A Soft+Rigid Hybrid Exoskeleton Concept in Scissors-Pendulum Mode: A Suit for Human State Sensing and an Exoskeleton for Assistance
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Abstract—In this paper, we present a novel concept that can enable the human aware control of exoskeletons through the integration of a soft suit and a robotic exoskeleton. Unlike the state-of-the-art exoskeleton controllers which mostly rely on lumped human-robot models, the proposed concept makes use of the independent state measurements concerning the human user and the robot. The ability to observe the human state independently is the key factor in this approach. In order to realize such a system from the hardware point of view, we propose a system integration frame that combines a soft suit for human state measurement and a rigid exoskeleton for human assistance. We identify the technological requirements that are necessary for the realization of such a system with a particular emphasis on soft suit integration. We also propose a template model, named scissor pendulum, that may encapsulate the dominant dynamics of the human-robot combined model to synthesize a controller for human state regulation. A series of simulation experiments were conducted to check the controller performance. As a result, satisfactory human state regulation was attained, adequately confirming that the proposed system could potentially improve exoskeleton-aided applications.

I. INTRODUCTION

A practical method for walking recovery in paraplegia patients is the use of active exoskeleton robots. In this method, the patient is provided with a wearable robotic system that has the capability to move the legs forward. The first generation of exoskeleton systems can only support active F/E (Flexion/Extension) movement through the hip and knee joints, while the healthy upper body provides balance using aids such as walkers and crutches [1]–[3].

B. Ugurlu, R. Unal, and O. Bebek are with the Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Ozyegin University, 34794 Istanbul, Turkey. e-mail: {barkan.ugurlu, ramazan.unal, ozkan.bebek}@ozyegin.edu.tr

D. E. Barkana is with the Dept. of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, Yeditepe University, 34755, Istanbul, Turkey. e-mail: duygunerol@yeditepe.edu.tr

I. Gocek is with the Dept. of Textile Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, 34457, Istanbul, Turkey. e-mail: gocek1@itu.edu.tr

M. Acer is with the Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, 34437, Istanbul, Turkey. e-mail: acerm@itu.edu.tr

A. Kucukyilmaz is with the School of Computer Science, University of Lincoln, LN6 7TS, Lincoln, U.K. e-mail: akucukyilmaz@lincoln.ac.uk

E. Samur is with the Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Bogazici University, 34342, Istanbul, Turkey. e-mail: evren.samur@boun.edu.tr

E. Ugur is with the Dept. of Computer Engineering, Bogazici University, 34342, Istanbul, Turkey. e-mail: emre.ugur@boun.edu.tr

Y. Z. Arslan is with the Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Istanbul University, 34320, Istanbul, Turkey. e-mail: yzarslan@istanbul.edu.tr

H. Basturk is with the Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Bogazici University, 34342, Istanbul, Turkey. He is currently with a private company. e-mail: basturkhalil@gmail.com

Although these robots could not provide full walking support to the patient, the body weight was relatively reflected to the ground, resulting in reduced physiological problems such as pressure sores, bone and muscle loss, lower circulation of blood in the lower trunk, and improved patients’ psychological recovery as a result of walking recovery [1]–[5]. However, the functionality of these robots are often argued and certain improvements are required [6]–[9].

Exoskeleton robots can be used effectively to provide paraplegics with walking benefit, but one of the most important problems encountered in the control of these systems is the inability to observe the physical state of the human. Some researchers assume that the robot and human joints coincide exactly, but that the rotation center of the human knee joint is not stable throughout the movement invalidates this hypothesis [10], [11]. Even if there is full overlap with the use of self-aligning mechanisms, data can only be obtained from the knee and hip joints, causing the remaining human joints data to be not retrievable. To provide a possible solution this problem, EMG (electromyography) sensors and goniometers have been used, it is a matter of discussion whether these offer a permanent solution due to their undesirable characteristics, e.g., day-to-day calibration, noise, deployment issues, and fragility [12].

Due to the loss of the sensorimotor control concerning the lower body of the paraplegics, the common control strategy of the exoskeleton robots is to track preplanned joint trajectories with high-gain controllers, e.g., PID. Although such strategies are sufficient for basic walking support, they cannot guarantee a feasible walking performance of the human-robot integrated system [13]. Since the mutual interaction of the human-robot integrated system with the outer environment is not included within the controller, a consistent and global characterization of the walking motion cannot be acquired [14]. In other words, most state-of-the-art exoskeletons are controlled similar to a playback device, therefore, users undergo long, exhausting and risky training processes to adapt to the exoskeleton robots [8], [15]–[17].

The inability to fully observe the human physical condition has prevented the implementation of comprehensive controllers that were applied to humanoids [18]–[21]. For instance, simplified and abstracted models, such as an inverted pendulum, have been used for bipedal walking controllers in an effective way [20], [21]. Yet, even such simplified controllers may not be directly adapted to exoskeleton-based walking support due to non-collocation between human and robot states. Hence, we argue that human state measurement...
is required to adapt the aforementioned humanoid controllers to exoskeleton-supported walking.

With this aforementioned objective in mind, this paper presents a concept in which a soft suit is integrated into a rigid exoskeleton system to address human state-aware control. To achieve this goal, the required hardware technologies were identified with a particular emphasis on the soft suit for human state measurement. An abstracted model named scissor pendulum was constructed so as to encapsulate the dominant characteristics of a human-robot system. Using this model, a baseline controller was constructed and verified in simulations.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section II discloses the proposed soft-rigid hybrid exoskeleton concept. Section III explains the scissor pendulum model that is used to represent a human-robot system. Section IV presents the simulation experiments and the paper is concluded in V.

II. SOFT+RIGID HYBRID EXOSKELETON CONCEPT

A. Exoskeleton Structure

The proposed concept is illustrated in Fig. 1, together with the simplified CAD data of the robot. The system includes a conventional lower body exoskeleton that can provide assistance through hip and knee F/E (Flexion/Extension) joints, in a similar manner to several commercially available devices [1]–[3]. In order to address high-fidelity torque-control capability, it is powered via custom-built series elastic actuators [22].

B. Soft Suit

Recent developments in wearable sensors provide a solution for developing functional, sensory, stretchable suits which can be used for human state measurement. 2D layer-by-layer manufacturing processes enable us to build low-profile, embeddable and soft sensors. Besides, the sensor geometry can be customized in accordance with the users’ limbs easily. Flexible strain sensors are used for measuring the human joint angles and they will be embedded in an elastic fabric using inkjet printing technologies. They should provide conductivity up to 50-60 degrees. The distributed force sensing elements will be embedded in the sole in order to measure and localize the ground reaction force.

Conductive patterns can be printed using carbon nano powders [23], carbon nanotubes [24], silver nanoparticles [25] and embedded in stretchable clothes or elastomer layers. Inkjet printed stretchable circuits are very promising for the development of these sensors as they can provide high resolution, less complex fabrication, compatibility with the materials for embeddability. While walking, the tension on the flexible strain sensor that is attached to the joints changes and the sensor converts the tension into a change in electrical resistance in relation to the conductive patterns. To measure the resistance change, a Wheatstone bridge circuit is used.

The mapping between the sensor outputs and joint angles must be learned via training. To this end, a certain amount of data collected from a motion capture system is used as a baseline to train necessary functions concerning the aforementioned conversion. While capturing the joint angles, a simplified human skeleton kinematics model can be used [26]. Furthermore, human center of mass can be estimated using the approximate mass distribution over the simplified kinematics model [27], [28].

Force feedback is also a very important feature for the control of exoskeletons. Soft sensors which are able to localize and measure the force are very useful for determining the ground reaction force and center of pressure variations while walking when placed under the feet soles. These sensors are composed of smart materials placed in an array pattern and covered with thin elastomer layers. 9 PZT (Lead Zirconate Titanate, PSI-5H4E) elements are positioned in a 3x3 matrix form and have 5 layers as shown in Fig. 2.
The flexible PZT sensor accuracy is 0.578-0.821 V/N. The electrode paths for each PZT element are designed such that the output signals can be taken individually. First, the copper-kapton (Pyralux) sheets are painted and the paint from the patterns which are supposed to be insulated is etched using a laser cutting/etching machine. Second, the copper from these patterns is etched using a solution of hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) and hydrochloric acid (HCl). The top and bottom outlines of the electrode patterns are cut using the laser cutting/etching machine. By this way, we have two flexible electrode layers that will connect from the top and the bottom of each PZT element using silver conductive paste (Circuitworks Conductive Epoxy, CW2460). Finally, liquid elastomers (Ecoflex 30) are used to prepare thin (0.5 mm) elastic layers in order to cover the sensor. The elastomer layers not only protect the PZT elements from the force impacts but also provide the distribution of the forces on the surface which enable to measure the forces continuously on the sensor area. This soft sensor can cover a sole and measure the amplitude and location of the reaction forces while walking; see Fig. 3. This feature will enable to develop the compliant controllers of the human-robot combined system.

III. SCISSOR PENDULUM MODEL-BASED CONTROL

A. Equations of Motion

The soft suit embedded within the exoskeleton structure can output all the joint angles and may enable whole-body controllers. As a less computationally-expensive alternative, pendulum-based methods can be used [31]; however, a single inverted pendulum may not represent the dominant characteristics of the system due to non-collocation between human and robot states.

To provide a baseline abstracted model for exoskeleton-supported walking, we propose the scissor pendulum model; see Fig. 4. In this figure, $\theta_a$, $L_a$, $m_a$, $J_a$, $r_a$, $F_a$ symbolize the angle with the vertical line, pendulum length, mass, approximated inertia, pivot torque, reaction force respectively; $a = r, h$. Subscripts $r$ and $h$ stands for robot and human. The torsional spring-damper couple has the coefficients $k_i$ and $b_i$.

The model has the following beneficial properties: i) two separate flywheel pendulums with telescopic legs represent human and robot dynamics separately, ii) unlike point mass pendulums, it encapsulates the approximated angular momentum via flywheel inertiae [31], iii) the interaction between the human and the robot is represented via a torsional spring-damper couple. In order to derive the equations of motion, Lagrangian mechanics can be used. To this end, the total kinetic energy ($T_{sp}$), potential energy ($U_{sp}$) and Rayleigh’s dissipation ($D_{sp}$) can be defined as follows.

\[
T_{sp} = \frac{1}{2} m_r \left( L_r^2 + L_r \dot{\theta}_r^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} J_r \dot{\theta}_r^2 + \frac{1}{2} m_h \left( L_h^2 + L_h \dot{\theta}_h^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} J_h \dot{\theta}_h^2 \\
U_{sp} = \frac{1}{2} k_i (\theta_h - \theta_r)^2 + m_r g L_r \cos \theta_r + m_h g L_h \cos \theta_h \\
D_{sp} = \frac{1}{2} b_i (\dot{\theta}_h - \dot{\theta}_r)^2
\]  

In (2), $g$ is the gravitational acceleration. Taking the Lagrangian of the system as $\kappa = T_{sp} - U_{sp}$, the following equations are yielded.

\[
F_r = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \dot{L}_r} - \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial L_r} + \frac{\partial D_{sp}}{\partial \dot{L}_r} \\
F_h = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \dot{L}_h} - \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial L_h} + \frac{\partial D_{sp}}{\partial \dot{L}_h} \\
\tau_r = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \dot{\theta}_r} - \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \theta_r} + \frac{\partial D_{sp}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_r} \\
\tau_h = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \dot{\theta}_h} - \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial \theta_h} + \frac{\partial D_{sp}}{\partial \dot{\theta}_h}
\]
Equations (4) and (5) stand for translational motion whereas (6) and (7) define rotational motion. Assuming sufficient friction between the foot and the floor, we devote our full attention to (6) and (7) as they define the postural disturbance, whereas (6) and (7) define rotational motion. Assuming disturbance, whereas relies on a faster inner loop control of the robot state.

\[ \tau_c = K_{p1}K_{p2}e + K_{d1}K_{d2} \int e dt - K_{p1}\theta_r + K_{d1}\dot{\theta}_r \]

In (13), \( K_{p2} \) and \( K_{d2} \) represent the outer loop controller (PI) gains while \( K_{p1} \) and \( K_{d1} \) stand for the inner loop controller (PD) gains. Finally, plugging (13) into (12), the following error dynamics is obtained.

\[ e(s) = \frac{J_{oh}s^3 + K_{d1}s^2 + K_{a}s + K_{p1}K_{d2}}{J_{oh}s^3 + K_{d1}K_{p2}s^2 + K_{a}s + K_{p1}K_{d2}} \]

In (15), \( K_a = (K_{p1}K_{p2} + K_{d1}K_{d2}) \) and \( s \) is Laplace variable. With this in mind, the controller gains can be tuned empirically in accordance with the standard rules of linear controller design; stability and non-excitation of unmodeled nonlinear dynamics. For more formal stability analysis, refer to [33].

IV. SIMULATION EXPERIMENTS

Using MATLAB/Simulink, a simulation model comprising the full scissor pendulum dynamics governed by the Eqs. (8) and (9) were constructed. The simulations were run on a PC with Intel Core TM i7 8550 CPU working at 1.8 GHz processor and possessing a 16 GB RAM. As a solver, unconstrained fixed step solver was chosen with a step size of 1 ms. An approximate differentiator with a low pass filter was utilized for time derivative calculations. In all simulation studies, human torque was appeared as a source of step disturbance with an amplitude of 120 Nm and acted at \( t = 2.4s \). All initial velocity values were assigned as 0. Model parameters and PID gains are tabulated in Table I.

Simulation results are plotted in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. In these figures, solid red, solid green, dashed blue and dashed magenta lines respectively indicate measured human state, measured robot state, reference human state, and reference robot state.
In Fig. 6, a step input was implemented to pull the human state from initial -3° to final 5°. The settling time was observed to be 0.45 s with 17% overshoot. At $t = 2.4s$, the disturbance torque $\tau_h$ acted upon the system, causing an overshoot of 34%. The system recovered from this disturbance within 1 s. Finally, the steady-state error was 0.01 millidegrees. The same figure also indicates the reflections on the robot state; in order to ensure the human state regulation, the robot state reference varied in a certain manner. For instance, the robot state reference shifted for about 8° below to continue suppressing the constant disturbance of 120 Nm. A steady-state error of 0.15° was also observed for the robot state tracking.

In Fig. 7, a sine input with a frequency of 0.5 Hz and peak-to-peak amplitude with $10^\circ$ was implemented to check if the controller can handle time-varying inputs. The system quickly recovered and started following the sine input with a delay of 46 ms. At $t = 2.4s$, the disturbance torque $\tau_h$ acted upon the system, causing the human state to reach 6.7°. Afterward, the controller suppressed the disturbance within 0.5 s and continued to track the input signal. The same figure also indicates the reflections on the robot state; the robot state reference varied in a certain manner to attenuate the disturbance. In particular, robot state references shifted for about 8° below to keep on suppressing the constant disturbance of 120 Nm.

V. Conclusion

This paper presented a novel concept which targeted the human-aware control of exoskeletons by integrating a soft suit and a robotic lower body exoskeleton. In contrast to state-of-the-art exoskeleton controllers that can only process robot state measurements for lumped human-robot models, the proposed concept enabled the use of independent state measurements for the human-robot system. In order to provide such technology from a hardware point of view, a system integration framework was presented with a particular emphasis on the soft suit for human state measurement.

Furthermore, a template model called scissor pendulum was proposed. We argue that the single inverted pendulum models cannot represent the exoskeleton-supported human walking; therefore, the scissor pendulum should be the basic template model. In order to check its effectiveness, a series of simulation experiments were run where a cascaded control strategy with a feedback linearization loop was adopted. As a result, the controller showed a satisfactory convergence and tracking performance both for step and sine inputs. Therefore, the proposed strategy is deemed to be a useful basis and can improve the human state regulation behavior of exoskeletons. We argue that the scissor pendulum model can
be a basis for more complex and effective control algorithms and may pave the way for advanced human-aware control of lower body exoskeletons. Currently, the author group is in the process of realizing the proposed hardware. In our future work, we will disclose the details concerning the hardware requirements and our system integration solution to fulfill these requirements. Furthermore, the independent state measurement ability will be exploited to synthesize whole 2-body controllers with human-in-the-loop control abilities [34].
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