dweck, C.S, Chiu, C.Y, Hong, Y.Y (1995). Implicit

theories and their role in judgments and reactions: A word from two perspectives. *Psychological Enquiry*, 6(4), 267–285., p. 268).

As with Beckian cognitive theories, particular implicit theories, or assumptions, can lead to particular coping styles (Dweck et al., 1995). For instance, an individual who negatively evaluates their pornography use and holds the assumption that their personal attributes (e.g. morality) are fixed is more likely to feel helpless, whereas an individual holding the assumption that their personal attributes are malleable is more likely to believe in and pursue change. This is important for treatment given that hopelessness has been shown to mediate emotional wellbeing and treatment efficacy (Brent et al., 1998 Brent, D.A, Kolko, D.J, Birmaher, B, Baugher, M, Bridge, J, Roth, C, Holder, D (1998). Predictors of treatment efficacy in a clinical trial of three psychosocial treatments for adolescent depression. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 37(9), 906–914.,).

Clinical implications

Researchers acknowledge that people's relationship with pornography is complex (Hardy, 1998 Hardy, S (1998). *The reader, the author, his woman, and her lover: soft-core pornography and heterosexual men*. London, UK: Cassell.) and individuals experience it in different ways, for example, the time spent viewing pornography, the environment in which they view it, who they view it with, and the genre of pornography they watch (Attwood, 2005 Attwood, F. (2005). What do people do with porn? Qualitative research into the consumption, use, and experience of pornography and other sexually explicit media. *Sexuality and culture*, 9(2), 65–86.; Hald & Malamuth, 2008 Hald, G. M., & Malamuth, N. M. (2008). Self-perceived effects of pornography consumption. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 37(4), 614–625.,; Malamuth et al., 2000 Malamuth, N. M., Addison, T., & Koss, M. (2000). Pornography and sexual aggression: Are there reliable effects and can we understand them?. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 11(1), 26–91. Poulsen et al., 2013 Poulsen, F. O., Busby, D. M., & Galovan, A. M. (2013). Pornography use: Who uses it and how it is associated with couple outcomes. *Journal of Sex Research*, 50(1), 72–83.,; Reid et al., 2011 Reid, R. C., Li, D. S., Gilliland, R., Stein, J. A., & Fong, T. (2011). Reliability, validity, and psychometric development of the Pornography Consumption Inventory in a sample of hypersexual men. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 37(5), 359–385.,). Given that additional variables may be involved when (or why) people pathologise their pornography use, particularly rigidity of thinking (Reid et al., 2009 Reid, R. C., Harper, J. M., & Anderson, E. H. (2009). Coping strategies used by hypersexual patients to defend against the painful effects of shame. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, 16(2), 125–138.,), it is worth investigating such variables further to help discern differences between those who pathologise their pornography use and those who do not. This is important from a research and treatment perspective, as it may not be the behaviour itself (pornography use) that is problematic and the target for intervention, but the cognitive framework individuals use in relation to the behaviour.

Indeed, current clinical practices which address pornography use are not based on evidence or theory (Cash, Rae, Steel, & Winkler, 2012 Cash, H, Rae, C.D, Steel, A.H, Winkler, A (2012). Internet addiction: A brief summary of research and practice. *Current Psychiatry Reviews*, 8(1), 292–298. Ley

et al., 2014 Ley, D., Prause, N., & Finn, P. (2014). The emperor has no clothes: A review of the 'pornography addiction' model. *Current Sexual Health Reports*, 6(2), 94–105.). Research should address this by investigating whether thinking styles, as identified in both the theoretical literature and clinical experience, affect whether people pathologise their pornography use. For instance, theoretically, clinicians may support “detoxification”: there are approaches to this (e.g. 12-step models) but they may have paradoxical effects (e.g. increasing shame) and it is not clear that the behaviour itself (pornography use) is problematic/“toxic”. Instead, resources may be more effectively directed towards alternate interventions that explore the way individuals develop their views and understanding of the self and others. One such alternative may be to work with thinking styles. If rigidity, inflexibility, and shame are linked with pathologising pornography use then treatment recommendations to address cognition styles and shame can be made, for instance, the role of compassion, challenging of assumptions, undermining problematic rule governance, diffusion, value clarification, and acceptance.

The notion that unhelpful thinking styles can change and be addressed in treatment is important to this study. If beliefs and attitudes impact on the way we view and adapt to our environment, then they may impact on the meaning we give to behaviours. Individuals with strict, rule-governed and moralistic attitudes may be more susceptible to shame and guilt. This study aims to explore whether these broader attitudes impact on the meaning given to pornography use. Therefore, we compared individuals who self-reported as pornography addicts (“addicts”), somewhat problematic pornography users (“somewhat-addicts”) and those who did not perceive their pornography use to be problematic (“non-addicts”) on various sexual behaviours and psychological variables: thinking styles, shame, desirability responding, levels of religiosity, and perceived effects of pornography use.²² For ease of reference, participants may be referred to as addicts, somewhat-addicts, and non-addicts in the body of text. This is not to suggest that we subscribe to the notion of an addiction model and refers to the participant's self-identified addiction status.[View all notes](#)

Method

Recruitment occurred at both UK National Health Service (NHS) and non-NHS sites. For non-NHS participants, the questionnaires were made available online and advertised through social media. NHS recruitment occurred at a specialist sexual health clinic and was advertised using posters displayed in relevant clinical areas. The chief investigator informed participants of all aspects pertaining to participation in the study. Participants were provided with an information sheet about the study and were required to provide consent and confirm that they were above 18 years of age before continuing with the study. Participants were then asked to complete the questionnaires online.

Participants

Eligibility criteria included the requirement that all participants could provide informed consent and read and write English. People of all sexual orientations and genders were invited to participate. Due

to the sensitive nature of the study, we only included those over 18 years of age and used pornography considered legal in the participants' country.³³ However, we acknowledge that some individuals may not restrict their viewing to only legal or illegal material. At the end of the online survey, participants were presented with the option of participating in a qualitative study (reported in Duffy, Dawson, & das Nair, in prep.).View all notes

Measures

Quantitative data were collected using a demographic questionnaire and four validated measures. Embedded within the study's text was a definition of "pornography". "Pornography addiction" was not defined as the participant's subjective understanding and experience was of primary interest. Total completion time was approximately 20 minutes.

Demographic Questions is the questionnaire about demographics and pornography-use characteristics was developed through an analysis of related literature. We asked participants their age, number of partners in the last year, sexual orientation, top five viewed pornography activities, sexual acts performed whilst watching pornography, relationship status, the amount of time spent viewing pornography, and age participants first had sex (Table 1).

Table 1. Demographic information sorted by addiction status and gender.

Given that research suggests that level of religiosity is associated with seeking treatment for pornography use and its conflict with religious values (Twohig, Crosby, & Cox, 2009 Twohig, M. P., Crosby, J. M., & Cox, J. M. (2009). Viewing Internet pornography: For whom is it problematic, how, and why?. *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity*, 16(4), 253–266. Winters et al., 2009 Winter, U, Hauri, D, Huber, S, Jenewein, J, Schnyder, U, Kramer, B (2009). The psychological outcome of religious coping with stressful life events in a Swiss sample of church attendees. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 78(4), 240–244.), we asked participants to rate how much their religious beliefs impacted on their day-to-day life using a 4-point Likert-scale (1 = not at all, 4 = very much).

The Inventory of Cognitive Distortions (ICD; Yurica & DiTomasso, 2001 Yurica, C.L, DiTomasso, R, 2001, Inventory of Cognitive Distortions (ICD), C.LIn Inventory of Cognitive Distortions: Development and validation of a psychometric test for the measurement of cognitive distortions, Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine.) is a 69-item measure of cognitive distortions based on 11 factors that emerged from the original factor analysis. Participants rated agreement with statements such as "I have a tendency to blame myself for bad things" using a 5-point Likert-scale (1 = never think or feel this way, 5 = always think or feel this way). Uhl (2007 Uhl, J.K, 2007, Relationship Between Cognitive Distortions and Psychological and Behavioral Factors in a Family Medicine Outpatient Sample, Retrieved from PCOM Psychology Dissertations. (Paper 141).)

reported high internal consistency ($\alpha = .97$) for this measure. Higher scores indicate a higher frequency of dysfunctional cognitive processes such as rigidity of thinking.

The Test of Self-Conscious Affect-3 (TOSCA-3; Tangney, Dearing, Wagner & Gramzow, 2000 Tangney, J.P, Dearing, R.L, Wagner, P.E, Gramzow, R (2000). The Test of Self-Conscious Affect-3 (TOSCA-3). Fairfax, VA: George Mason University.) uses 15 scenarios to assess shame-proneness, guilt-proneness, externalisation, and detachment/unconcern. Participants rated their responses for each scenario using a 5-point Likert-scale (1 = not likely, 5 = very likely). Reliability coefficients for the TOSCA-3 subscales range from .60 to .80 (Tangney & Dearing, 2002 Tangney, J., & Dearing, R. L. (2002). Shame and guilt, New York: Guilford Press.; Wolf, Cohen, Panter & Insko, 2010 Wolf, S.T, Cohen, T.R, Panter, A.T, Insko, C.A (2010). Shame proneness and guilt proneness: Toward the further understanding of reactions to public and private transgressions. *Self and Identity*, 9(4), 337–362.,). Higher scores of each component indicate higher levels of those components.

The Balanced Inventory of Desirable Responding (BIDR-6; Paulhus, 1991 Paulhus, D.L (1991). Measurement and control of response bias. In (Ed.), *Measures of personality and social psychological attitudes* (pp. 17–59). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.; 1998) was used to minimise the potential effects that social desirability and impression management may have on the disclosure of sensitive sexual information (Meston, Heiman, Trapnell, & Paulhus, 1998 Meston, C.M, Heiman, J.R, Trapnell, P.D, Paulhus, D.L (1998). Socially Desirable Responding and Sexuality Self-Reports. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 35(2), 148–157.,). Given that those who pathologise their sexual behaviours may do so because they perceive them to be outside of social norms, accounting for this bias is imperative (Guerra et al., 2012 Guerra, V.M, Gouveia, V.V, Sousa, D.M, Lima, T.J, Freires, L.A (2012). Sexual liberalism–conservatism: The effect of human values, gender, and previous sexual experience. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 41(4), 1027–1039.,).

The BIDR-6 comprises 40 items that assess whether an individual's response style is likely to be distorted by social desirability or impression management. Participants rated agreement with statements such as “I have not always been honest with myself” using a 7-point Likert-scale (1 = not true, 7 = very true). Paulhus (1991 Paulhus, D.L (1991). Measurement and control of response bias. In (Ed.), *Measures of personality and social psychological attitudes* (pp. 17–59). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.) found good reliability for the self-deceptive enhancement subscale ($\alpha = .68-.80$) and for impression management ($\alpha = .75-.86$). Higher scores indicate higher levels of social desirability or impression management.

Pornography Consumption Effects Scale (PCES; Hald & Malamuth, 2008 Hald, G. M., & Malamuth, N. M. (2008). Self-perceived effects of pornography consumption. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 37(4), 614–625.,) uses 47 items to measure self-perceived positive and negative effects of pornography use on sexual behaviours and attitudes. Participants rated agreement to statements such as “To what extent do you believe that your consumption of pornography: Has taught you new sexual techniques?” using a 7-point Likert-scale (1 = not at all, 7 = to an extremely large extent). Reliability coefficients for the PCES and its subscales range from .82 to .91 (Hald & Malamuth, 2008 Hald, G. M., & Malamuth, N. M. (2008). Self-perceived effects of pornography consumption. *Archives of Sexual*

Behavior, 37(4), 614–625.). An overall positive effect dimension (PED) and negative effect dimension (NED) were measured based on the following constructs: sex life, life in general, perception of and attitudes towards the opposite gender, attitudes towards sex, and sexual knowledge. For the purpose of this study, an additional construct, perception of and attitudes towards the same gender, was created to accommodate participants of varying sexual orientations. Higher scores indicate a stronger expression of the constructs.

Results

Participants ($n = 265$) were divided into three groups: Group 1 – addicts, Group 2 – somewhat-addicts, and Group 3 – non-addicts. Demographic data are shown in Table 1. Groups did not significantly differ in their relationship status or for gender overall, although there were significantly more male addicts than females.

Principal components analysis (PCA)

To assess how the ICD best fit the sample, a PCA was conducted. Analysis yielded statistically significant findings for the internal structure of the scale. Five factors were ultimately retained (externalisation of self-worth; magnification and fortune telling; minimisation and arbitrary inferences; perfectionism; emotional reasoning).

Group differences

A one-way ANOVA was conducted to compare the effect of group membership (independent variable (IV): reported addiction status) on the monthly average amount of time spent viewing pornography (dependent variable (DV): time spent viewing pornography). There was a significant effect of reported problematic pornography use on time spent watching pornography ($F(2, 258) = 24.27$; $p < .001$). Post-hoc comparisons using the Tukey HSD test indicated that addicts were significantly more likely to view pornography for a longer period of time ($M = 1934.33$, $SD = 1602.90$) when compared to non-addicts ($M = 240.42$, $SD = 345.48$) and somewhat-addicts ($M = 717.79$, $SD = 994.76$). There were no significant differences in viewing times between non-addicts and somewhat-addicts. In other words, these results suggest that the more time spent watching pornography, the more likely people were to identify as a problematic pornography user.

To assess for group differences (IV: reported addiction status) between the five measures (DVs: PCES, TOSCA, BIDR-6, ICD, and impact of religious beliefs), a MANOVA was conducted. Of the overall differences between groups, the ICD and PCES measures, and the impact of religious beliefs variable yielded significant results (Wilks' $\lambda = 0.374$; $F(21, 243) = 19.36$; $p < 0.001$). Table 2 shows that group membership had a statistically significant effect on whether participants reported positive ($F(2, 262) = 5.81$; $p < .005$; partial $\eta^2 = .42$), or negative effects of pornography consumption on their life in

general ($F(2, 262) = 36.24$; $p < .001$; partial $\eta^2 = .58$). How participants viewed their pornography use also related to whether they viewed members of the opposite sex more negatively ($F(2, 262) = 25.97$; $p < .001$; partial $\eta^2 = .17$), viewed members of the same sex more negatively ($F(2, 262) = 15.19$; $p < .001$; partial $\eta^2 = .10$), or held negative attitudes towards sex ($F(2, 262) = 62.03$; $p < .001$; partial $\eta^2 = .32$). There was also a significant relationship between group membership and reported positive effects ($F(2, 262) = 5.78$; $p < .05$; partial $\eta^2 = .04$), and negative effects of pornography of participants' sex life ($F(2, 262) = 133.94$; $p < .001$; partial $\eta^2 = .51$). Furthermore, self-reported level of problematic pornography use was significantly related to whether pornography consumption had an overall negative effect ($F(2, 262) = 141.40$; $p < .001$; partial $\eta^2 = .52$). Finally, self-defined pornography use was significantly associated with participants' thinking styles, specifically their total ICD scores ($F(2, 262) = 23.33$; $p < .001$; partial $\eta^2 = .15$) and its five factors, and how much religious beliefs affected their daily lives ($F(2, 262) = 13.07$; $p < .05$; partial $\eta^2 = .09$). Significant differences were not found for the shame scales (as measured by the TOSCA-3), or for social desirability or impression management (as measured on the BIDR-6).

Do thinking styles play a role in whether people pathologise their pornography use?

Table 2. Significant multivariate effects of self-reported addiction status.

Post-hoc analyses

Given the statistically significant MANOVA test, post-hoc univariate tests were conducted (see Table 3). Specifically, ANOVA tests were conducted on all 15 significant contrasts to assess the direction of significant effects.⁴⁴ Traditionally, Bonferroni corrections are advised to counteract the Type I error rates that result from carrying out multiple tests, however, many researchers argue that doing so is unnecessary and potentially deleterious to analysis; its interpretation is arbitrary and its performance can reduce power and increase the likelihood of Type II errors (Perneger, 1998). Therefore, we did not perform Bonferroni corrections. [View all notes](#)

Table 3. Comparison of mean scores for participants who perceived their pornography use to be problematic, somewhat problematic, and not problematic.

Post-hoc Tukey HSD analyses (see Table 4) showed that addicts were significantly more likely to report higher negative effects of pornography use than somewhat-addicts and non-addicts. The same group were also more likely to display increased dysfunctional thinking styles (as measured by the ICD) and experience a greater impact of their religious beliefs.

Table 4. Differences between mean scores for participants who perceived their pornography use to be problematic, somewhat problematic, and not problematic.

Somewhat-addicts reported similar scores for two of the PCES subscales (positive effect of pornography on life in general; perceptions of the same sex) and for the ICD total score, as non-addicts and they were not significantly different from addicts in how much their religious beliefs impacted on their daily lives. For all other measures, somewhat-addicts differed significantly from the other two groups.

Multinomial regression

Multinomial logistic regression (MLR) is well suited for testing the relationship between a categorical DV and one or more categorical or continuous IV. Therefore, this approach was used to examine which measures (IV) predicted group membership (DV). Participants who defined themselves as addicts or somewhat-addicts were contrasted with non-addicts.⁵⁵ Sensitivity analyses were performed with different contrast groups to ensure that overall findings were robust. View all notes

A chi-square test was performed to determine whether the different IVs were equally preferred (see Table 5). Preference for the IVs was not equally distributed in the population, $\chi^2(20, N = 193.53) = 4.53, p < .05$. This showed that self-reported addiction status could be predicted using the IVs (see Table 6).

Table 5. Model fitting information: group membership.

Table 6. Multinomial logistic regression analysis of participants' thinking styles and effects of pornography use.

According to the MLR model, negative impact of pornography on participants' life in general, sex life, their dysfunctional thinking styles (overall and externalisation of self-worth, magnification and fortune telling, minimisation and arbitrary inferences, and perfectionism), and impact of religious beliefs were positively related to group membership ($p < .05$).

The variables that statistically distinguished addicts from non-addicts, in the first logistic regression equation, were negative impact of pornography on participants' sex life (SLN), dysfunctional thinking styles (overall and externalisation of self-worth, magnification and fortune telling, minimisation and arbitrary inferences, and perfectionism), and impact of religious beliefs. The variables that statistically distinguished somewhat-addicts from non-addicts, in the first logistic regression equation, were negative impact of pornography on participants' life in general.

Odds ratio analyses demonstrated that for each unit increase in pornography consumption having a negative effect on their sex life, the probability of identifying as an addict increased by 401%. For each unit increase in the impact of their religious beliefs, the probability of identifying as an addict increased by 186%. The probability of participants reporting to be addicts for each unit increase in overall cognitive distortions increased by 39%, externalisation of self-worth by 75%, magnification and fortune telling by 56%, minimisation and arbitrary inferences by 923%, and perfectionism by 105%. For each unit increase in pornography consumption having a negative effect of their life in general, the probability of identifying as a some-what addict rose by 179%.

Mediation

Regression analysis was used to investigate the hypothesis that thinking styles (as measured by the ICD and impact of religious beliefs) mediated the effect of time spent viewing pornography on the overall negative effect of pornography. Confidence intervals were examined to assess this (Preacher & Kelley, 2011 Preacher, K. J., & Kelley, K. (2011). Effect size measures for mediation models: Quantitative strategies for communicating indirect effects. *Psychological Methods*, 16(2), 93–115.

As Figure 1 illustrates, there was a significant indirect effect of frequency of viewing on negative effects of pornography through cognitive thinking styles, $b = .10$, BCa CI[.0402, .1865]. The indirect effect is approximately 10% of the maximum value that it could have been, and equates to a medium effect size, $K2 = .10$, CI[.0415, .1834].

Figure 1. Standardised regression coefficients for the relationship between frequency of pornography use and negative effects of pornography consumption as mediated by cognitive styles.

As Figure 2 illustrates, there was a significant indirect effect of frequency of viewing on negative effects of pornography through the impact of religious beliefs, $b2 = .04$, BCa CI[.0044, .0885]. The indirect effect is approximately 4% of the maximum value that it could have been, and equates to a medium effect size, $K2 = .04$, CI[.0067, .0881].

Figure 2. Standardised regression coefficients for the relationship between frequency of pornography use and negative effects of pornography consumption as mediated by impact of religious beliefs.

Discussion

In exploring whether thinking styles play a role in how individuals evaluate their pornography use, several significant findings emerged. Thinking styles, impact of religious beliefs, and positive and negative perceived effects of pornography use were found to differ depending on how individuals defined their pornography use as being problematic or not. Given that addicts viewed pornography for a significantly longer period of time than somewhat-addicts or non-addicts, a propensity score-matching (PSM) sensitivity analysis was performed. When this sample was analysed for differences, findings reflected those found in the larger sample, indicating that cognitive bias is likely to influence how individuals evaluate their pornography use, rather than the behaviour alone. Therefore, generally, non-addicts were more likely to report experiencing positive effects from their pornography use, whereas addicts were more likely to report experiencing negative effects from their pornography use. Addicts were more likely to report higher levels of cognitive distortions, specifically externalisation of self-worth, magnification and fortune telling, minimisation and arbitrary inferences, perfectionism, and emotional reasoning, than non-addicts or somewhat-addicts. Addicts and somewhat-addicts were more likely to report that their religious beliefs impacted their daily lives more than non-addicts. Further analyses revealed that individuals who demonstrated a propensity for dysfunctional thinking and experienced their religious beliefs as impacting on their daily lives, were more likely to identify as an addict. Shame was not found to be associated with how participants viewed their pornography use.

Thinking styles

In support of our hypothesis, cognitive distortions were found to predict how participants evaluated their pornography use, specifically identifying as an addict, and reporting negative effects of pornography consumption on their sex life, attitudes towards sex, and life in general. Further analyses showed that individuals who demonstrated increased dysfunctional thinking styles, and/or experienced a high impact of religious beliefs, were more likely to report negative effects of their pornography use in relation to life in general and sexual factors, and were more likely to perceive themselves as addicted to pornography.

How people give meaning to their own and other people's behaviours is subject to cognitive errors and attribution biases (Brehm, Kassin, & Fein, 2002 Brehm, S., Kassin, S., & Fein, S. (2002). Introduction to social psychology, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.). We can speculate then that some cognitive distortions may be more relevant than others with regards to evaluating one's pornography use. For instance, "externalisation of self-worth" refers to the development and maintenance of self-worth based almost exclusively on how the external world views that individual (Freeman, 2004 Freeman, A. (2004). Clinical applications of cognitive therapy, Berlin: Springer Science and Business Media.; Freeman & Oster, 1999 Freeman, A., & Oster, C. (1999). Cognitive behavior therapy. Handbook of Comparative Interventions For Adult Disorders, 2, 108–138.). If

individuals are within an environment that perceives pornography use to be unhealthy or harmful, then those individuals may evaluate their own pornography use negatively based on those external judgements. Similarly, if individuals have a propensity for “emotional reasoning”, in environments where pornography use is a taboo or shameful, they may conclude that feelings of guilt about their pornography use is evidence of being guilty. Furthermore, if an individual has “perfectionistic” traits, they may be constantly striving to compete with internal or external representations of “perfection”. In relation to pornography use, this representation may be defined by society or a culture and be incongruent with the individual's actual behaviours, leading them to perceive those behaviours as “wrong”. Additionally, if individuals hold a cognitive distortion of “magnification” they may have a tendency to exaggerate or magnify the negative consequences of their own pornography use in comparison to other people's pornography use (Burns, 1999 Burns, D. D. (1999). *The feeling good handbook*: New York, Plum. Burns & Seligman, 1989 Burns, M. O., & Seligman, M. E. (1989). Explanatory style across the life span: Evidence for stability over 52 years. *Journal of Personality And Social Psychology*, 56(3), 471–477.,). Finally, individuals with frequent cognitive distortions may rely on “arbitrary inferences”, whereby they draw a negative conclusion in the absence of specific supportive evidence (Beck et al., 1979 Beck, A., Rush, A., Shaw, B., & Emery, G. (1979). *Cognitive therapy of depression*. New York, NY: Guilford. Burns, 1999 Burns, D. D. (1999). *The feeling good handbook*: New York, Plum. Burns & Seligman, 1989 Burns, M. O., & Seligman, M. E. (1989). Explanatory style across the life span: Evidence for stability over 52 years. *Journal of Personality And Social Psychology*, 56(3), 471–477.,) (e.g. “I use pornography more than others, therefore I am an addict”). In the current study, addicts were found to significantly endorse these types of cognitive distortions more than somewhat-addicts or non-addicts.

Cognitive distortions, in part, are demonstrative of cognitive rigidity and inflexibility (Marzuk, Hartwell, Leon, & Portera, 2005 Marzuk, P. M., Hartwell, N., Leon, A., & Portera, L. (2005). Executive functioning in depressed patients with suicidal ideation. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 112(4), 294–301.,). When people experience cognitive dissonance, i.e. a state of stress when holding two or more conflicting thoughts, attitudes, beliefs or values (Festinger, 1962 Festinger, L. (1962). *A theory of cognitive dissonance* (Vol 2,). Stanford, Stanford university press.), particularly when related to the concept of self (Aronson, 1999 Aronson, E. (1999). Dissonance, hypocrisy, and the self-concept. In (Ed.), *Readings about the Social Animal*, (pp. 219–236). New York: MacMillan.), it is cognitive flexibility which determines how that discomfort is managed. Individuals with cognitive flexibility may initially hold conflicting attitudes or beliefs about their pornography use, but cope with this by accepting that they use pornography, and alter their existing belief system to accommodate their pornography use as being acceptable, thus identifying as a non-addicted user.

Values theory emphasises this dissonance between behaviours and values as responsible for internal conflict and feelings of distress (Bardi & Schwartz, 2003 Bardi, A., & Schwartz, S. H. (2003). Values and behavior: Strength and structure of relations. *Personality and social psychology bulletin*, 29(10), 1207–1220.,; Schwartz, Galperin, & Masters, 1995 Schwartz, M., Galperin, L., & Masters, W. (1995). Dissociation and treatment of compulsive reenactment of trauma: Sexual compulsivity. In *Adult survivors of sexual abuse: Treatment innovations*, 3, 42–55.). For addicts in this study, it may be that pornography use is a behaviour that is incongruent with their values, and for which they lack the cognitive flexibility as a resource to draw upon, to manage their distress. Furthermore, the pursuit of either intrinsic (e.g. stimulation values) or extrinsic (e.g. tradition values) needs or goals has been found to be related to general mental health and life satisfaction (Sagiv & Schwartz, 2000 Sagiv, L., &

Schwartz, S. H. (2000). Value priorities and subjective well-being: Direct relations and congruity effects. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 30(2), 177–198.); stimulation-led values have been associated with positive outcomes, and tradition-led values have been associated with negative outcomes. Therefore, it may be that participants in this study differed in the value-led goals they pursued, for instance, addicts may have been more likely to prioritise tradition-led values and non-addicts may have been more likely to prioritise stimulation-led values.

Subjective impact of religious beliefs was found to predict negative effects of pornography on life in general, attitudes towards sex, sex life and negative effects overall and mediate the relationship between frequency of viewing and negative effects of pornography. In line with previous research, which suggests discrepancies between behaviours and values can cause distress and pathologising of behaviours (Musick et al., 2000 Musick, M. A., Blazer, D. G., & Hays, J. C. (2000). Religious activity, alcohol use, and depression in a sample of elderly Baptists. *Research on Aging*, 22(2), 91–116.), perhaps for some participants the strong religious beliefs they hold about pornography and sex more generally, are in conflict with their sexual behaviours. In order to make sense of this, and realign their values and behaviours, they may externalise their pornography use and attribute such behaviours to an addiction. Furthermore, when addiction is conceptualised as “sickness”, this might be a psychological mechanism to reduce distress (e.g. “It's not me, it's the illness”). Importantly, if an individual holds a belief that their pornography use is acceptable yet external beliefs that “pornography use is unacceptable” are imposed on them, they may be more likely to experience distress (Bardi & Schwartz, 2003 Bardi, A., & Schwartz, S. H. (2003). Values and behavior: Strength and structure of relations. *Personality and social psychology bulletin*, 29(10), 1207–1220.; Sagiv & Schwartz, 2000 Sagiv, L., & Schwartz, S. H. (2000). Value priorities and subjective well-being: Direct relations and congruity effects. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 30(2), 177–198.). In addition then, if an individual relates to other “addicts”, then their tendency to externalise their self-worth within this context may be less likely to lead to negative consequences.

We also hypothesised that shame would be associated with how an individual perceived their pornography use, with addicts reporting higher levels of shame, however, this was not supported. To our knowledge, this has not been found in previous research. One explanation for this might be that if individuals externalise their behaviours as being a result of an addiction, rather than internalise them, they are protected from experiencing shame (Lickel, Steele, & Schmader, 2011 Lickel, B., Steele, R. R., & Schmader, T. (2011). Group-based shame and guilt: Emerging directions in research. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 5(3), 153–163.).

Limitations

Cautions regarding causal inferences apply and there may be other contributing factors, which the current study fails to capture. For instance, research has demonstrated that anxiety and depression are related to cognitive distortions and inflexibility of thought (Moritz, Kloss, von Eckstaedt, & Jelinek, 2009 Moritz, S., Kloss, M., von Eckstaedt, F. V., & Jelinek, L. (2009). Comparable performance of patients with obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) and healthy controls for verbal and nonverbal memory accuracy and confidence: Time to forget the forgetfulness hypothesis of OCD?. *Psychiatry*

research, 166(2), 247–253.,). Therefore, perhaps mental health factors influence frequency of cognitive distortions and/or whether individuals pathologise their pornography use. Furthermore, findings may be limited with the omission of data regarding meaningful activity; for example, participants who are employed full-time may have less opportunity or less motivation to access online pornography. Therefore, future research may benefit from acquiring such information. In addition, although perhaps reflective of real-world figures, the disparity between the numbers of participants across the three groups may have affected the results and subsequent conclusions. Future research with larger numbers of participants representing pornography addicts would enable this study's hypotheses to be further tested. It should also be noted that the mean age of participants was 28 years old. This peer group is likely to be at a different life stage than older or younger age groups, and as such their relationships with others may be qualitatively different, for example, they may be less likely to be in a committed relationship with a family compared to older adults. Given that research suggests the impact of pornography use on pornography users' relationships is indicative of a perceived addiction (Pyle & Bridges, 2012 Pyle, T. M., & Bridges, A. J. (2012). Perceptions of relationship satisfaction and addictive behavior: Comparing pornography and marijuana use. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 1(4), 171–179.,), this may have influenced the findings.

The current study also identified a population of individuals who are qualitatively different in their beliefs and attitudes from self-defined pornography addicts and non-addicts; "somewhat addicts". For these individuals, perhaps they experience less cognitive dissonance between their values and behaviours in part due to their reduced cognitive distortions and level of religious beliefs. However, over time if they continue to view their behaviours as discrepant from their attitudes, values, or beliefs, they may be at an increased risk of identifying as a pornography addict. By identifying such people in clinical contexts, and working with their thinking styles, we may be able to prevent them from experiencing increased distress. Future research may benefit from employing a qualitative design to draw out the nuanced thinking styles prevalent within pornography users, the values individuals may prioritise, and to gain a better understanding of users' needs, if any, relating to their negative evaluations of pornography use. Furthermore, a qualitative design may allow for similarities and differences in pornography behaviour profiles to be explored in more detail. For instance, collecting information about family and peer discourses around pornography may help elucidate differences (e.g. pornography is a taboo). Finally, if research suggests how we make sense of our behaviours determines our intentions (Hungerman, 2014 Hungerman, D. M. (2014). Do religious proscriptions matter? Evidence from a theory-based test. *Journal of Human Resources*, 49(4), 1053–1093.,; Schwartz, 2013 Schwartz, S. H., (2013). Value priorities and behavior: Applying. Paper presented at the psychology of values: The Ontario symposium, Canada. Schwartz & Bardi, 2001 Schwartz, S. H., & Bardi, A. (2001). Value hierarchies across cultures taking a similarities perspective. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 32(3), 268–290.,), then it would be useful for future research to further explore how individuals, with different addiction status, value the significance of societal and cultural norms as well as gain an understanding of those individuals' beliefs about the causation of pornography addiction.

This study has shown thinking styles to be predictive of how pornography users perceive their pornography use as being problematic or not. These findings have clinical implications as they can inform treatment⁶⁶. When using the term "treatment" we are not suggesting that individuals who perceive their pornography use to be problematic are "ill". Instead, this term refers to the support

that clinicians can offer individuals in helping them to understand that they are not ill, and to help them deal with their distress. View all notes for individuals who perceive their pornography use as problematic. The dominant approaches to treating pornography addiction are abstinence-based, however, if underlying attitudes, values and thinking styles are not identified as treatment needs and appropriately addressed, individuals may still experience distress and pathologise other subversive, but normal, behaviours. Moreover, in applying abstinence-based interventions, we may be inadvertently perpetuating the belief that pornography use is “wrong”. Instead, treatment could aim to increase cognitive flexibility, reduce cognitive distortions and help the individual to evaluate their behaviours without the potential biases cognitive distortions can lead to.

To conclude, current abstinence models of treatment are propagated with limited evidence of effectiveness or evaluation of harm. There is not much focus on cognitive dissonance, but other factors, such as abstinence or behavioural control, are prioritised. Treatment should be based on evidence of what the psychological aetiological factors are. This research provides some evidence of cognitive dissonance being related to how people position themselves. Therefore, treatment may want to focus on this, and see whether this dissonance could be reduced, to improve quality of life for those worried about being addicted to pornography.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Table 1. Demographic information sorted by addiction status and gender.

Reported addiction status and gender	Top 5 viewed pornography activities*	Top act whilst watching pornography
Previous sexual experience	Relationship status**	Sexual orientation***
Non-addicts (n = 184)		
(mean age = 27; range = 18–64) Lesbian (52%)		
Amateur (48%)		
Threesome (41%)		
Anal (35%)		
BDSM (30%)	Masturbate (76%)	Mean age = 18 (30%)
19% have never had sex Single (40%)		
Monogamous relationship (38%)		
Married (16%)		
Non-monogamous relationship (4%)	72% Heterosexual	

16% Bisexual

7% Lesbian/gay

Somewhat-addicts

(n = 34)

(mean age = 28; range = 18–60) Amateur (53%)

Lesbian (35%)

Anal (33%)

Hard-core (32%)

Blowjob (30%)

Gangbang (30%)

Gay (30%) Masturbate (77%) Mean age = 18 (27%)

21% have never had sexSingle (50%)

Monogamous relationship (24%)

Married (18%)

Non-monogamous relationship (9%) 82% Heterosexual

15% Bisexual

3% Lesbian/gay

Addicts

(n = 47)

(mean age = 28 range = 18–58) Lesbian (62%)

Amateur (60%)

HD Pornography (57%)

Pornstar (49%)

Blowjob (45%) Masturbate (87%) Mean age = 16 or 18 (13%)

17% have never had sexSingle (62%)

Married (21%)

Monogamous relationship (13%) 92% Heterosexual

4% Bisexual

2% Lesbian/gay

Female (n = 112) Lesbian (51%)

Threesome (42%)

For Women (38%)

BDSM (34%)

Masturbation (30%) Masturbate (66%) Mean age = 24 (24%)

10% have never had sex

Monogamous relationship (44%)

Single (37%)

Married (14%)

Non-monogamous relationship (5%)

Male (n = 153) Amateur (59%)

Lesbian (52%)

Anal (44%)

HD Pornography (39%)

POV (37%) Masturbate (86%) Mean age = 18 (18%)

16% have never had sex Single (52%)

Monogamous relationship (23%)

Married (19%)

Non-monogamous relationship (4%)

Total

(n = 265)

(mean age = 27; age range = 18–64) Lesbian (52%)

Amateur (52%)

Threesome (39%)

Anal (36%)

Blowjob (32%) Masturbate (78%) Mean age = 18 (17%)

13% have never had sex Single (45%)

Monogamous relationship (38%)

Married (17%)

Non-monogamous relationship (4%)

*Participants were able to provide multiple responses and so percentages do not equate to a total of 100.

**Due to rounding percentages do not total 100%.

*** The majority of participants had one partner in the last year.

Table 2. Significant multivariate effects of self-reported addiction status.

Dependent variable	Mean square	df	F	Sig.	Partial eta squared	Observed power
Positive effects of pornography on life in general (PCES)	10.125	2	*5.81	.003	.042	.87
Negative effects of pornography on life in general (PCES)	36.24	2	**16.3	.001		
	.577	1.00				
Negative perceptions of members of the opposite sex (PCES)	48.01	2	**25.97			
	.001	.165	1.00			
Negative perceptions of members of the same sex (PCES)	22.99	2	**15.19			
	.001	.104	1.00			
Negative attitudes towards sex (PCES)	70.79	2	**62.03	.001	.321	1.00
Positive effects on sex life (PCES)	13.10	2	*5.78	.003	.042	.87
Negative effects on sex life (PCES)	109.52	2	**133.94	.001	.506	1.00
Total negative effects score (PCES)	123.59	2	**141.42	.001	.519	1.00
Total cognitive distortions (ICD)	46019.38	2	**23.33	.001	.151	1.00
Externalisation of self-worth (ICD)	15244.60	2	**22.25	.001	.145	
	1.00					
Magnification and fortune-telling (ICD)	2105.77	2	**12.73	.001	.089	
	.997					
Minimisation and arbitrary inferences (ICD)	931.80	2	**24.16	.001	.156	
	1.00					
Perfectionism (ICD)	747.56	2	**12.01	.001	.084	.995
Emotional Reasoning (ICD)	171.45	2	*6.50	.002	.047	.905
How much do your religious beliefs affect your daily life?	10.804	2	**13.07			
	.001	.091	1.00			

Note: PCES = Porn Consumption Effects Scale; ICD = Inventory of Cognitive Distortions scale.

*Significant at the $p < 0.05$ level.

**Significant at the $p < 0.001$ level.

Table 3. Comparison of mean scores for participants who perceived their pornography use to be problematic, somewhat problematic, and not problematic.

Group											
		Non-addicts		Somewhat-addicts		Addicts					
Variable	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	F	Eta-squared.			
Positive effects of pornography on life in general (PCES)	2.50						1.29	2.56	1.44	1.79	
	1.33	*5.81	.04								
Negative effects of pornography on life in general (PCES)							1.51	.87	2.97	1.51	
	5.15	1.88	**178.50	.58							
Negative perceptions of members of the opposite sex (PCES)							1.85	1.14	2.62	1.37	
	3.50	2.00	**25.97	.17							
Negative perceptions of members of the same sex (PCES)							1.89	1.09	2.35	1.28	
	2.98	1.66	**15.19	.10							
Negative attitudes towards sex (PCES)				1.61	.91	2.32	1.17	3.53	1.49	**62.03	
	.32										
Positive effects on sex life (PCES)				3.20	1.50	3.03	1.49	2.36	1.55	*5.78	.04
Negative effects on sex life (PCES)				1.63	.76	2.32	.91	4.04	1.33	**133.94	
	.51										
Total negative effect score (PCES)				1.52	.78	2.41	.89	4.06	1.40	**141.40	
	.52										
Externalisation of self-worth (ICD)				83.05	1.93	91.82	4.49	111.47	3.82	**22.25	
	.15										
Magnification and fortune-telling (ICD)				24.36	.67	26.38	1.56	31.85	1.33	**12.73	
	.09										
Minimisation and arbitrary inferences (ICD)					15.27	.32	17.88	.75	20.04	.641	
	**24.16	.16									
Perfectionism (ICD)		19.71	.41	22.29	.96	23.92	.81	**12.00		.08	
Emotional Reasoning (ICD)			13.03	.27	13.35	.62	15.17	.53	**6.50	.05	
How much do your religious beliefs affect your daily life?							1.43	.79	1.97	1.11	
	2.11	1.15	**13.07	.09							
Total cognitive distortions (ICD)				168.40	44.04	185.38	39.40	217.60	49.08	**23.33	.15

Note: PCES = Porn Consumption Effects Scale; ICD = Inventory of Cognitive Distortions scale.

*Significant at the $p < 0.05$ level

**Significant at the $p < 0.001$ level

Table 4. Differences between mean scores for participants who perceived their pornography use to be problematic, somewhat problematic, and not problematic.

Life in general—positive

				95% Confidence interval					
(I) Self-reported addiction status			(J) Self-reported addiction status			Mean difference (I-J)			
J)	Std. Error	Sig.	Lower bound	Upper bound					
Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts		-.06	.246	.969	-.64	.52		
	Addicts *.71	.216	.003	.20	1.22				
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts		.06	.246	.969	-.52	.64		
	Addicts *.77	.297	.027	.07	1.47				
Addicts	Non-addicts	*-.71	.216	.003	-1.22	-.20			
	Somewhat-addicts	*-.77	.297	.027	-1.47	-.07			

Life in general—negative

Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts	**	-1.46	.223	.001	-1.99	-.93		
Addicts		**	-3.64	.196	.001	-4.10	-3.18		
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts	**	1.46	.223	.001	.93	1.99		
Addicts		**	-2.18	.269	.001	-2.81	-1.54		
Addicts	Non-addicts	**	3.64	.196	.001	3.18	4.10		
Somewhat-addicts		**	2.18	.269	.001	1.54	2.81		

Perception of opposite sex – negative

Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts	*	-.76	.254	.008	-1.36	-.17		
Addicts		**	-1.55	.222	.001	-2.07	-1.03		
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts	*	.76	.254	.008	.17	1.36		
PAAs		*	-.79	.306	.029	-1.51	-.07		
Addicts	Non-addicts	**	1.55	.222	.001	1.03	2.07		
Somewhat-addicts		*	.79	.306	.029	.07	1.51		

Perception of same sex—negative

Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts	-.46	.230	.112	-1.00	.08
PAs	**	-1.09	.201	.001	-1.56	-.61
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts	.46	.230	.112	-.08	1.00
Addicts	-.63	.277	.063	-1.28	.03	
Addicts	Non-addicts	**	1.09	.201	.001	.61
Somewhat-addicts	.63	.277	.063	-.03	1.28	

Attitudes towards sex—negative

Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts	**	-.72	.199	.001	-1.18	-.24
Addicts	**	-1.92	.175	.001	-2.33	-1.51	
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts	**	.72	.199	.001	.24	1.18
Addicts	**	-1.21	.241	.001	-1.78	-.64	
Addicts	Non-addicts	**	1.92	.175	.001	1.51	2.33
Somewhat-addicts	**	1.21	.241	.001	.64	1.78	

Sex life—positive

Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts		.17	.282	.815	-.49	.84
	Addicts *.84	.247	.002	.26	1.42		
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts		-.17	.282	.815	-.84	.49
	Addicts .67	.340	.123	-.13	1.47		
Addicts	Non-addicts	*-.84	.247	.002	-1.42	-.26	
	Somewhat-addicts	-.67	.340	.123	-1.47	.13	

Sex life—negative

Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts	**	-.69	.169	.001	-1.09	-.30
Addicts	**	-2.41	.148	.001	-2.76	-2.06	
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts	**	.69	.169	.001	.30	1.09
Addicts	**	-1.72	.204	.001	-2.20	-1.24	
Addicts	Non-addicts	**	2.41	.148	.001	2.06	2.76
Somewhat-addicts	**	1.72	.204	.001	1.24	2.20	

Total negative effect score (PCES)

Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts	**	-.90	.175	.001	-1.31	-.48
Addicts	**	-2.55	.153	.001	-2.91	-2.19	

Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts	**	.90	.175	.001	.48	1.31
Addicts	**	-1.65	.210	.001	-2.15	-1.16	
Addicts Non-addicts	**	2.55	.153	.001	2.19	2.91	
Somewhat-addicts	**	1.65	.210	.001	1.16	2.15	
ICD TOTAL							
Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts		-16.98	8.292	.103	-36.52	2.56
Addicts	**	-49.19	7.259	.001	-66.30	-32.08	
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts		16.98	8.292	.103	-2.56	36.52
Addicts	*	-32.21	10.000	.004	-55.79	-8.64	
Addicts Non-addicts	**	49.19	7.259	.001	32.08	66.30	
Somewhat-addicts	*	32.21	10.000	.004	8.64	55.79	
Externalisation of self-worth (ICD)							
Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts		-8.77	4.89	.173	-20.29	2.75
Addicts	**	-28.41	4.28	.001	-38.50	-18.33	
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts		8.77	4.89	.173	-2.75	20.29
Addicts	*	19.64	5.89	.003	-33.54	-5.75	
Addicts Non-addicts	**	28.41	4.28	.001	18.33	38.50	
Somewhat-addicts	*	19.64	5.89	.003	5.75	33.54	
Magnification and fortune-telling (ICD)							
Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts		-2.02	1.70	.461	-6.02	1.98
Addicts	**	-7.49	1.49	.001	-10.99	-3.98	
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts		2.02	1.770	.461	-1.98	6.02
Addicts	*	-5.47	2.05	.022	-10.30	-.64	
Addicts Non-addicts	**	7.49	1.49	.001	3.98	10.99	
Somewhat-addicts	*	5.47	2.05	.022	.64	10.30	
Minimisation and arbitrary inferences (ICD)							
Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts		*-2.61	.82	.005	-4.54	-.68
Addicts	**	-4.77	.72	.001	-6.46	-3.08	
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts		*2.61	.82	.005	.68	4.54
Addicts		-2.16	.99	.076	-4.49	.17	
Addicts Non-addicts	**	4.77	.71	.001	3.08	6.46	

	Somewhat-addicts	2.16	.99	.076	-.17	4.49	
Perfectionism (ICD)							
Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts		*-2.58	1.04	.037	-5.03	-.12
	Addicts	** -4.20	.91	.001	-6.35	-2.05	
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts		*2.58	1.04	.037	.12	5.03
	Addicts	-1.62	1.26	.402	-4.58	1.34	
Addicts	Non-addicts	**4.20	.91	.001	2.05	6.35	
	Somewhat-addicts	1.62	1.26	.402	-1.34	4.58	
Emotional reasoning (ICD)							
Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts		-.32	.68	.884	-1.92	1.28
	Addicts	** -2.14	.59	.001	-3.54	-.74	
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts		.32	.68	.884	-1.28	1.92
	Addicts	-1.82	.82	.069	-3.74	.11	
Addicts	Non-addicts	**2.14	.59	.001	.74	3.54	
	Somewhat-addicts	1.82	.82	.069	-.11	3.74	
How much do your religious beliefs affect your daily life?							
Non-addicts	Somewhat-addicts		*-.54	.170	.005	-.94	-.14
	Addicts	** -.67	.149	.001	-1.02	-.32	
Somewhat-addicts	Non-addicts		*.54	.170	.005	.14	.94
	Addicts	-.14	.205	.785	-.62	.35	
Addicts	Non-addicts	** .67	.149	.001	.32	1.02	
	Somewhat-addicts	.14	.205	.785	-.35	.62	

Note. PCES = Porn Consumption Effects Scale; ICD = Inventory of Cognitive Distortions scale.

*Significant at the $p < 0.05$ level.

**Significant at the $p < 0.001$ level.

Table 5. Model fitting information: group membership.

Model fitting information

Model -2 log likelihood Chi-square Df Sig.

Intercept only 436.45

Final 223.03 213.43 30 .001

Table 6. Multinomial logistic regression analysis of participants' thinking styles and effects of pornography use.

Predictor	B	SE β	Wald's χ^2 (df = 1)	Df	95% Confidence Interval for Exp(B)		
					Predictor e β (odds ratio)		
	Lower Bound	Upper Bound					
Somewhat-addicts							
Positive effects of pornography on life in general (PCES)	.157	.203	.595	1			
	1.169	.786	1.741				
Negative effects of pornography on life in general (PCES)		1.028	.333	9.524	1		
	2.794*	1.455	5.367				
Negative perceptions of members of the opposite sex (PCES)		.161	.224	.513	1		
	1.174	.756	1.824				
Negative perceptions of members of the same sex (PCES)		-.043	.207	.043	1		
	.958	.639	1.437				
Negative attitudes towards sex (PCES)	-.266	.344	.595	1	.767	.390	
	1.506						
Positive effects on sex life (PCES)		-.177	.199	.796	1	.838	.568
	1.236						
Negative effects on sex life (PCES)		.013	.467	.001	1	1.013	.406
	2.527						
PCES total negative score (PCES)-	.120	.657	.034	1	.887	.245	3.213
Cognitive distortions (ICD)	-.094	.123	.586	1	.910	.715	1.159
Externalisation of self-worth (ICD)		.104	.126	.674	1	1.109	.866
	1.420						
Magnification and fortune telling (ICD)	.053	.143	.139	1	1.054	.797	
	1.394						
Minimisation and arbitrary inferences (ICD)		.250	.158	2.487	1	1.284	
	.941	1.751					
Perfectionism (ICD)		.197	.148	1.783	1	1.218	.912
						1.628	
Emotional reasoning (ICD)		.014	.169	.007	1	1.014	.728
						1.413	

How much do your religious beliefs affect your daily life?	.431	.242	3.172	1
1.539 .958 2.475				

Addicts

Positive effects of pornography on life in general (PCES)	-.177	.333	.283	1
2.863 .436 .436				
Negative effects of pornography on life in general (PCES)	.687	.390	3.102	1
.837 .925 .925				
Negative perceptions of members of the opposite sex (PCES)	.001	.309	.001	1
1.988 .546 .546				
Negative perceptions of members of the same sex (PCES)	.223	.266	.702	1
1.001 .742 .742				
Negative attitudes towards sex (PCES)	-.819	.469	3.051	1
.176		1.250	.176	
Positive effects on sex life (PCES)	-.339	.270	1.579	1
.420		.441	.420	
Negative effects on sex life (PCES)	1.612	.667	5.840	1
1.356		.712	1.356	
PCES total negative score (PCES)	.558	.965	.335	1
		5.010	.264	.264
Cognitive distortions (ICD)	-.493	.207	5.674	1
		1.748*	.407	.407
Externalisation of self-worth (ICD)	.558	.218	6.528	1
1.139		.611*	1.139	
Magnification and fortune telling (ICD)	.445	.224	3.928	1
1.005		1.747*	1.005	
Minimisation and arbitrary inferences (ICD)	.652	.257	6.438	1
1.160 1.160			1.560*	
Perfectionism (ICD)	.716	.256	7.845	1
		1.920*	1.240	1.240
Emotional reasoning (ICD)	.465	.275	2.870	1
		1.591	.930	2.727
How much do your religious beliefs affect your daily life?		1.052	.345	9.275
2.863* 1.455 5.634				1

Note. PCES = Porn Consumption Effects Scale; ICD = Inventory of Cognitive Distortions scale.

*Significant at the $p < 0.05$ level.

**Significant at the $p < 0.001$ level.

Notes

1. As we aimed to explore differences in how people perceived their own behaviours, for the purpose of this study, terms relating to “self-perceived problematic/addictive pornography use” will be used.
2. For ease of reference, participants may be referred to as addicts, somewhat-addicts, and non-addicts in the body of text. This is not to suggest that we subscribe to the notion of an addiction model and refers to the participant's self-identified addiction status.
3. However, we acknowledge that some individuals may not restrict their viewing to only legal or illegal material. At the end of the online survey, participants were presented with the option of participating in a qualitative study (reported in Duffy, Dawson, & das Nair, in prep.).
4. Traditionally, Bonferroni corrections are advised to counteract the Type I error rates that result from carrying out multiple tests, however, many researchers argue that doing so is unnecessary and potentially deleterious to analysis; its interpretation is arbitrary and its performance can reduce power and increase the likelihood of Type II errors (Perneger, 1998). Therefore, we did not perform Bonferroni corrections.
5. Sensitivity analyses were performed with different contrast groups to ensure that overall findings were robust.
6. When using the term “treatment” we are not suggesting that individuals who perceive their pornography use to be problematic are “ill”. Instead, this term refers to the support that clinicians can offer individuals in helping them to understand that they are not ill, and to help them deal with their distress.

References

- Abramson, P.R, Pinkerton, S.D (1995). *Sexual nature/sexual culture*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Aronson, E. (1999). Dissonance, hypocrisy, and the self-concept. In (Ed.), *Readings about the Social Animal*, (pp. 219–236). New York: MacMillan.
- Attwood, F (2010). Younger, paler, decidedly less straight: the new porn professionals. In (Ed.), *Porn.com: making sense of online pornography*. (pp. 88–106). New York: Peter Lang.

Attwood, F. (2005). What do people do with porn? Qualitative research into the consumption, use, and experience of pornography and other sexually explicit media. *Sexuality and culture*, 9(2), 65–86.

Attwood, F. (2007). "Other" or "one of us"? The porn user in public and academic discourse. *Participations: Journal of Audience and Reception Studies*, 4(1), 1–23

Bardi, A., & Schwartz, S. H. (2003). Values and behavior: Strength and structure of relations. *Personality and social psychology bulletin*, 29(10), 1207–1220.

Beck, A., Rush, A., Shaw, B., & Emery, G. (1979). *Cognitive therapy of depression*. New York, NY: Guilford.

Beck, A.T., Davis, D.D, Freeman, A (2015). *Cognitive therapy of personality disorders*. New York: Guilford Publications.

Brehm, S., Kassin, S., & Fein, S. (2002). *Introduction to social psychology*, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.

Brent, D.A, Kolko, D.J, Birmaher, B, Baugher, M, Bridge, J, Roth, C, Holder, D (1998). Predictors of treatment efficacy in a clinical trial of three psychosocial treatments for adolescent depression. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 37(9), 906–914.

Burns, D. D. (1999). *The feeling good handbook*: New York, Plum.

Burns, M. O., & Seligman, M. E. (1989). Explanatory style across the life span: Evidence for stability over 52 years. *Journal of Personality And Social Psychology*, 56(3), 471–477.

Cash, H, Rae, C.D, Steel, A.H, Winkler, A (2012). Internet addiction: A brief summary of research and practice. *Current Psychiatry Reviews*, 8(1), 292–298.

Cavaglioni, G. (2009). Cyber-porn dependence: voices of distress in an Italian internet self-help community. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 7(2), 295–310.

Cooper, A., Delmonico, D. L., Griffin-Shelley, E., & Mathy, R. M. (2004). Online sexual activity: An examination of potentially problematic behaviors. *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity*, 11(3), 129–143.

Duffy, A., Dawson, D., & das Nair, R. (2016). Pornography addiction in adults: A systematic review of definitions and reported impact. *Journal of Sexual Medicine*, 13(5), 760–777.

Dweck, C.S, Chiu, C.Y, Hong, Y.Y (1995). Implicit theories and their role in judgments and reactions: A word from two perspectives. *Psychological Enquiry*, 6(4), 267–285.

Eccles, J.S, Wigfield, A (2002). Motivational beliefs, values, and goals. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 53(1), 109–132.

Ferguson, C.J., Hartley, R.D. (2009). The pleasure is momentary... the expense damnable?: The influence of pornography on rape and sexual assault.. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 14(5), 323–329.

Festinger, L. (1962). *A theory of cognitive dissonance* (Vol 2,). Stanford, Stanford university press.

Freeman, A. (2004). *Clinical applications of cognitive therapy*, Berlin: Springer Science and Business Media.

Freeman, A., & Oster, C. (1999). Cognitive behavior therapy. *Handbook of Comparative Interventions For Adult Disorders*, 2, 108–138.

Goodwin, R, Realo, A, Kwiatkowska, A, Kozlova, A, Luu, L.A.N, Nizharadze, G. (2002). Values and sexual behaviour in central and eastern Europe. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 7(1), 45–56.

Guerra, V.M, Gouveia, V.V, Sousa, D.M, Lima, T.J, Freires, L.A (2012). Sexual liberalism–conservatism: The effect of human values, gender, and previous sexual experience. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 41(4), 1027–1039.

Hald, G. M., & Malamuth, N. M. (2008). Self-perceived effects of pornography consumption. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 37(4), 614–625.

Hamtiaux, A, Houssemand, C (2012). Adaptability, cognitive flexibility, personal need for structure, and rigidity. *Psychology Research*, 2(109), 563–585.

Hardy, S (1998). *The reader, the author, his woman, and her lover: soft-core pornography and heterosexual men*. London, UK: Cassell.

Haselton, M.G, Byrant, G.A, Wilke, A, Frederick, D.A, Frankenhuys, W.E, Moore, T (2009). Adaptive rationality: An evolutionary perspective on cognitive bias. *Social Cognition*, 27(5), 733–763.

Hungerman, D. M. (2014). Do religious proscriptions matter? Evidence from a theory-based test. *Journal of Human Resources*, 49(4), 1053–1093.

Irvine, J.M (1995). Reinventing perversion: Sex addiction and cultural anxieties. *Journal of the History of Sexuality*, 5(3), 429–450.

Kafka, M. P. (2010). Hypersexual disorder: A proposed diagnosis for DSM-V. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 39(2), 377–400.

Kaplan, M. S., & Krueger, R. B. (2010). Diagnosis, assessment, and treatment of hypersexuality. *Journal of Sex Research*, 47(2–3), 181–198.

Keane, H (2002). *What's wrong with addiction ?* Melbourne: University Publish.

Kendall, C. N., & Funk, R. E. (2004). Gay male pornography's “actors” when “fantasy” isn't. *Journal of Trauma Practice*, 2(3–4), 93–114.

Kinsey, A. C, Pomeroy, W.B, Martin, C.E. (2003). *Sexual Behavior in the Human Male*. 1948. *American Journal of Public Health*, 93(6), 894–898.

Kohut, T, Baer, J.L, Watts, B. (2015). Is pornography really about “making hate to women”? Pornography users hold more gender egalitarian attitudes than nonusers in a representative American sample. *Journal of Sex Research*, 5(1), 1–11.

Ley, D., Prause, N., & Finn, P. (2014). The emperor has no clothes: A review of the ‘pornography addiction’ model. *Current Sexual Health Reports*, 6(2), 94–105.

Lickel, B., Steele, R. R., & Schmader, T. (2011). Group-based shame and guilt: Emerging directions in research. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass*, 5(3), 153–163.

Malamuth, N. M., Addison, T., & Koss, M. (2000). Pornography and sexual aggression: Are there reliable effects and can we understand them?. *Annual Review of Sex Research*, 11(1), 26–91.

Marzuk, P. M., Hartwell, N., Leon, A., & Portera, L. (2005). Executive functioning in depressed patients with suicidal ideation. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 112(4), 294–301.

Meston, C.M, Heiman, J.R, Trapnell, P.D, Paulhus, D.L (1998). Socially Desirable Responding and Sexuality Self-Reports. *The Journal of Sex Research*, 35(2), 148–157.

Millon, T, Davis, R.D (1996). *An evolutionary theory of personality disorders*. New York: Guilford Press.

Moritz, S., Kloss, M., von Eckstaedt, F. V., & Jelinek, L. (2009). Comparable performance of patients with obsessive–compulsive disorder (OCD) and healthy controls for verbal and nonverbal memory accuracy and confidence: Time to forget the forgetfulness hypothesis of OCD?. *Psychiatry research*, 166(2), 247–253.

Murnen, S.K, Smolak, L, Mills, J.A, Good, L. (2003). Thin, sexy women and strong, muscular men: Grade-school children's responses to objectified images of women and men. *Sex Roles*, 49(9-10), 427–437.

Musick, M. A., Blazer, D. G., & Hays, J. C. (2000). Religious activity, alcohol use, and depression in a sample of elderly Baptists. *Research on Aging*, 22(2), 91–116.

Paulhus, D.L (1991). Measurement and control of response bias. In (Ed.), *Measures of personality and social psychological attitudes* (pp. 17–59). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Perneger, T.V (1998). What's wrong with Bonferroni adjustments. *British Medical Journal*, 316(7139), 1236–1238.

Pope, N., Voges, K., Kuhn, K.A., Bloxsome, E., 2007, Pornography and erotica: Definitions and prevalence, paper presented at International Nonprofit and Social Marketing Conference Social entrepreneurship, Social Change and Sustainability, Brisbane, 2007. Australia: Giffith University.

Pornography, In *Oxford English Dictionary*. (2011). Retrieved July 11, 2014, from <http://www.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/english/pornography>

Poulsen, F. O., Busby, D. M., & Galovan, A. M. (2013). Pornography use: Who uses it and how it is associated with couple outcomes. *Journal of Sex Research*, 50(1), 72–83.

Preacher, K. J., & Kelley, K. (2011). Effect size measures for mediation models: Quantitative strategies for communicating indirect effects. *Psychological Methods*, 16(2), 93–115.

Pyle, T. M., & Bridges, A. J. (2012). Perceptions of relationship satisfaction and addictive behavior: Comparing pornography and marijuana use. *Journal of Behavioral Addictions*, 1(4), 171–179.

Reid, R. C., Harper, J. M., & Anderson, E. H. (2009). Coping strategies used by hypersexual patients to defend against the painful effects of shame. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy*, 16(2), 125–138.

Reid, R. C., Li, D. S., Gilliland, R., Stein, J. A., & Fong, T. (2011). Reliability, validity, and psychometric development of the Pornography Consumption Inventory in a sample of hypersexual men. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 37(5), 359–385.

Sagiv, L., & Schwartz, S. H. (2000). Value priorities and subjective well-being: Direct relations and congruity effects. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 30(2), 177–198.

Schwartz, M., Galperin, L., & Masters, W. (1995). Dissociation and treatment of compulsive reenactment of trauma: Sexual compulsivity. In *Adult survivors of sexual abuse: Treatment innovations*, 3, 42–55.

Schwartz, S.H (2012). An overview of the Schwartz theory of basic values. *Online readings in Psychology and Culture*, 2(1), 11–31.

Schwartz, S. H., & Bardi, A. (2001). Value hierarchies across cultures taking a similarities perspective. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 32(3), 268–290.

Schwartz, S. H., (2013). Value priorities and behavior: Applying. Paper presented at the psychology of values: The Ontario symposium, Canada.

Shapira, N. A., Lessig, M. C., Goldsmith, T. D., Szabo, S. T., Lazoritz, M., Gold, M. S., & Stein, D. J. (2003). Problematic internet use: Proposed classification and diagnostic criteria. *Depression and Anxiety*, 17(4), 207–216.

Tangney, J., & Dearing, R. L. (2002). *Shame and guilt*, New York: Guilford Press.

Tangney, J.P, Dearing, R.L (2002). *Shame and Guilt*. New York: Guilford Press.

Tangney, J.P, Dearing, R.L, Wagner, P.E, Gramzow, R (2000). *The Test of Self-Conscious Affect-3 (TOSCA-3)*. Fairfax, VA: George Mason University.

Twohig, M. P., Crosby, J. M., & Cox, J. M. (2009). Viewing Internet pornography: For whom is it problematic, how, and why?. *Sexual Addiction and Compulsivity*, 16(4), 253–266.

Uhl, J.K, 2007, *Relationship Between Cognitive Distortions and Psychological and Behavioral Factors in a Family Medicine Outpatient Sample*, Retrieved from PCOM Psychology Dissertations. (Paper 141).

Weinberg, M.S., Williams, C. J., Irizarry, Y. (2010). Pornography, normalization, and empowerment. *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, 39(6), 1389–1401.

Winter, U, Hauri, D, Huber, S, Jenewein, J, Schnyder, U, Kramer, B (2009). The psychological outcome of religious coping with stressful life events in a Swiss sample of church attendees. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics*, 78(4), 240–244.

Wolf, S.T, Cohen, T.R, Panter, A.T, Insko, C.A (2010). Shame proneness and guilt proneness: Toward the further understanding of reactions to public and private transgressions. *Self and Identity*, 9(4), 337–362.

Young, K. S. (2005). Understanding Cybersex Addiction. *The Amplifier*. American Psychological Association Division on Media Psychology, Fall/Winter 2004,9.

Yurica, C.L, DiTomaso, R, 2001, *Inventory of Cognitive Distortions (ICD)*, C.LIn *Inventory of Cognitive Distortions: Development and validation of a psychometric test for the measurement of cognitive distortions*, Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine.

Author information

Athena Duffy

Athena Duffy is a Clinical Psychologist for Kent and Medway NHS and Social Care Partnership Trust, UK.

David L Dawson

David L Dawson is a Research Clinical Psychologist at the University of Lincoln, UK.

Nima G Moghaddam

Nima G Moghaddam is a Research Clinical Psychologist at the University of Lincoln, UK.

Roshan das Nair

Roshan dasNair is Professor of Clinical Psychology & Neuropsychology at the University of Nottingham, UK.