

1 **This is a pre-refereed manuscript. The final version can be found in :**

2 <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00032719.2010.526265>

3 **Analytical letters 44 (2011) 1842-1852**

4

5 **Determination of Arsenic, Mercury and Barium in Herbarium Mount Paper using**
6 **Dynamic Ultrasound-Assisted Extraction prior to Atomic Fluorescence and Absorption**
7 **Spectrometries**

8

9 S. Lummas¹, J. Ruiz-Jiménez², M. D. Luque de Castro², B. Colston¹, J. González-Rodríguez¹
10 *, B. Chen³, W. Corns³

11

12 ¹ Department of Forensic and Biomedical Sciences, University of Lincoln, Brayford Pool,
13 Lincoln, LN6 7TS, UK. Tel: +44(0)1522886878, fax: +44(0)1522886791, e-mail:
14 jgonzalezrodriguez@lincoln.ac.uk.

15

16 ² Department of Analytical Chemistry, Annex C-3, Campus of Rabanales, University of
17 Córdoba, Spain, e-mail: qa1lucam@uco.es

18

19 ³ PS Analytical, Arthur House, Crayfields Industrial Estate, Main Road, Orpington, Kent,
20 BR5 3HP, UK. Tel: +44(0)1689891211, Fax: +44(0)1689896009, e-mail:
21 wtc@psanalytical.com

22

23 *Corresponding author

24

25 **Abstract**

26 A dynamic ultrasound-assisted extraction method using Atomic Absorption and Atomic
27 Fluorescence spectrometers as detectors was developed to analyse mercury, arsenic and
28 barium from herbarium mount paper originating from the herbarium collection of the
29 National Museum of Wales. The variables influencing extraction were optimised by a
30 multivariate approach. The optimal conditions were found to be 1% HNO₃ extractant solution
31 used at a flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. The duty cycle and amplitude of the ultrasonic probe was
32 found to be 50% in both cases with an ultrasound power of 400 W. The optimal distance
33 between the probe and the top face of the extraction chamber was found to be 0 cm. Under
34 these conditions the time required for complete extraction of the three analytes was 25 min.

1 Cold vapour and hydride generation coupled to atomic fluorescence spectrometry was
2 utilized to determine mercury and arsenic, respectively. The chemical and instrumental
3 conditions were optimized to provide detection limits of 0.01ng g^{-1} and 1.25 ng g^{-1} for
4 mercury and arsenic, respectively. Barium was determined by graphite-furnace atomic
5 absorption spectrometry, with a detection limit of 25 ng g^{-1} . By using 0.5 g of sample, the
6 concentrations of the target analytes varied for the different types of paper and ranged
7 between $0.4\text{--}2.55\text{ }\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ for Ba, $0.035\text{--}10.47\text{ }\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ for As and $0.0046\text{--}2.37\text{ }\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ for Hg.

8
9
10 Keywords: mercury, arsenic, barium, ultrasound extraction, Atomic Spectroscopy,
11 remediation

1 **1. Introduction**

2
3 The presence of heavy metals in museum natural history collections has been raising
4 concerns for a number of years. Toxic metals, such as arsenic and mercury, are present as
5 residues of past biocide treatments applied to prevent the degradation of the organic material
6 within the collections. The presence of these residues raises health and safety issues for staff
7 and visitors handling the collections. From the early eighteenth century, a variety of different
8 biocide recipes have been used, including mercuric chloride, lead hydrogen arsenate, arsenic
9 trioxide and barium fluorosilicate. Although these treatments, and the recipes used, are
10 recorded -for example, in different taxidermy handbooks (Marte et al. 2006)-, records of
11 specific biocide applications within collections are sporadic, making identification of those
12 likely to be contaminated difficult. With large collections (the National Museum of Wales
13 herbarium (NMW) houses c. 250,000 higher plant specimens), it is not feasible, nor cost-
14 effective, to identify contamination through analysing the whole collection. Current research
15 at the University of Lincoln (Purewal et al. 2008) aims to develop a rapid screening method
16 for these large collections, providing a means to prioritise which collections require
17 immediate re-mounting, to inform the implementation of standard procedures to protect
18 personnel and visitors handling the collections, and to enable the removal of a large amount
19 of hazardous chemical from the herbarium environment. As part of this work, the analysis of
20 trace levels of mercury, arsenic and barium within a paper matrix is essential. Mercury toxic
21 levels vary depending on the chemical state of the metal and exposure, but effects on humans
22 have been reported at concentrations as low as $10.5 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ (Yang et al. 1994). Mercury
23 distributes to all tissues and accumulates in the brain. Known effects are neurological
24 damage, cancer, cardiovascular damage and renal and hepatic problems. Arsenic produces
25 different symptoms from nausea at low levels to acute poisoning to death when
26 concentrations are above $500 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$. Chronic exposure can produce skin, lung or liver cancer
27 (Jickells and Negrusz 2008). Most insoluble salts of Barium are non toxic, but soluble ones
28 are extremely toxic and an oral fatal dose is as little as 0.8 g in humans. In some cases of non
29 fatal poisoning, blood concentrations up to 8mg L^{-1} have been reported (Boehnert 1988).

30 There are many analytical methods suitable for the analysis of these metals. Arsenic
31 (Saraswati et al. 1995) and mercury (Zhang and Adeloju 2008) can be subjected to hydride
32 generation and cold vapour generation, respectively, prior to atomic absorption spectrometry,
33 although inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) tends to be the favourite
34 analysis technique in many cases, due to its multi-elemental analysis capability and low

1 detection limits (Özden 2008). The main shortcomings ICP-MS faces at present are both the
2 high acquisition costs and, more importantly, expensive maintenance owing to high gas
3 consumption. An alternative more economical technique to ICP-MS is vapour generation
4 atomic fluorescence spectrometry. This approach provides similar analytical performance for
5 mercury and hydride forming elements with superior selectivity and linearity. AFS has
6 proved to be effective for the analysis of these metals in different matrices after proper
7 extraction of the target species (Priego-López and Luque de Castro 2002; Fernández-Pérez et
8 al. 2000; Fernández-Pérez et al. 1999). Barium can be easily analysed in different matrices
9 using atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS) with (Kalny et al. 2007) or without graphite
10 furnace (Jaiswal et al. 2006).

11 The preferred sample preparation technique prior to determination of metals in most
12 matrices is digestion, which can be implemented using different approaches (Marte et al.
13 2006). In the case of arsenic and mercury special care must be taken as some species of these
14 analytes are volatile and losses during the sample preparation step can be significant.

15 Some approaches, which have provided good efficiencies for the isolation of arsenic and
16 mercury from solid matrices, are focused microwave-assisted Soxhlet extraction (Fernández-
17 Pérez et al. 2000) and subcritical water extraction (Priego-López and Luque de Castro 2002;
18 Luque de Castro and Priego-Capote 2006), among others (Marte et al. 2006). A very
19 promising alternative energy to accelerate extraction is ultrasound (Luque de Castro and
20 Priego-Capote 2006), which has been proved effective for the extraction of different metals in
21 matrices such as cotton (Rezić 2009), sediments and soil (Collasiol et al. 2004) and biological
22 tissues (Krishna et al 2005).

23 The main aim of this study was to develop an alternative method to the existing ones based
24 on extraction assisted by ultrasound and atomic spectroscopy for the removal of mercury,
25 arsenic and barium from contaminated mount paper from the NMW herbarium. To the best of
26 our knowledge, ultrasound extraction has never been reported for the extraction of
27 contaminated paper or any other museum material. The use of vapour generation coupled to
28 atomic fluorescence spectrometry for the determination of arsenic and mercury in this kind of
29 sample is also scarce.

30

31 **2. Experimental**

32

33 *2.1 Instruments and apparatus*

34

1 Ultrasonic irradiation was applied by means of a Branson 450 sonifier (20 kHz, 400 W)
2 equipped with a cylindrical titanium alloy probe (12.7mm diameter) which was immersed in
3 a water bath in which the extraction cell was placed. An extraction chamber consisting of a
4 stainless steel cylinder (5 cm in length and 1 cm i.d.), closed with screws at either end, was
5 used, allowing circulation of the leaching solvent at a controlled flow rate. The screw caps
6 were covered with stainless steel filter plates to ensure that the sample remained in the
7 extraction chamber. Despite the sample coming into contact with the stainless steel cylinder
8 no contamination problems or losses of analyte were found. This was validated by
9 performing extractions on spiked paper samples and also blank extractions using the optimal
10 working conditions. A Gilson Minipuls-3 low-pressure peristaltic pump, programmed for
11 changing the rotation direction at preset intervals, and PTFE tubing of 0.8 mm i.d. were used
12 to construct the flow manifolds for sample extraction. The pump was operated through a
13 personal computer and associated software.

14 Mercury determinations were conducted using a PSA 10.025 Millennium Merlin
15 continuous flow cold vapour generation using tin (II) chloride reduction with atomic
16 fluorescence spectrometry. This device is described in more detail elsewhere (Reis et al.
17 2003)

18 A PSA 10.055 Millennium Excalibur hydride generation atomic fluorescence spectrometer
19 equipped with boosted discharge hollow cathode lamp operated at 27.5 mA primary and 35.0
20 mA boost current was utilized to determine arsenic (Moreno et al. 2000). Hydride generation
21 was performed using a sodium tetrahydroborate (III) reductant after pre-reduction of arsenate
22 to arsenite using potassium iodide. Atomization of the gaseous hydride was achieved using an
23 argon-hydrogen diffusion flame fuelled by the excess hydrogen produced from the
24 decomposition of the NaBH₄ reagent.

25 A Varian SpectrAA 110 atomic absorption spectrometer furnished with an electrothermal
26 atomizer (ETA), and equipped with a Varian GTA 110 autosampler was used for barium
27 determination. A Varian hollow-cathode lamp was used as radiation source. The lamp current
28 was at 20 mA and the monochromator set at 553.6 nm.

29

30 *2.2 Chemicals and samples*

31

32 Ultrapure water from a Milli-Q system from Millipore, (Bedford, USA) was used throughout.
33 All glassware and bottles used were cleaned in 4 M HNO₃ for 4 days and rinsed with high-

1 purity water before use. Analytical grade 65% HNO₃ (Panreac, Barcelona, Spain) was used
2 for preparation of the leaching carrier (1% HNO₃).

3 Individual stock solutions of 1 g L⁻¹ As (III), Hg (II), and Ba (II) from Fluka (Buchs,
4 Switzerland) were prepared and, from these, the standard working solutions were prepared
5 immediately before use by dilution with water or the appropriate reagent blank for the vapour
6 generation system.

7 Sodium tetrahydroborate (III) reducing reagent was prepared daily at 0.7% (w/v) from
8 Sigma (St. Louis, USA) stabilised in 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH. The reagent blank for the hydride
9 generation system was 30% (v/v) HCl (Panreac) with 2% (v/v) of the pre-reducing agent
10 (50% w/v KI in 10% w/v Ascorbic acid).

11 After the results of the optimisation process, Tin (II) chloride at 1% (w/v) (Merck)
12 prepared in 10% v/v HCl was used to reduce Hg (II) to elemental Hg. The reagent blank for
13 cold vapour generation system was 10% HCl.

14 Argon (Carbueros Metálicos) was used as the protective gas in the ETAAS determination
15 procedure and also as carrier gas for the vapour generation systems.

16 Four herbarium mount papers were obtained from the NMW (succession nos.
17 28·131·2095, 28·131·20956, V·2008·2·991 and V·2008·4·1486). The specimens had been
18 previously removed and remounted.

20 *2.3 Sample preparation*

22 Each mount paper was divided into eight sections and a letter assigned to each as illustrated
23 in Fig. 1. The sections were then cut into approximately 2.5 cm squares and stored separately
24 in labelled plastic containers. A sample of 0.5 g was removed from each section and
25 subjected to ultrasound-assisted extraction.

27 *2.4 Proposed method*

29 *2.4.1 Extraction procedure.*

30 Prior to ultrasound extraction, each 0.5 g spiked paper was weighed and placed in the
31 extraction chamber of the dynamic approach in Fig. 2, which was assembled and filled with
32 the leaching carrier (1%v/v HNO₃) impelled by the peristaltic pump. After filling, the
33 extraction chamber was immersed into the water bath at room temperature (20°C),
34 maintained during the extraction time. As the methodology is developed in a closed-system,

1 analyte losses were kept to a minimum. The extractant carrier was then circulated through the
2 solid sample for a period of 25 minutes under ultrasonic irradiation (duty cycle 0.5 s, output
3 amplitude 50% of the converter, applied power 400 W with the probe placed at the top
4 surface of the extraction cell). During extraction, the direction of the carrier (at 1 mL min⁻¹)
5 was changed each 120 s, thus minimising the compactness of the sample in the extraction cell
6 that could cause overpressure in the system. Once the extraction time was completed the
7 leaching carrier was removed from the system and diluted to 10 mL with 1% v/v HNO₃.

8 9 2.4.2 Determination procedures.

10 Barium was determined by ETAAS after extraction under the working conditions shown
11 in Table 1.

12 Arsenic and mercury were determined using vapour generation atomic fluorescence
13 spectrometry (AFS). For arsenic measurements a pre-reduction was used to convert arsenate
14 to arsenite prior to hydride generation. The pre-reduction was found to take approximately 30
15 minutes at room temperature. The reduced sample was injected into a HCl carrier in the
16 manifold as shown in Figure 3. The working conditions for this determination are in Table 2.
17 The volatile hydride formed was swept out of the gas–liquid separator by an argon stream
18 into the chemically generated hydrogen diffusion flame. The hydride was atomised in the
19 flame and detected by fluorescence spectrometry.

20 The manifold to form cold vapour from Hg(II) and subsequent AFS detection was the
21 same as for As (Fig. 3). The Hg(II) was reduced to Hg(0) by a SnCl₂ stream and swept out of
22 the gas–liquid separator by an argon stream into the atomic fluorescence detector. The
23 volume injected was 100 µL in both cases.

24 25 **3. Results and Discussion**

26 27 *3.1 Optimisation of the method*

28
29 3.1.1 Optimisation of the formation of volatile species. A half-fractioned design type IV
30 resolution was applied, involving 8 experiments plus 3 centre points for optimization of the
31 four factors (acid concentration of the carrier, concentration of the reductant agent — sodium
32 borohydride for As and tin chloride for Hg — and flow rates of the liquid phase and carrier
33 gas). The conclusions of this study showed that only the flow-rates of the liquid phase and
34 carrier gas are statistically influential factors and lower and higher flow rates should be

1 studied for the donor and acceptor phases, respectively. However, it was also shown that the
2 best results were obtained when high acid concentration of the carrier solution and low
3 concentration of the reductant agent were used — namely, 6% and 2%, respectively. These
4 values were, therefore, selected for subsequent experiments. A response surface for these
5 variables yielded 1.50 mL min^{-1} and 325 mL min^{-1} as optimal values for the liquid phase and
6 carrier gas flow rate, respectively. The same optimum flow rates were obtained for both As
7 and Hg as shown in table 2.

8
9 3.1.2 Optimisation of the extraction step. For optimisation of this step, seven variables
10 were considered, namely: the probe position, ultrasound radiation amplitude, percentage of
11 duty cycle of ultrasound exposure, irradiation time, flow-rate, composition and volume of the
12 extractant. The latter was studied by changing the coil length. The response variable was the
13 concentration for each metal as determined under optimal conditions previously determined.

14 A Plackett–Burman design $2^7 \times 3/32$ type III resolution allowing four degrees of freedom
15 and involving 12 randomised runs plus three centre points was built for a screening study of
16 the behaviour of the seven factors affecting the extraction process. The upper and lower
17 values given to each factor were selected from the available data and experience gathered in
18 the preliminary experiments. The tested and the optimum values obtained for each variable
19 are shown in Table 3.

20 The conclusions of this screening study were that none of the factors were statistically
21 influential within the studied ranges, except the extraction time. However, the results showed
22 better extraction efficiencies with the minimum value of extractant flow-rate, probe position,
23 composition of the extractant and percentage of duty cycle of ultrasound exposure and
24 maximum values of the other three variables. Thus, the lowest value tested for the flow-rate,
25 probe position, composition of the extractant and percentage of duty cycle of ultrasound
26 exposure (namely, 1 mL min^{-1} , 0 cm, 1% and 10%, respectively) and the highest values tested
27 for the ultrasound radiation amplitude and the extractant volume (namely, 50% and 2 mL,
28 respectively) were selected for subsequent experiments.

29 A kinetics study was developed in order to determine the time required for complete
30 extraction of the target analytes by keeping the other variables at their optimum values (see
31 Table 3). Complete extraction was achieved within 25 min.

32
33 *3.2 Application of the method*

1 Paper spiked with the three elements was used to calculate the recoveries using ultrasound
2 extraction. The final concentration of each element in the 15.7 cm x 10.8 cm spiked paper
3 was As $2.33 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ (91 % recovery), Hg $1.85 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ (93% recovery) and Ba $1.40 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ (87%
4 recovery). Using standardised samples the analytical limits of detection and quantification,
5 precision (sample concentration ranging from 10 ppb to 100ppb) and calibration curves were
6 also calculated. Table 4 summarises all the results obtained for these analytical characteristic
7 of the method.

8 The proposed method was applied to papers donated by the National Museum of Wales
9 from the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. A faint darkened area was visible on some
10 of the papers that under a certain light appeared to be the outline of leaves. Strips of tape
11 were also present on the papers that had presumably been used to secure the plant in a given
12 position.

13 Figure 4 shows the results obtained by application of the proposed method, which are also
14 summarised in Table 5. The variance obtained in the analysis of the target metals in the
15 different pieces of the same paper is likely due to the method of biocide application – dipping
16 of specimen prior to mounting; brushing, spraying or sprinkling of powders over mounted
17 specimens- rather than lack of reproducibility in the method. This is supported by precision
18 data as can be seen in table 4. Due to the nature of the material, sample replicates were not
19 possible. It seems that the sections of the paper which were covered by plant material have
20 lower metal concentration than those which are uncovered. Furthermore, this variability is
21 greater for mercury followed by arsenic and barium. The lower variability found for barium
22 may be indicative of its use in the paper manufacture at that time rather than as a biocide.

23 In terms of concentration, lower levels of mercury were found on the papers than either
24 barium or arsenic. This may reflect the relative historic use of these metals as biocides, or
25 may be indicative of their respective longevities within the collection – there is evidence to
26 suggest that the degradation of paper reduces Hg(II) to Hg(0) over time, with subsequent loss
27 of mercury to the atmosphere. In all cases, the four papers analysed showed differences in the
28 amounts of biocides they contained. As shown in table 4, the amounts of studied metals
29 determined in the paper specimens greatly differ, making an overall estimate of the problem
30 difficult. The average size of the mounting paper was 25 x 40cm with an average weight of
31 50g. Using table 5, the following approximated average concentrations can be therefore
32 established: Hg ($17 \mu\text{g}$ average per paper sheet), As ($194 \mu\text{g}$ per paper sheet) and Ba ($49 \mu\text{g}$
33 per paper sheet). Considering the amount of these materials stored in collections all across the
34 UK, replacement and subsequent disposal may represent a health and environmental problem.

1 In our study each mount sheet was found to contain toxic levels of the different metals
2 analysed. Ultrasound extraction can be considered as an alternative both for the analytical
3 extraction prior to determination and remediation of the contaminated paper for their safe and
4 rapid disposal. In this sense, a larger scale system than the one used in this paper could
5 quickly, safely and economically remove much higher amounts of biocides and transform the
6 solid residue into a liquid residue, easier to treat. The use of diluted nitric acid in the
7 extraction readily allows neutralisation of the exhausted solid residue obtained after the
8 extraction for its safe disposal.

10 **4. Conclusion**

11 A novel method based on ultrasound-assisted extraction and ulterior determination of arsenic,
12 mercury and barium in paper by atomic absorption spectroscopy and atomic fluorescence
13 spectrometry has been developed. Following extraction, atomic absorption spectrometric
14 analysis of sample papers for barium showed a quasi-homogenous distribution over the entire
15 area of each paper, indicating either an even application of biocide or, more likely, the
16 inclusion of barium in the paper manufacture process. Mercury and arsenic showed a
17 different concentration in all four paper samples, although the response within the paper can
18 be considered almost homogeneous. This may reflect different papers being exposed to
19 solutions containing different metal amounts, but may also be indicative of the different
20 behaviour of these residues on a degrading substrate. On average, the amounts of metals
21 present in the paper specimens were found to be toxic. Ultrasound extraction successfully
22 removed the metals from the paper matrix, offering a cheap and quick method to extract these
23 metals from solid paper waste before disposal.

25 **5. Acknowledgements**

26 The work developed was partially funded by an Erasmus grant and developed at the
27 Department of Analytical Chemistry of the University of Córdoba. Special thanks to PS
28 Analytical for the use of the Excalibur Atomic Fluorimetry detector and complementary
29 equipment for the analysis of As and Hg of the paper extracts in its facilities at London. We
30 also wish to thank the National Museum of Wales for kindly donating the paper samples.

1 **References**

2

3 Boehnert M. 1988. Soluble barium salts. *Clin. Toxicol. Rev.*, 10: 1-2.

4

5 Collasiol A., Pozebon D., Maia S.M. 2004. Ultrasound assisted mercury extraction from soil
6 and sediment. *Analytica Chimica Acta* 518(1-2): 157-164.

7

8 Fernández-Pérez V., García-Ayuso L.E., Luque de Castro M.D. 2000. Focused microwave
9 Soxhlet device for rapid extraction of mercury, arsenic and selenium from coal prior to
10 atomic fluorescence detection. *Analyst* 125 (2): 317-322.

11

12 Fernández-Pérez V., Jimenez-Carmona M.M., Luque de Castro M.D. 1999. Continuous
13 extraction with acidified subcritical water of arsenic, selenium and mercury from coal prior to
14 on-line derivatisation-atomic fluorescence detection. *Journal of Analytical Atomic*
15 *Spectrometry* 14 (11): 1761-1765.

16

17 Jaiswal A.K., Gupta M., Moon D.V., Moharana M. 2006. Determination of Barium in
18 forensic samples by atomic absorption spectrophotometer. *Journal of Forensic Medicine and*
19 *Toxicology* 23 (1): 6-8.

20

21 Jickells S., Negrusz A. 2008. *Clarke's Analytical Forensic Toxicology* . PhP Pharmaceutical
22 Press, London

23

24 Kalny P., Fijalek Z., Daszczuk A., Ostapczuk P. 2007. Determination of selected
25 microelements in polish herbs and their infusions. *Science of the Total Environment* 381 (1-
26 3): 99-104.

27

28 Krishna M.V.B., Ranjit M., Karunasagar D., Arunachalam J. 2005. A rapid ultrasound-
29 assisted thiurea extraction method for the determination of inorganic and methyl mercury in
30 biological and environmental samples by CVAAS. *Talanta* 67: 70-80.

31

32 Luque de Castro M. D., Priego-Capote F. 2006. *Analytical Applications of Ultrasound*, first
33 edition, Elsevier, Amsterdam.

34

- 1 Marte F., Pequignot A., Von Endt D.W. 2006. Arsenic in Taxidermy collections: History,
2 detection and management, *Collection Forum* 21(1-2): 143-150.
3
- 4 Moreno E., Cámara C., Corns W.T., Bryce D.W., Stockwell P.B. 2000. Arsenic speciation in
5 beverages by direct injection-ion chromatography- hydride generation atomic Fluorescence
6 spectrometry. *J. Aut. Metholog. & Manag. Chem.* 22 (2): 33–39.
7
- 8 Özden Ö. 2008. Study of seasonal heavy metal and macronutrient mineral profile of mussels
9 (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry methods.
10 *Fresenius Environmental Bulletin* 17 (9 A): 1300-1306.
11
- 12 Priego-López E., Luque de Castro M.D. 2002. Demetalisation of soils by continuous
13 acidified subcritical water extraction. *Talanta* 58 (2): 377-385.
14
- 15 Purewal V., Colston B., Röhrs S. 2008. Developing a simple screening method for the
16 identification of historic biocide residues on herbarium material in museum collections. *X-ray*
17 *spectrometry* 37: 137-141.
18
- 19 Reis B. F., Ródenas-Torrallba E., Sancenón-Buleo J., Morales-Rubio A., de la Guardia M.
20 2003. Multicommutation cold vapour atomic fluorescence determination of Hg in water.
21 *Talanta* 60 (4): 809-819
22
- 23 Rezić I. 2009. Optimisation of ultrasonic extraction of 23 elements from cotton. *Ultrasonics*
24 *Sonochemistry* 16(1): 63-69
25
- 26 Saraswati R., Vetter T.W., Watters Jr. R.L. 1995. Determination of arsenic, selenium and
27 mercury in an estuarine sediment standard reference material using flow injection and atomic
28 absorption spectrometry. *Mikrochimica Acta* 118 (3-4): 163-175.
29
- 30 Yang Y.J., Huang C.C., Shih T.S., Yang S.S. 1994. Chronic elemental mercury intoxication:
31 clinical and field studies in lamp socket manufacturers. *Occupational and*
32 *Environmental Medicine.* 51(4): 267–270.
33

1 Zhang Y., Adeloju S.B. 2008. A novel sequential injection-Cold vapour atomic absorption
2 spectrometric system for rapid and reliable determination of mercury. *Talanta* 74 (4): 951-
3 957.

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

1 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

2
3 **Fig. 1** A photograph of V·2008·4(1486). The positioning of the sections is illustrated together
4 with the brown staining from the plant and absent regions of paper

5
6 **Fig. 2** Approach used for ultrasound-assisted extraction of As, Hg and Ba from paper

7
8 **Fig. 3** Dynamic approach for chemical vaporisation (As hydride) and cold atomic vapour
9 formation (Hg) prior to fluorescence detection. The carrier solution was HCl in both cases
10 and NaBH₄ or SnCl₂ were used as reagent (reductant) for As and Hg, respectively

11
12 **Fig. 4** Different metal concentrations in the analysed paper samples

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

1 **Table captions**

2

3 **Table 1.** Values of the instrumental parameters for the determination of Ba by ETAAS

4

5 **Table 2.** Optimisation of the formation of volatile species prior to the determination of
6 mercury and arsenic by atomic fluorescence spectrometry

7

8 **Table 3.** Optimisation of the ultrasound-assisted extraction step

9

10 **Table 4.** Summary of the analytical properties for the different metals

11

12 **Table 5.** Results showing the content of the metals in the whole paper sheet as an average of
13 the different sections analysed (differences between them are expressed as %RSD)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30


31

32

33


34

1 Figure 1



2

1 Figure 2




2

3

4 Figure 3

5



6

7


8

9

10

1 Figure 4

2



3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

1 **Tables**

2

3 **Table 1**

4

Parameter (ETAAS)	Ba
λ (nm)	553.6
SW(nm)	0.4
T dry stage (°C)	115
Time (s)	42
T ash stage (°C)	1200
Time (s)	30
T atomisation stage (°C)	2550
Time (s)	5.0

5

6

7

8

9 **Table 2**

10

Variables	Range investigated	Optimum value
[HCl] (%)	1 – 7	6
[SnCl ₂], [NaBH ₄] (%)	1 – 5	2
Liquid flow rate (mL min ⁻¹)	1 – 3	1.5
Gas flow rate (mL min ⁻¹)	100 – 350	325

11

12

13

14 **Table 3**

15

Variable	Range investigated	Optimum value
[HNO ₃] (%)	1 – 3	1
Flow rate (mL min ⁻¹)	1 – 3	1
Probe position (cm)	0 – 2	0
Duty cycle (s)	0.1 – 0.5	0.5
Amplitude (%)	10 – 50	50
Extraction time (min)	1 – 25	25
Extraction volume (mL)	1 – 2	2

16

17

18

19

20

1

2 **Table 4**

	As	Hg	Ba
Precision (n=5) %RSD	12.7 (10 ng g ⁻¹) 2.7 (100 ng g ⁻¹)	4.6 (10 ng g ⁻¹) 3.6 (100 ng g ⁻¹)	4.2 (34ng mL ⁻¹)
LoD (ng g⁻¹)	1.25	0.01	25
LoQ (ng g⁻¹)	6.25	0.05	125
Calibration curve (R²)	y=2.9121x+29.134 (0.9932)	y=1.3981x+175.75 (0.9938)	y = 0.005x - 0.0014 (0.9921)
Recovery (%)	91 (2.33 µg g ⁻¹)	93 (1.85 µg g ⁻¹)	87 (1.40 µg g ⁻¹)

3

4

5

6 **Table 5**

7

Paper	Hg (µg g⁻¹)	RSD (%)	As (µg g⁻¹)	RSD (%)	Ba (µg g⁻¹)	RSD (%)
28-131-2095	0.150	22.8	8.623	12.1	1.093	23.7
28-131-20956	0.115	71.7	6.434	9.4	1.680	42.8
V-2008-2-991	0.011	37.1	0.069	78.6	0.659	14.8
V-2008-4-1486	1.097	57.3	0.775	27.5	0.528	13.4

8

9