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Abstract
This letter shows some counter-intuitive simulation results that the symbolic sequences and the state variables of a digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic and arbitrary initial conditions and order will be eventually zero when all the filter parameters are even numbers, no matter the system matrix of the filter is stable or not.

1. Introduction
It is well known that chaotic behavior may occur in both a second-order digital filter [Chua, 1988, 1990b; Galias, 1992; Kocarev, 1993, 1996; Wu, 1993; Yu, 2001] and a third-order digital filter [Chua, 1990a] with two’s complement arithmetic. Similar results are found in a second-order digital filter with other nonlinearities, such as a saturation-type nonlinearity [Galias, 1990] and a quantization-type nonlinearity [Lin, 1991].

However, it is found in this letter that chaotic behavior does not occur when all the filter parameters are even numbers, no matter what the initial conditions, the order and the stability of digital filter are. The main results are shown in section 2, and a conclusion is summarized in section 3.

2. Simulation Results
Consider the following $N^{th}$ order digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic:

$$x_j(k+1) = x_{j+1}(k) \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1 \text{ and } k \geq 0$$

(1)

$$x_N(k+1) = f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \cdot x_j(k)\right) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \cdot x_j(k) + 2 \cdot s(k) \text{ for } k \geq 0$$

(2)
where
\[ f(v) = v - 2 \cdot n \] such that \(-1 \leq f(v) < 1\) and \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\) (3)
\[ a_j \in \mathbb{R} \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \cdots, N \] (4)
\[-1 \leq x_j(k) < 1 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \cdots, N \text{ and } k \geq 0\] (5)
and \(s(k) \in \{-m, \cdots, -1, 0, 1, \cdots, m\}\) for \(k \geq 0\) (6)
in which \(m\) is the minimum integer satisfying
\[-2 \cdot m - 1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \cdot x_j(k) < 2 \cdot m + 1\] (7)

According to our intensive simulations, we have the following observation:

**Observation.**

If \(a_j\) is an even number and \(|x_j(0)|<1\) for \(j = 1, 2, \cdots, N\), then \(\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\}\) such that \(x_j(k)=0\) and \(s(k)=0\) for \(\forall k \geq k_0\) and \(j = 1, 2, \cdots, N\).

If \(\exists j \in \{1, 2, \cdots, N\}\) such that \(a_j\) is not an even number, and the system matrix of the digital filter is unstable, then chaotic behavior may occur.

To understand this phenomenon, we model the filtering process as a sum of Bernoulli shift operations. Since, for practical implementation, any number is represented by only a finite number of bits, the initial condition can be represented in a binary form as follows:
\[ |x_j(0)| = \sum_{n=1}^{P} p_{n,j} \cdot 2^{-n} \] (8)
where \(p_{n,j} \in \{0, 1\}\) for \(j = 1, 2, \cdots, N\) (9)
and \(P\) is the number of bits, not including the sign bit, for representing the state variables.

Since \(a_j\) are even numbers, we can let:
\[ |a_j| = \sum_{n=1}^{M} a_{n,j} \cdot 2^{-n} \] (10)
where \(a_{n,j} \in \{0, 1\}\) for \(j = 1, 2, \cdots, N\) (11)
and \(M\) is the number of bits, not including the sign bit, for representing the filter coefficients. We have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \cdot x_j(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{M} \alpha_{n,j} \cdot 2^n \right) \cdot \left( \sum_{n=1}^{P} p_{n,j} \cdot 2^{-n} \right)
\]  
(12)

\[
= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left( s_j' + \sum_{i=1}^{P-1} \beta_{i,j} \cdot 2^{-i} \right)
\]  
(13)

where \( s_j' \in \mathbb{Z}^* \cup \{0\} \)
(14)

Since the summation in \( \sum_{i=1}^{P-1} \beta_{i,j} \cdot 2^{-i} \) is from \( i = 1 \) to \( i = P - 1 \), the most significant bit is absorbed in \( s_j' \) after the first iteration, and all the bits will vanish after \( P \) iterations. Therefore, the state trajectories will eventually converge to some origin.

To demonstrate the observation, a third-order and a fourth-order digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic is shown. Results of higher order digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic can be obtained similarly.

Figure 1 shows the state variables and symbolic sequence of a third-order digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic when the filter parameters and the initial conditions are randomly generated from a set of even numbers and the set \([-1,1]\), respectively. It can be seen from the figure that when the filter parameters are even numbers, though the system matrix is unstable, the values of the symbolic sequence and the state variables will be eventually zero. Similarly, figure 2 shows the cases with the same initial condition as that in figure 1, but the filter parameters are deviated slightly from those in figure 1. In this case, chaotic behavior occurs. Similar results for the fourth-order cases are shown in figure 3 and figure 4, respectively.

3. Conclusion

In this letter, we report some counter-intuitive simulation results that the symbolic sequences and the state variables of a digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic and arbitrary initial conditions and order will be eventually zero when all the filter parameters are even numbers, no matter the system matrix of the filter is stable or not.
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Fig. 1. State variables and symbolic sequences of a third-order digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic, $x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8436 & 0.4764 & -0.6475 \end{bmatrix}^T$, $a_1 = -2$, $a_2 = 4$ and $a_3 = -6$. (a) State variable $x_1(k)$. (b) State variable $x_2(k)$. (c) State variable $x_3(k)$. (d) Symbolic sequence $s(k)$. 
Fig. 2. State variables, symbolic sequences and phase portrait of a third-order digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic, $x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8436 & 0.4764 & -0.6475 \end{bmatrix}^T$, $a_1 = -1.99$, $a_2 = 4.01$ and $a_3 = -5.99$. (a) State variable $x_1(k)$. (b) State variable $x_2(k)$. (c) State variable $x_3(k)$. (d) Symbolic sequence $s(k)$. (e) Phase portrait.
Fig. 3. State variables and symbolic sequences of a fourth-order digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic, $x(0) = [0.6428 \ -0.1106 \ 0.2309 \ 0.5839]^T$, $a_1 = -2$, $a_2 = 4$, $a_3 = -6$ and $a_4 = 8$. (a) State variable $x_1(k)$. (b) State variable $x_2(k)$. (c) State variable $x_3(k)$. (d) State variable $x_4(k)$. (e) Symbolic sequence $s(k)$. 


Fig. 4. State variables and symbolic sequences of a fourth-order digital filter with two’s complement arithmetic, $x(0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6428 & -0.1106 & 0.2309 & 0.5839 \end{bmatrix}^T$, $a_1 = -2.01$, $a_2 = 4.01$, $a_3 = -6.01$ and $a_4 = 8.01$. (a) State variable $x_1(k)$. (b) State variable $x_2(k)$. (c) State variable $x_3(k)$. (d) State variable $x_4(k)$. (e) Symbolic sequence $s(k)$. 