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1. Introduction

The thalamic interneurons(IN) are believed to play a fundamental role in linking retinal sensory input to visual perception by modulating thalamocortical alpha rhythms (8-13 Hz) recorded
through electroencephalogram (EEG) from the occipital cortex (Lörincz et al., 2009). Alpha rhythms have been traditionally known to represent an idling state of the brain when a subject is awake but relaxed with eyes closed. Subsequently, it emerged that alpha rhythms play a key role in controlling perception and are of significance during an awake cognitive state. A transition of EEG from the alpha to the theta (4 – 7 Hz) band in an awake state is associated with several neurological disorders such as neurogenic pain, tinnitus, Parkinson’s disease, and is termed as thalamocortical dysrhythmia (TCD) (Hughes & Crunelli, 2005; Sarnthein et al., 2003; Llinas et al., 2005); a similar symptom in Alzheimer’s disease is termed as ‘slowing’ (a decrease of dominant frequency) of the alpha rhythms. On the other hand, alpha to theta band transition in a quiet resting state is a marker of a change of brain state from wakefulness to drowsiness. Thus, it is not surprising that similar brain mechanisms are implicated in generation of both alpha and theta band rhythms (Hughes et al., 2004). In the visual pathway, local field potential (LFP) recordings of alpha and theta rhythms from the thalamic lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN) show a high correlation with EEG recorded simultaneously from the occipital cortex (daSilva et al., 1973; Hughes & Crunelli, 2006). In this regard, synchronous oscillatory patterns showing waxing-and-waning of amplitude within the alpha band is a well known hallmark of EEG and LFP in an awake and ‘resting’ state (i.e. devoid of sensory input or mental task), and have been a matter of extensive research; their generation is attributed to the feed-forward and feed-back connectivity between cell populations of the Thalamocortical Relay (TCR: the main carriers of sensory information to the cortex) and the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus (TRN: a thin sheet of inhibitory cells surrounding the thalamus, receiving ‘copies’ of communications between the TCR and visual cortex) (Steriade et al., 1990). Thus, computational models simulating thalamocortical dynamics have focused on the TRN as the primary inhibitory influence on the TCR, and thereby, on the cortex (Destexhe et al., 1996; Golomb et al., 1996; Stem et al., 1999; Robinson et al., 2004; Grimbert & Faugeras, 2006; Bhattacharya et al., 2011a; Wang et al., 2014); the inhibitory influence of IN is largely ignored. A similar gap is seen in experimental research investigating the functional impact of the IN cells on the thalamocortical oscillations (Crunelli et al., 2006; Halassa et al., 2014), exceptions being some early research in (Crunelli et al., 1988; Zhu et al., 1999a). This is in spite of the IN constituting around 20 – 25% of the total number of cells in almost all thalamic nuclei processing sensory information in mammals; around 47% of the synaptic afferents of the the IN are from the information carrying spiking neurons of the retina (Sherman, 2004; Jones, 2007). Moreover, the critical role of the IN in the visual signal processing by the LGN and information transmission in the retino-geniculo-cortical pathway is now well established (Dublin & Cleland, 1977; Wang et al., 2007; Babadi et al., 2010; Saalmann & Kastner, 2011; Wang et al., 2011b,a; Pressler & Regehr, 2013; Bastos et al., 2014; Hirsch et al., 2015); also, their physiology and spiking characteristics are now understood fairly well (Pape & McCormick, 1995; Zhu et al., 1999a,b; Cox et al., 2003). Thus, it is surprising that the importance of the causality of IN on brain rhythms is underestimated in experimental research, perhaps due to the lack of appropriate technology (Zhu et al., 1999a,b) that prevented proper recordings of the IN population dynamics. The emphasis on the role of IN in brain rhythms was revived only recently when Lörincz et al. (2008), while studying the waking state alpha rhythm and their response to cortico-thalamic inputs, report the distinct inhibitory effect of IN over TCR; this validates the findings in early research on IN cell dynamics (Crunelli et al., 1988) where the authors report the significant GABA-ergic influence of IN on the TCR oscillatory dynamics in the rat LGN. In addition, Lörincz et al. (2008) report a minimal role of the TRN on the TCR dynamics in the awake state. This is in agreement with a recent study using a computational model on the role of thalamic cells in the disappearance of alpha rhythms in sleep-wake transi-
tions (Bond et al., 2014), where we have reported a dramatic effect of the presence of the IN cells on the TRN response; the research presented here builds on this prior work.

Neural mass computational models (NMM) (Moran et al., 2013; Marreiros et al., 2008) are often used to simulate brain rhythms recorded in LFP and EEG that are believed to be generated through dynamic interaction between networks of meso-scale ($10^4 - 10^7$ neurons) neuronal populations. These models were conceptualised in the works of Wilson & Cowan (1973) and Freeman (1975), and later popularised by the classic work on alpha rhythm by daSilva et al. (1974) and Zetterberg et al. (1978). Subsequently, this classic model was extended in (Jansen & Rit, 1995; Suffczyński, 2000) and used extensively in model-based research of neuro-psychological disorders (Wendling et al., 2002; David & Friston, 2003; Suffczyński et al., 2004; Modolo et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Taylor et al., 2014). In previous works, we have proposed an enhancement to state-of-the-art neural mass models by replacing the ‘alpha function’ (Rall, 1967) with kinetic models of glutamatergic and GABA-ergic synapses (Bhattacharya et al., 2012; Bhattacharya, 2013). The motivation for these research has been to take a step forward in building computational tools that can complement experimental research in understanding the underlying cellular mechanisms of anomalous EEG signals in neurological and psychiatric disorders. The main inspiration for this approach has been the work by Destexhe (1994), where the authors state the following when discussing the future benefits of kinetic modelling of synaptic processes (p. 223): “A considerable amount of experimental data is available from measurements of the average activity of populations of brain cells: recordings of electroencephalogram, local field potentials, magnetoencephalograms, optical recordings, magnetic resonance images, etc. It would be interesting to attempt to establish a relationship between such global measurements and dynamics at the molecular level”. Our work on NMMs embedded with synaptic kinetics showed a high sensitivity to the neurotransmitter concentration, forward and reverse rates of reactions during synaptic transmission, and the membrane conductance of the cell populations. Besides enabling the correlation of lower-level synaptic attributes to population-level dynamics in the model, the approach provided a 10-fold decrease in computational times compared to classic NMMs. The study presented here uses a neural mass computational model of the LGN implementing kinetics of α-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) and γ-amino-butyric acid (GABA) neuro-receptor mediated synapses, and is an extension of the model in (Bhattacharya, 2013).

The significant advances in our current understanding of thalamo-cortical dynamics in both healthy and diseased brains is based upon some crucial and pioneering experimental investigations of the thalamic cells in vitro (McCormick & Prince, 1987; von Krosigk et al., 1993). Based on thalamic slices from the LGN of mammals and rodents, these works established an in-depth understanding of the intrinsic dynamics of the intra-thalamic cell populations in a de-corticated state (disconnected from the cortex) (Steriade et al., 1993; McCormick & Pape, 1990; Pape & McCormick, 1995; Bal et al., 1995). Thus, it emerged that the thalamus is not only vital for relaying sensory information to the cortex, it plays a fundamental role in cortico-cortical communication (Sherman & Guillery, 2002). In this work, our objective is to gain a thorough understanding of the synaptic dynamics of the IN afferents and efferents and their role in the intrinsic thalamic dynamics. In fact, pioneering work identifying the significant effect of IN on the TCR cell dynamics is based on an in vitro experimental study of LGN slices from the rat thalamus (Crunelli et al., 1988). The model presented in this work endeavours to simulate the dynamics of a de-corticated LGN, similar to these early experimental studies. The model is parameterised to a set of ‘base values’ such that the model output has a dominant frequency within the alpha band and corresponds to the absence of visual stimulus.
The retinal input for this state is simulated by a random noise with a white power spectrum. We observe behavioural changes in the model output corresponding to alteration in the synaptic pathways of the IN: First, if the IN population is disconnected from the network, both TCR and TRN population outputs show a phase-locked (synchronous) state with waxing-and-waning of amplitude and power spectral peak frequency within the alpha band at $\approx 12$ Hz: the hallmarks of EEG and LFP in an awake and resting state with eyes closed. Similar alpha rhythmic synchronous oscillations are also seen for reduced glutamatergic retinal input to the IN population (i.e. when IN is included in the network but receiving reduced visual input). Second, a ‘smooth’ transition of the power spectra from the alpha to theta band is effected by a progressive decrease of neurotransmitter concentration in the synaptic clefts. In contrast, if the inhibitory effect of the IN is reduced by decreasing its efferent synaptic connectivity to the TCR below a certain threshold, a ‘dramatic’ (as opposed to ‘smooth’) transition from alpha to theta band is observed in the model output when the levels of neurotransmitter concentration are lower than the set base value; the TCR and TRN enter a state of synchrony, showing waxing-and-waning amplitude patterns within the theta band. Third, increasing the ensemble leakage conductance of the IN cell population hyperpolarises its average membrane potential, and both TCR and TRN time-series show synchronous oscillations in the limit-cycle mode and within the theta band. Thus, our results make a strong case for the IN as an integral part of the LGN circuitry, maintaining an overall homeostasis in the system by preventing dramatic oscillatory state transitions in the TCR and the TRN, which appear when specific sets of synaptic attributes linked to the IN are altered.

As a case study, the model is tested for simulating Steady State Visually Evoked Potentials (SSVEP) — brain signals corresponding to flickering visual input at a constant or slow-varying frequency that can be observed through EEG. The frequency of the SSVEP signal is easy to control through the visual input, thus making it popular as a tool to study both lower-level and higher-level vision (see Norcia et al. (2015) for a review). The primary advantage of SSVEP is that the response frequency follow that of the input stimulus, making it possible to distinguish between multiple stimuli at different frequencies. It is therefore not surprising that SSVEP is growing in popularity for applications in clinical neuroscience aimed at understanding brain diseases (see Vialatte et al. (2010) for a review). In addition, Brain-Computer Interface (BCI) research is also tapping into this genre using brain signals for advancing current state-of-the-art applications. Thus, it seems appropriate that a model simulating LGN dynamics be tested for SSVEP response corresponding to a cognitive brain state. A visual stimulus input to the model is simulated by superimposing the (above-mentioned) white random noise with periodic impulse trains at a single frequency within the range 5 – 50 Hz. For all frequencies in this range, the fundamental frequency of the model output reflects the frequency of the input impulse train and has strong harmonic components, thus agreeing with existing literature on SSVEP characteristics (Norcia et al., 2015; Vialatte et al., 2010). Furthermore, the IN and TCR cells in the model show similar power spectra characteristics and their time-series are in-phase. However, the TRN time-series is in anti-phase with TCR. There is a dramatic change in the model behaviour when the IN is disconnected from the circuit: the TRN and TCR time-series are now locked in-phase and the resonant frequency of the circuit dominates the power spectra, thus implying a reduced effect of the periodic stimulus input. These preliminary observations in the model conform with experimental observations and speculations that: (a) The IN population play a key role in effecting efficient information transmission from the LGN to the cortex (Wang et al., 2007; Lörincz et al., 2009; Babadi et al., 2010; Hirsch et al., 2015); the model validates this observation, showing high correlation of both TCR and IN with the model input. (b) Better task-related performance, i.e. in an awake cognitive state, is effected by suppressing TRN activity (Halassa et al., 2014);
the model predicts that such a suppression of TRN activity is effected by the IN. (c) Synchronous oscillations effect a reduced cognitive state by decorrelating the TCR population from the retinal inputs, thus reducing information transmission (Saalmann & Kastner, 2011); the model confirms this speculation. In summary, our study show that the IN plays a fundamental role in LGN dynamics of awake brain states, which in turn emphasise the need for further investigation of its role in both normal and abnormal brain state transitions.

The model structure, parameterisation, and simulation methods are discussed in Section 2. Results are presented in Section 3 along with discussions on their implications and model-based predictions. We conclude in Section 4 and mention future directions that will build on this work.

2. Materials and Methods

Figure 1: Schematic of the neural mass model of the thalamic Lateral Geniculate Nucleus (LGN) consisting of three cell populations viz. the Thalamocortical Relay (TCR), the Interneurons (IN) and the Thalamic Reticular Nucleus (TRN). The excitatory synapses are modelled with AMPA kinetics while the inhibitory synapses are modelled with GABA_A and GABA_B kinetics. The output of the TCR population is taken as the model output, while the model input is assumed to be mean activity of the retinal spiking neurons (RET). The model ‘base’ (reference) parameter values are tuned for dominant alpha rhythms in the model output and are given in Tables 1 and 2. The intra-thalamic population connectivities are based on experimental findings (Sherman & Guillery, 2001) and are discussed in Section 2.2. Both AMPA and GABA_A are ionotropic (fast) synapses and are modelled with one first order differential equation (Eq. (2)). The GABA_B is a metabotropic (slow) synapse and is modelled with two sets of first order differential equations (Equations (3) – (5)).

The synaptic layout of the model is based on experimental data obtained from the LGN of mammals and rodents and are as reported in (Sherman & Guillery, 2001; Horn et al., 2000; Jones, 2007). A schematic of the model used in this work is shown in Fig. 1. The model input is assumed to represent the mean activity of the retinal spiking neurons (the afferent population to the LGN) when the brain is in an awake resting state with eyes closed. The output of the TCR cells form the main source of sensory information to the visual cortex. Furthermore, in simultaneous studies on LGN and cortical outputs, the LFP from the TCR cells are observed to have a high coherence with EEG from the occipital scalp electrode (daSilva et al., 1974; Hughes & Crunelli, 2006; Bastos et al., 2014). Thus, in this model of the LGN, the time-series of the TCR cells is considered as the output and is hereafter referred to as the ‘model output’.

The retinal spiking neurons (ganglion cells) make excitatory (glutamatergic) synapses with the TCR and IN population of the LGN that are mediated by both fast (ionotropic: iGluR) and
slow (metabotropic (Cox et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2011b): mGluR) glutamate neuro-receptors. However, Pape & McCormick (1995) report that in the presence of iGluR, mGluR have minimal effect on the IN membrane potential and their synaptic activities. This observation is subsequently confirmed by Govindaiah & Cox (2006). Hence, this pathway is often ignored in synaptic circuits of the LGN (Sherman, 2006). We follow the latter work, and consider only the ionotropic synaptic efferent pathways from the retina to both IN and TCR populations mediated by the AMPA neuro-receptors. The IN cells make feed-forward inhibitory (GABA-ergic) synapses on the TCR cells mediated by the fast GABA_A neuro-receptors. The TRN cells receive excitatory synapses from the TCR population mediated by AMPA neuro-receptors, and send inhibitory feedback to the TCR cells mediated by both fast GABA_A and the slow GABA_B neuro-receptors. In addition, the cells in both TRN and IN make feedback connections on their respective self populations, mediated by the fast GABA_A neuro-receptors.

It is worth mentioning here that all thalamic nuclei that process sensory information are reported as having a similar architecture to that of the LGN (Sherman & Guillery, 2001; Saalmann & Kastner, 2011). Thus, the model in Fig. 1 can also be used for simulating the thalamic dynamics corresponding to other sensory pathways. The mathematical framework for the model is mentioned in Section 2.1: model parameterisation is discussed in Section 2.2; simulation methodologies are mentioned in 2.3. The reference values, referred to in this work as ‘base values’, of the model parameters are mentioned in Tables 1 and 2.

2.1. Model equations

The model in Fig. 1 is defined by the set of first order differential equations in (1) – (8). The neurotransmitter concentration in the synaptic cleft ([T]) is a function of the mean membrane potential of the pre-synaptic population, $V_{pre}$, and is simulated with a sigmoid defined in Eq. (1):

$$[T](V_{pre}) = \frac{T_{\text{max}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{V_{thr} - V_{pre}}{\sigma}}}, \quad (1)$$

where $V_{thr}$ is the threshold voltage when the neurotransmitter concentration crosses the 50% of its maximum value $T_{\text{max}}$, and $\sigma$ is the steepness parameter of the sigmoid. An increase of neurotransmitter concentration in the synaptic cleft can be simulated in the model by decreasing $V_{thr}$ and increasing $\sigma$.

Equation (2) defines the dynamics of the ionotropic synapses in the model viz. those mediated by the AMPA and GABA_A neuro-receptors. The variable $r$ defines the proportion of open ion-channels on the post-synaptic population caused by the binding of the glutamatergic and GABA-ergic neurotransmitters with the AMPA and GABA_A neuro-receptors respectively.

$$\frac{dr(t)}{dt} = \alpha \cdot [T](V_{pre}) \cdot (1 - r(t)) - \beta \cdot r(t), \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ refer to the forward and reverse rates of chemical reactions respectively.

GABA_B mediated synapses are metabotropic and slower than the ionotropic synapses. They activate G-proteins which in turn act as the ‘secondary messengers’ and initiate the opening of
ion channels. The process is defined in Equations (3) – (5):

\[
\frac{dR(t)}{dt} = \alpha_1 \cdot [T](V_{pre}) \cdot (1 - R(t)) - \beta_1 \cdot R(t) \quad (3)
\]

\[
\frac{d[X](t)}{dt} = \alpha_2 \cdot R(t) - \beta_2 \cdot [X](t) \quad (4)
\]

\[
r(t) = \frac{[X]^n(t)}{[X]^n(t) + K_d} \quad (5)
\]

where \( R \) is the fraction of activated GABA\(_B\) receptors, which acts as a catalyst in activating the secondary-messenger G-protein (guanine nucleotide binding proteins); \([X]\) is the concentration of the activated G-protein; \( r \) is the fraction of open ion channels caused by binding of \([X]\) with independent binding sites; \( \alpha_{1,2} \) and \( \beta_{1,2} \) are the forward and reverse binding rate constants respectively; \( n \) is the number of bound receptor sites and \( K_d \) is the dissociation constant of binding of \([X]\) with the ion channels.

The resulting post-synaptic current, \( I_{psc}(t) \), is defined in Eq. (6):

\[
I_{psc}(t) = C_{uvw} \cdot g_{syn}^{max} \cdot r(t) \cdot (V_{ps p}(t) - E_{syn}^{rev}), \quad (6)
\]

where \( g_{syn}^{max} \) and \( E_{syn}^{rev} \) are the maximum conductance and reverse potential respectively and their values depend on the mediating synapse \( \text{syn} \in \{\text{AMPA}, \text{GABA}_A, \text{GABA}_B\} \); \( V_{ps p} \) is defined in Eq. (7) and is the ensemble post-synaptic membrane potential; \( C_{uvw} \) is a normalised figure that represents the percentage of the synaptic contacts made on the post-synaptic cell population \( u \) by the pre-synaptic cell population \( v \), and \( w \) represents the sign of the synapse i.e. excitatory or inhibitory.

\[
\kappa_m, \frac{dV_{ps p}(t)}{dt} = - \sum I_{psc}(t) - I_{\text{leak}}(t), \quad (7)
\]

where \( \kappa_m \) is the ensemble membrane capacitance of the post-synaptic cell population.

The parameter \( I_{\text{leak}} \) in Eq. (7) is the ensemble membrane leak current of the post-synaptic cell population and is defined in Eq. (8):

\[
I_{\text{leak}}(t) = g_{\text{leak}}(V_{ps p}(t) - E_{\text{leak}}), \quad (8)
\]

where \( g_{\text{leak}} \) and \( E_{\text{leak}} \) are conductance and reverse potential respectively corresponding to ‘non-specific’ leak (Golomb et al., 1996; Suffczyński et al., 2004) in the ensemble membrane of the post synaptic cell population.

2.2. Model Parameterisation

The model input is simulated by computer generated random noise that has a low variance and a white frequency spectrum. The resting state membrane potential of both excitatory cell populations of the model viz. the retinal cells and TCR are set to -65 mV. Thus, the mean of the retinal noisy input is -65 mV and the standard deviation is set to 2 mV\(^2\) to reduce the stiffness of the solution (in Matlab) for the set of differential equations defining the model in Equations (1) – (8).

The base values of the synaptic connectivity parameters in the model are based on physiological data (Horn et al., 2000; Sherman & Guillery, 2001; Jones, 2007) and mentioned in Table 2 along with the corresponding nomenclature for specific connectivities used in this work. For the
Table 1: (A) Data for the forward ($\alpha$) and reverse ($\beta$) rates of synaptic transmission is according to the range mentioned in (Golomb et al., 1996; Destexhe et al., 1994). Note that the units used in our model are at a different time scale (sec$^{-1}$), and thus absolute figures are different from these references. The data for maximal synaptic conductance $g_{\text{syn}}^{\text{max}}$ is in the range mentioned in (Wang et al., 1995; Golomb et al., 1996); note that the unit for this parameter in our model is $\mu S/cm^2$. Data for $E_{\text{rev}}$ is as in (Wang et al., 1995; Golomb et al., 1996). Specific data relating to the thalamic IN synapses are not mentioned in any of these sources, and are set as similar to those of TRN in this work. The ‘RET’ in the parameter superscripts refer to the retina as the source of input to the model. (B) The leakage currents in the model cell populations are assumed to be due to Potassium (K) mainly. Thus, the leakage conductance and reverse potentials parameters in the model are in the range mentioned in (Wang et al., 1995; Golomb et al., 1996). The resting state membrane potential for TCR and TRN are as in (Wang et al., 1995), and that for IN is set arbitrarily to a depolarised (hyperpolarised) value with respect to the TRN (TCR).

(A) Neurotransmission parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Synaptic Pathway</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ ($\text{mM}^{-1}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>AMPA, GABA$_A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ ($\text{sec}^{-1}$)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>AMPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_1, \alpha_2$ ($\text{mM}^{-1}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$)</td>
<td>10, 15</td>
<td>GABA$_B$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_1, \beta_2$ ($\text{sec}^{-1}$)</td>
<td>25, 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{\text{syn}}^{\text{max}}$ ($\mu S/cm^2$)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>AMPA (RET to TCR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>AMPA (RET to IN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(TCR to TRN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>GABA$_A$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>GABA$_B$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{rev}}$ ($\text{mV}$)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>AMPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-85</td>
<td>GABA$_A$ (TRN/IN to TCR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-75</td>
<td>GABA$_A$ (TRN (IN) to TRN (IN))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-100</td>
<td>GABA$_B$ (TRN to TCR)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) Cell membrane parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>RET</th>
<th>TCR</th>
<th>IN</th>
<th>TRN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$g_{\text{leak}}$ ($\mu S/cm^2$)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{\text{leak}}$ ($\text{mV}$)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>-55</td>
<td>-72.5</td>
<td>-72.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{rest}}$ ($\text{mV}$)</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>-65</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

purposes of this work in simulating the LGN dynamics of a de-corticated thalamus (discussed in Section 1), we ignore all afferent cortico-thalamic inputs to the model. A brief overview of the literature survey on intra-thalamic cell connectivity is provided below:

- **TCR afferents**: Data from the dorsal cat LGN (LGNd) (Horn et al., 2000) suggest that the TCR receive $\approx 7.1\%$ of their inputs from the retinal ganglion cells ($C_{\text{ret}}$), while $\approx 30.9\%$ of their inputs are from inhibitory sources viz. IN and TRN. However, and to the best of our knowledge, there is no data available that distinguish between the afferent synaptic terminals from the IN and TRN. Thus, in this work, the GABA$_A$ afferents from TRN and IN ($C_{\text{in}}$ and $C_{\text{il}}$ respectively) and the GABA$_B$ afferent from the TRN ($C_{\text{b}}$) are tuned so that the sum total of all inhibitory afferents on the TCR is 30.9%. The remaining $\approx 62\%$ of the connections are from the cortex as well as other sub-cortical sources, and are ignored
Table 2: Base values of the synaptic connectivity parameters in Eq. (6) are derived from experimental data on LGN of mammals and rodents (Horn et al., 2000; Sherman & Guillery, 2001; Jones, 2007) (see Section 2.2 for a brief overview). Each parameter value is a normalised figure that represents the percentage of the synaptic contacts made on the post-synaptic cell population by the pre-synaptic cell population, and represents the sign of the synapse i.e. excitatory (e) or inhibitory (i). The afferent populations are represented by the letters t for TCR, n for TRN, i for IN and r for retina. For synaptic contacts by a cell population on itself, v is represented by s, which stands for a connection from ‘self’. All ‘X’ indicate a lack of biological evidence for any synaptic connectivity in the specific pathway.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Efferents →</th>
<th>TCR</th>
<th>IN</th>
<th>TRN</th>
<th>Retinal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afferents ↓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCR</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Ciw</td>
<td>Cwe</td>
<td>Cri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\frac{1}{3}$ of 30.9</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{3}$ of 30.9</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{3}$ of 30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRN</td>
<td>Cint</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Cint</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

in the present work for brevity.

- **IN afferents**: A study made on the cat LGNd in 1991 suggest that the IN cells receive around 25% synapses from the retinal spiking neurons, 37% from other inhibitory sources including themselves, while 26% synapses are from the cortex. However, according to a more recent study (Horn et al., 2000), these figures are reported as 47.4%, 23.6%, 29% respectively. On the other hand, data from the LGNd of a squirrel monkey (primate) indicates that the IN cells receive an equal proportion of each of the three categories of synaptic terminals (Jones, 2007). To maintain consistency with the source of data for TCR population, we follow the data by (Horn et al., 2000) and set the retinal input ($C_{ire}$) and self-inhibitory ($C_{isi}$) connectivities in the IN as 47.4% and 23.6% respectively.

Experimental observations of IN cell dynamics do mention an excitatory feed from the TCR to these cells (Crunelli et al., 1988; Zhu et al., 1999a; Lörincz et al., 2008), however, these were speculations based on cell behaviour as opposed to cell physiology. On the other hand, experimental studies on IN physiology suggest two specific cell types in the LGN (Cox et al., 2003): the intra-layer IN cells that do not receive any afferents from the TCR cells; the inter-layer IN cells that do receive inhibitory feedback from the TCR cells, and are often thought to be ‘stray’ cells of the TRN. In the present work, we consider the intra-layer IN cell population only; thus, as in our previous work (Bhattacharya et al., 2011b), the IN population do not receive any synaptic afferents from the TCR.

- **TRN afferents**: Both thalamocortical and corticothalamic synapses on the TRN sector corresponding to the rat LGNd and visual cortex are excitatory (glutamatergic) in nature and constitute $\approx 30 – 40\%$ and $\approx 50\%$ respectively of the total synapses; the remaining up to 25% of the synapses are from other inhibitory sources including neighbouring intra-population cells (Jones, 2007). Another study by Liu et al. (1995) give the respective synaptic figures as 20%, 60 - 65% and 15%. In our model, we maintain the TCR afferent connectivity ($C_{int}$) as 35%, and self inhibitory connectivity ($C_{nsi}$) as 20%.

The parameter $[T]_{max}$ in Eq. (1) is well approximated by 1mM (Destexhe et al., 1998), while the base values for $V_{thr}$ and $\sigma$ are obtained by trial simulation studies on the model (further
elucidated in Section 3.1) and are set to -32 mV and 3.8 mV respectively. The capacitance $\kappa_m$ is set at 1 $\mu F/cm^2$.

It is worth noting that while the model output time-series in (Bhattacharya, 2013) demonstrates rich dynamics corresponding to alterations in AMPA and GABA$_A$ synaptic parameters and conforming to experimental observations, the period of oscillations in the model were below that of normal brain oscillations as seen in LFPs and EEGs. Appropriate modifications are made in this work to overcome the limitations of the previous work, and the parameters $\alpha, \beta$ and $g_{\text{max}}$ in the Table 1 (A) as well as $g_{\text{leak}}$ in Table 1 (B) reflect the modified unit scales.

The variable $V_{\text{psp}}$ for TCR, TRN and IN are initialised to the respective resting state values as in Table 1(B); the variables ‘$r, R, X$’ in Equations (2) – (5) are initialised to an arbitrarily small value 0.001 (and the ODE solutions do not show any dependency on the initial values). Please see the Table 1 legend for further information on parameter sources.

2.3. Simulation methods

The ODEs are solved using the 4$^{th}$/5$^{th}$ order Runge-Kutta-Fehlberg method (RKF45) in Matlab for a total duration of 40 seconds at a resolution of 1 msec. The output voltage time series is averaged over 20 simulations, where each simulation runs with a different seed for the noisy input. For frequency analysis, an epoch from 10 – 39 seconds of the output signal is sampled every 1 msec (1000 Hz) and bandpass filtered between 1 – 100 Hz with a Butterworth filter of order 10. The filtered signal is then transformed using 4-point FFT and power spectral density derived using the Welch periodogram. The power plots in Section 3 show the averaged power spectral density over 20 simulations. The bar plots show the total frequency content within each of the four frequency bands viz. delta (1-3.5 Hz), theta (3.75–7.5 Hz), alpha (7.75-13.5 Hz) and beta (13.75 - 20). Short Time Fourier Transform (STFT) is carried out on the averaged membrane potential of each cell population with a Hamming window of duration 1 second and overlap of 50%.

Simultaneous variation of parameters is an inherent feature in the brain as in all dynamical systems in nature. The kinetic modelling approach based NMM is computationally efficient in terms of both time and memory usage compared to the alpha-function based NMMs, as well as possessing greater biophysical plausibility. This approach is adopted in model presented here and has allowed for extensive simulation trials of the model involving simultaneous parameter variation in order to set the base parameter values in Table 1, as well as to study model behaviour as discussed in the following text.

3. Results and Discussion

The goal of this work is to understand the role of IN in the LGN circuit dynamics. Thus, the results presented herewith make a comparative study on the effects of incorporating the IN in the LGN model with the case when it is excluded from the circuit. In Sections 3.1 – 3.4, we present and discuss parametric deviations that are observed to effect a state transition in the model output time-series and a shift in its power spectra. In Section 3.5, we examine a case study by simulating SSVEP in the model.

3.1. Causality of the neurotransmitter concentration: setting base parameters

With the aim of setting base values for the parameters $V_{\text{thr}}$ and $\sigma$ such that the dominant frequency of oscillation in the model output is within the alpha band, $\sigma$ is increased from 3 to 4
Figure 2: The model output power spectra for simultaneous variation of parameters $V_{\text{thr}}$ and $\sigma$ and within the (A) alpha and (B) theta frequency bands. (C) Histogram of the model output power within the theta and alpha bands at $V_{\text{thr}} = -32$ mV and for values of $\sigma$ between 3 mV and 4 mV at a resolution of 0.2 mV. The power within the alpha band is greater than that within the theta band for $\sigma \geq 3.6$. Within this range, the alpha peak has a maximal difference with the theta peak at $\sigma = 3.8$ mV. Thus, the base values of $V_{\text{thr}}$ and $\sigma$ are set at 32 mV and 3.8 mV respectively.

Discussion

Release of neurotransmitters in the synaptic cleft is mediated by calcium ion dynamics, which in turn are thought to be crucial for all NREM sleep stages (Crunelli et al., 2006). The model results conform to the intuitive understanding that as the brain starts to enter into a resting state and eventually into sleep, the overall synaptic activity starts to slow down, the pre-synaptic mecha-
nisms effect slower neurotransmitter release, thereby reducing the post-synaptic activity, which in turn effect a gradual alpha to theta transition — a definite EEG marker of transition from a state of wakefulness to a state of drowsiness (Hughes & Crunelli, 2005). However, very low neurotransmitter concentrations did not effect further ‘slowing’ of the output, i.e. there was no increase in the delta rhythmic content of the output; thus, the correlation between the neurotransmitter concentration and power spectral slowing in the model is ‘band-limited’ to within alpha and theta frequencies. Experimental studies attribute delta rhythmic content in EEG and LFP to cortico-thalamic and cortico-cortical dynamics, both of which are not included in the present model; their absence may be speculated as giving rise to this band-limited behaviour in the model.

3.2. Causality of the interneurons in the LGN circuit

Figure 3: Time-series (Left) and Power spectral density (Right) plots for the TCR, IN and TRN cell populations corresponding to base parameter values in the model. The dominant frequency of oscillation of both TCR and IN is within the alpha band (8 – 13 Hz) with peaks at around 8.5 Hz and 13 Hz respectively. Furthermore, both these populations show a wide power spectra spanning the theta (4 – 7 Hz), alpha and lower-beta (14 – 20 Hz) bands. The TRN shows a comparatively narrow power spectra spanning the theta and lower-alpha (5 – 10 Hz) band with a dominant peak within the theta band at \( \approx 7.5 \) Hz. The time-series show a representative sample from 15 to 25 seconds of the total simulation time of 40 seconds.

The time series and power spectra of the model cell populations when all parameters are at their base values are shown in Fig. 3. The membrane potential of the TRN has a low peak-to-peak oscillatory envelope of \( \approx 0.3 \) mV in comparison to \( \approx 0.8 \) mV for TCR and IN. The power spectra of both TCR and IN are broad with peak frequencies at \( \approx 8.5 \) and 13 Hz respectively. The corresponding STFT plots in Fig. 4 (C: with IN) show that the power spectra of both populations span the theta, alpha and lower-beta (4 – 20 Hz) frequency bands. In comparison, the TRN has a sharp power spectral peak at around 7.5 Hz, and the STFT plot in Fig. 4 (C: with IN) show a narrow power spectra within the theta to lower-alpha (4 – 10 Hz) region corresponding to base model parameter values.

Next, the IN cell population is disconnected from the network by making \( C_{ni} = 0 \). The time series output of both TCR and TRN in Fig. 4 (A) show a dramatic change with synchronised waxing-and-waning of amplitude within the alpha band frequency. The mean membrane potential of both populations show depolarisation and increased peak-to-peak oscillation compared to their respective counterparts in Fig. 3. The bar plots of both TCR and TRN in Fig. 4
(B) demonstrate the dramatic decrease in theta band power and a simultaneous increase in alpha band power when the IN is removed from the circuit. The STFT plots for both TCR and TRN in Fig. 4 (C: with IN) indicate a stationary power spectra with a dominant frequency at $\approx 12.5$ Hz. Furthermore, in the absence of IN, decrease of neurotransmitter concentration in the model effects a dramatic slowing of the model output: thus, while the time series still retain the amplitude-waxing-and-waning pattern in synchrony with the TRN, the dominant frequency of oscillation is within the theta band (not shown here).

**Discussion**

Waxing-and-waning patterns in amplitude of LFP and EEG are well known hallmarks of alpha rhythms — a synchronous oscillatory mode corresponding to a state of ‘quiet wakefulness’ just preceding sleep, i.e. an awake state with eyes closed and resting; the synchronous rhythmic patterns vanish with visual input (bottom up) or any cortico-geniculate input (top-down; e.g. mental task). Experimental studies have shown that the waxing-and-waning patterns in EEG, both in alpha rhythms (amplitude) as well as in sleep spindles (frequency) are the result of the feed-forward and -back interactions between the TCR and the TRN. Furthermore, both patterns underpin a state of ‘low vigilance’ (Saalmann & Kastner, 2011) i.e. devoid of task-related activity and reduced information transmission efficacy in the retino-geniculo-cortical pathway. It is thus suggested (Saalmann & Kastner, 2011) that a state of increased information transmission (as opposed to a state of low vigilance) is facilitated in the circuit by suppressing the inhibitory influence of the TRN. Another interesting observation by Halassa et al. (2014) reports better task-related performance when the inhibitory efferents of the TRN are suppressed by application of an ‘external stimulus’.

In the model, we have seen a distinct suppression of TRN activity in the presence of IN in the circuit; the corresponding time series is low-amplitude with a broad power spectral content spanning the alpha to lower-beta regions, similar to EEG and LFP in awake cognitive state. Removal of IN effects feed-forward disinhibition of the TCR and generation of synchronous waxing-and-waning oscillations. Thus, our model validates the above-mentioned experimental observations, and further predicts that in vivo, the inhibitory circuit of the IN plays an important role in cognitive activities by suppressing the feedback inhibitory effects of the TRN cells. Based on this, we hypothesise that the ‘external stimulus’ observed in (Halassa et al., 2014) may have simulated the indirect effect of IN on the TRN. Overall, the model predictions underpin a causal role of the IN in brain state transitions between awake cognitive state to that of diminished cognition.

Experimental observations show vital cortical role in TCD (Sarnthein & Jeanmonod, 2007). The model further predicts that TCD-like transitions may be caused by anomalous pre-synaptic neurotransmitter release mechanisms and/or imbalance in IN synaptic pathways. While this is a preliminary observation and needs to be tested with enhanced models incorporating thalamo-cortico-thalamic dynamics, it raises the possibility of novel pharmacological possibilities in the treatment of TCD. Overall, the model results show that common mechanisms in the LGN cause alpha to theta band transitions, thus agreeing with experimental observations suggesting that common neuronal mechanisms underlie EEG and LFP of alpha and theta rhythms (Hughes et al., 2004).

### 3.3. Varying the connectivity parameters

The synaptic connectivity parameters in the model are varied around their base values, the details of which are mentioned in Table 3 along with a brief overview about their effects on the...
model behaviour. The results are presented and discussed below:

Table 3: Synaptic connectivity parameters that show sensitivity to the IN in the model are increased (>) and decreased (<) progressively with reference to their respective base values. The parameter values mentioned in the table are representative samples of the range of values for which the model is tested in this work. An overview of the significant effects on the model output time-series and power spectra corresponding to the respective parameter variation are also mentioned where ↑ indicates an increase, and ↓ indicates a decrease of power within the specified frequency band. All synchronous waxing-and-waning oscillatory patterns within the alpha band are indicated as α_{aww}, where the suffix ‘aww’ refers to the amplitude waxing-and-waning pattern.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>base value</th>
<th>&gt;</th>
<th>&lt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{isi}$</td>
<td>3.6, 13.6</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>33.6, 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05, 0.1, 0.15</td>
<td>$\theta$ ↑</td>
<td>$\alpha_{aww}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\delta$ ↑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{it}$</td>
<td>2.5, 5.5, 7.5, 10.5</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>23, 30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>$\alpha_{aww}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{inv}$</td>
<td>7.4, 27.4, 37.4</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>57.4, 67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>= 7</td>
<td>$\alpha_{aww}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{int}$</td>
<td>0, 2.5, 7.5, 11.6 limit cycles</td>
<td>15.45</td>
<td>23, 30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(without IN)</td>
<td>$\alpha_{aww}$ (without IN)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{ext}$</td>
<td>0, 5, 10, 15</td>
<td>$\theta$ ↑</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- When the self inhibition connectivity parameter of the IN ($C_{isi}$) is decreased, the TRN output is suppressed significantly in spite having no direct connectivity with the IN. The alpha power decreases steadily in the model output and the proportion of lower-beta power increases. When $C_{isi} \leq 0.15\%$, there is a sharp change in the power spectra and it is now dominated by the theta band frequency, until when $C_{isi} = 0$, and the maximal power is within the delta band. Increasing the parameter above its base value effects a bifurcation in the time-series of both TCR and TRN to synchronous waxing-and-waning alpha rhythmic oscillations, similar to that corresponding to disconnecting the IN from the circuit as shown in Fig. 4.

In summary, the self-inhibition in IN effects beta band oscillations within an optimal range of connectivity parameter values; above this range, the IN inhibition proves itself insufficient to be effective on the TCR, which allows the TRN to be dominant and effect alpha rhythmic synchronous oscillations; below this range, the TCR power spectra promptly slows to the theta band, and then progressively to the delta band.

Discussion

Cortico-cortical and cortico-thalamic modulation is well known to be vital for delta band oscillations corresponding to slow wave sleep and/or reduced cognitive state. In the model, the only instance of delta band oscillations are simulated for the case corresponding to synaptic deletion of the self-inhibitory afferent pathway of the IN that effectively disinhibits the IN, thus increasing the feed-forward inhibition of the TCR.

Intuitively, increased inhibition would be expected to slow the oscillatory activity, and yet, the results indicate an increase in power in the lower beta band. Interestingly, cortical feedback to the thalamus is reported to be important for oscillations within the beta/gamma
(≥ 14 Hz) frequency bands (Saalmann & Kastner, 2011). Thus, the results suggest a possible role of the cortical modulatory feedback to the IN cells in regulating the state of information transmission in the geniculo-cortical pathway.

Based on these observations, we hypothesise that the cortical feedback targets the self inhibitory pathways of the IN cell population, which in turn affect either the slow wave oscillations of LGN in sleep, or beta band oscillations during alert cognitive states in the healthy brain. While it may not directly affect an alpha band oscillation, the cortical feedback may cause an increased self inhibition in IN cells, which in turn will lead to decreased effect on the TCR, thus allowing the TRN inhibition to dominate the output showing synchronous alpha rhythms. As a corollary, therefore, it may be speculated that disruption in the cortico-IN feedback pathway may lead to abnormal brain state transitions associated with neuro-psychological disorders.

- A progressive increase (decrease) of the parameter $C_{ii}$ shows a progressive decrease (increase) of power within both alpha and theta bands and an increase (decrease) of power within the beta band. STFT plots indicate that with progressive decrease of the effect of IN, the power spectra shifts right from within the theta band to the alpha band and synchronises with that of TCR. For $C_{ii} < 10$, the TCR and TRN time-series are phase-locked and display alpha rhythmic synchronous oscillations with amplitude waxing-and-waning.

- Decreasing the retinal input connectivity for the IN ($C_{ire}$) effects a significant rise of the alpha band power in the TCR output. For $C_{ire} ≈ 7$, the TCR and TRN are in synchrony, indicating the reduced effect of IN on the circuit. However, increasing $C_{ire}$ from its base value does not affect the model output.

**Discussion**

In summary, the results show that an increase (decrease) in feed-forward inhibition in the LGN causes reduced (increased) lower frequency oscillations and increased (reduced) lower-beta band oscillations. These observations conform to the intuitive understanding that a decreased inhibition in the circuit will lead to faster rhythms and vice-versa, thus displaying an inverse linear relation with the peak frequency. We have discussed (above) the correspondence between cognitive states and suppression of TRN activity by the IN. Indeed, experimental evidence suggest that the IN feed-forward inhibition serves to enhance the “sensitivity to visual features” (Wang et al., 2011a), and thus increase the overall efficiency of retino-geniculo-cortical information transmission (Dublin & Cleland, 1977; Hirsch et al., 2015). The model predictions validate these experimental reports.

A vital distinction between the two GABA-ergic efferent pathways of the IN is identified by the model — synaptic variation in the efferent pathway to the TCR ($C_{ii}$) bears a linear relation to the power spectra, unlike in the self inhibitory efferent pathway ($C_{isi}$), where synaptic depletion/enhancement shows a non-linear relation with the power spectra dominant frequency. Thus, it seems likely that these two pathways form parts of different circuitry in the thalamus: while the $C_{ii}$ may be a part of the cortico-thalamic feedback mechanism (discussed above), the $C_{isi}$ appears to be an intra-thalamic connectivity that aids retino-geniculocortical information transmission and regulates brain cognitive states. This hypothesis is further supported by identical effects of synaptic depletion in
both the retino-geniculate ($C_{ig}$) and the intra-geniculate ($C_{it}$) pathways, causing amplitude waxing-and-waning synchronous oscillations within the alpha band in both TCR and TRN implying a reduced cognitive state.

- The GABA$_A$ inhibitory feedback from the TRN to the TCR ($C_{atn}$) shows minimal effect on model output. However, there is a dramatic effect when the IN is disconnected from the network: The time-series of both TCR and TRN display bifurcation into a high amplitude limit cycle mode for $C_{atn} >$ its base value, while the frequency and STFT plots (not shown here) display strong harmonics of the peak power within the alpha band. The limit cycles and harmonics disappear for $C_{atn} <$ base values, and the output signal displays synchronous waxing-and-waning alpha band oscillations for both TCR and TRN.

- Decreasing the self inhibitory connectivity of TRN ($C_{nsi}$) causes a small but progressive increase of power within the theta band. However, removing IN from the network with a simultaneous decrease in parameter value induces a bifurcation of the time-series to a limit cycle mode for both TCR and TRN; the power spectra indicate the dominant frequency within the alpha band with strong second and third harmonic contents. Increasing the parameter value did not affect the synchrony between the TCR and TRN outputs. For both cases i.e. with and without IN, when $C_{nsi} = 0$, the dominant frequency of oscillation is within the theta band.

**Discussion**

The results are in agreement with the suppression of the TRN output in presence of the IN as discussed in Section 3.2: efferent and afferent synaptic pathways in the TRN in the model have minimal effect when the IN has the dominant inhibitory influence in the LGN circuit. Bifurcation to synchronous waxing-and-waning of amplitude as well as limit cycle oscillations are observed in both TCR and TRN when the IN is absent from the LGN circuitry. We note two vital model predictions:

(a) In (Saalmann & Kastner, 2011), the authors speculate that a disinhibition mechanism adopted by the TRN (by increasing its self inhibitory connectivity) may regulate the appearance/absence of synchronous oscillations in the TCR. However, the model predicts the IN as the ‘master switch’ that ‘enable’ such mechanisms. When the IN synaptic pathway is sufficiently depleted, the IN is ‘disabled’ in the circuitry, and both inhibitory efferent pathways of the TRN show significant role in TCR oscillations.

(b) Limit cycle oscillations are often associated with abnormal brain behaviour for example epilepsy (Suffczyński, 2000). Thus, the model predicts a homeostatic role of the IN in the LGN circuitry by controlling high amplitude synchronous oscillations of this nature. This raises speculation about a simultaneous disruption in the overall intra-LGN GABA-ergic synaptic mechanisms, which may cause sudden transition to high amplitude oscillations within the alpha and theta bands, thus simulating EEG markers in certain neuropsychological disorders.

In addition, the model predicts that with deletion of the self inhibitory mechanism in the TRN cells, the TCR output is ‘stalled’ within theta band, an EEG biomarker of drowsy states or reduced cognition. This is contrary to the speculations made in (Saalmann & Kastner, 2011), where the authors suggest an increased self inhibition in the TRN population as a possible mechanism for facilitating useful thalamocortical information transmission. The mismatch may be due to the lack of a cortico-thalamic pathway in the model,
and will need further investigation in future works with enhanced thalamo-cortico-thalamic circuitry.

3.4. Effects of leak conductance

Increased (decreased) potassium leak currents are known to affect the hyperpolarisation (depolarisation) of cell membrane potential, and show evidence of the critical role in normal (Goldstein et al., 2001) and abnormal brain oscillations (Gentiletti et al., 2015-16). Furthermore, anaesthetics are known to decrease excitability in muscles by increasing leakage conductance. To make a qualitative validation of these observations in our model, we vary the leakage conductances of all three cell populations in the model.

The $g_{\text{leak}}$ for any one cell population is increased to 100 progressively, while the values of the same for the other two cell populations remain at their base values of 10. A simultaneous variation of neurotransmitter concentration parameter $[T]$ is also made by varying $\sigma$. The results are summarised below:

- When $g_{\text{leak}} = 100$ for the IN cells, their mean membrane potential is hyperpolarised, causing a reduced effect on TCR and TRN, both of which show a depolarisation. However, for reduced values of the neurotransmitter concentration ($\sigma < 3.4$), the TCR cells are hyperpolarised.

- When $g_{\text{leak}} = 100$ for TRN cells, their mean membrane potential is hyperpolarised and the TCR cells are depolarised, and a smooth alpha to theta transition occurs with decreasing neurotransmitter concentration. However, if the IN is removed from the circuit, increasing $g_{\text{leak}}$ for the TRN population causes a depolarisation in both TRN and TCR cells. The time series of both cell populations (not shown here) display synchronous alpha rhythmic waxing-and-waning oscillations while the power spectra display harmonics of the dominant frequencies within the alpha band.

- When $g_{\text{leak}} = 100$ for the TCR cells, both TCR and TRN are depolarised, with a larger beta content in the power spectra for increasing neurotransmitter concentration. Both time series and power spectra display smooth transition to lower frequency bands with decreasing neurotransmitter concentration. Removing the IN did not show any drastic change in the output characteristics.

Discussion

The results imply that for a model tuned to oscillate within the alpha band and consisting of all three LGN cell populations, an increase of leak conductance in the inhibitory cell populations, IN or TRN, will lead to a depolarisation of the excitatory TCR cell population for normal neurotransmitter concentration levels, thus conforming to experimental evidence (Goldstein et al., 2001). Hyperpolarisation of the TCR is effected only when the leakage conductance of the IN population is increased, and under the condition of reduced transmitter concentration. However, if the influence of IN is reduced while leak conductance of TRN is increased, both TCR and TRN are depolarised leading to abrupt state transitions to high amplitude synchronous oscillations with dominant alpha band frequency; decreased neurotransmitter concentration under these conditions generate synchronous waxing-and-waning within the theta band.

Once again, the model predicts a significant role of the IN in maintaining a homeostasis in the LGN; it minimises any unwanted state transitions in the circuit that may be caused by increase of
the leakage current. The results suggest that the role of leakage potassium currents needs to be investigated further with experimental studies in the context of unwanted and abrupt transitions to slow oscillations in the thalamus.

In their experimental investigation on interneuron physiological characteristics, Pape & McCormick (1995) mention that membrane hyperpolarisation is affected by an increase in membrane potassium conductance, which in turn is effected by activating Acetylcholine (ACH) receptors. Cholinergic synaptic pathways are well known to play a fundamental role in affecting brain state transitions between wakefulness (vigilant) and sleep (non-vigilant) (Steriade et al., 1993). Furthermore, all cell populations of the LGN receive significant cholinergic inputs from the brainstem (Sherman, 2006), which play a dominant role in effecting alpha band oscillations in the LGN (Saalmann & Kastner, 2011). Thus, the effects of increased leak conductance in the model may be a simulation of the causality of brainstem cholinergic inputs to the LGN. This may be investigated further in future research on the model.

3.5. Simulating Steady State Visually Evoked Potentials: a case study

As a case study, the LGN model tuned to simulate alpha rhythmic output in an awake state is tested for simulating SSVEP output corresponding to a periodic impulse train simulating visual stimulus. The white noise input to the model as used in Section 3.1 – 3.4 is now superimposed by periodic impulse train of frequency $f \in (5 – 50 \text{ Hz})$ at resolution of 2 Hz. The amplitude of the periodic impulses are set arbitrarily at a value of 10 mV. With all parameters at their respective base values, the TCR time-series is in-phase with the IN time-series and anti-phase with that of the TRN population. The power spectra of both TCR and IN cells show similar characteristics with harmonics at integer multiples of the fundamental frequency. The STFT plots indicate the TRN power spectra as different from that of the TCR and IN with a reduced power content in the harmonics. However, when the IN is removed from the circuit, the TRN time-series is in-phase with that of the TCR and the output power spectra show a dominant component at 12 Hz, reflecting the synchronous alpha band oscillations as in Fig. 4. These results are consistent across all $f$ within the tested range. The results corresponding to $f = 6 \text{ Hz}$ is shown in Fig. 5, and those corresponding to $f = 8 \text{ Hz}$ and 16 Hz are shown in Fig. 6. We note that for $f = 8 \text{ Hz}$ in Fig. 6, while power at the fundamental frequency (8 Hz) is similar to that at 12 Hz, power in the harmonics are reduced significantly in comparison to the case when the IN is in the circuit. Furthermore, for all $f > 12 \text{ Hz}$, power at the fundamental frequency is slightly greater than that at 12 Hz, all other output characteristics remain the same; this may be observed from the power spectral density plots in Fig. 6 for $f = 16 \text{ Hz}$.

Discussion

An interesting report by (Babadi et al., 2010) suggests around 33% of TCR cells in the LGN receive ‘locked’ feed-forward inhibitory inputs from the IN; ‘locked’ (‘non-locked’) refers to the case when TCR and IN receive inputs from same (different) retinal ganglion cells (RGC). Furthermore, output of locked TCR cells bear a high degree of correlation with RGC inputs and is speculated to be a mechanism for increasing LGN response precision (Blitz & Regehr, 2005). In the model, both TCR and IN receive the same retinal input for a particular simulation; thus the model output may be thought to simulate locked TCR response. The results are in exact agreement with experimental reports of locked TCR response: the spike times in the time series outputs of both TCR and IN in Figures 5 and 6 follow the impulse timing of the input time series. Thus, while we did not consider this aspect (i.e locked/non-locked IN cells) in our model design,
the validation with experimental studies imply a degree of robustness in the model; however, this needs to be tested thoroughly prior to making claims.

The appearance of synchronous alpha rhythm in the model output with abolition of IN inhibitory input to the TCR is consistent with our previous results in Sections 3.2 and 3.3. The results underpin and confirm the dominant role of TRN in generating synchronous oscillations. Furthermore, the time series corresponding to synchronous oscillatory TCR output is un-correlated with the input spike train, but correlated with the TRN output. This is an interesting phenomenon in the model considering that the TCR continues to receive direct retinal spike train input, possibly implying a greater significance of the indirect sensory pathway of the TCR via its afferent pathway from the IN. Along these lines, synchronous oscillations in the LGN are suggested as a mechanism for decorrelating retinal input from the thalamic output in order to reduce the efficiency of information transmission in the geniculo-cortical pathway (Saalmann & Kastner, 2011). The model validates these speculations and further predicts that: the dominant role of the TRN is facilitated by what appears to be a separate circuit mechanism that inhibits the IN cells beyond a threshold, so that these are in a ‘disabled’ mode and have minimal inhibitory influence on the TCR cells.

Overall, the preliminary results on the model simulation of SSVEP validates experimental research reporting significant role of IN in modulating visual input that is relayed to the cortex by the TCR population (Lörincz et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011b; Hirsch et al., 2015). We hypothesise that any anomaly in the IN circuitry will disturb the normal processing of visual information during an awake cognitive state; some variant of the IN pathway disturbances may also induce abnormal brain state transition to high amplitude synchronous oscillations.

4. Conclusion

We use a neural mass computational model of the thalamic lateral geniculate nucleus (LGN), implemented with synaptic kinetics, to understand the effects of the inhibitory interneuron (IN) population on the LGN dynamics. The IN population constitute around 20 – 25% of all sensory information carrying thalamic nuclei in mammals, and in the LGN of rats. Specifically in the LGN, the IN cells receive around 47% of their inputs from the retinal spiking neurons. It is thus not surprising that extensive research have investigated the functional significance of the IN afferent and efferent synaptic pathways in precise spatial and temporal transmission of visual information to the cortex (see (Hirsch et al., 2015) for a review). In contrast, very little research has looked into the role of the feed-forward inhibitory pathway of the IN in normal and abnormal thalamocortical dynamics of health and disease, for example (Crunelli et al., 1988; Zhu et al., 1999a,b); these studies have emphasised both physiological and functional importance of the IN population in modulating LGN oscillatory activity. A similar trend is evident in computational model-based research on thalamocortical dynamics of health and disease that largely ignore the feed-forward inhibition by the IN; rather, the emphasis has been on the feedback inhibition by the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN) population. The interest in IN is revived in a recent set of experimental studies by Lörincz et al. (2009, 2008) emphasising a vital role of the IN in modulating LGN oscillations in an awake cognitive state. In a previous work on a thalamocortico-thalamic model (Bhattacharya et al., 2011b), we have shown that the IN plays a role in ‘slowing’ (left shift of the power spectral peak frequency) of alpha rhythms (8 – 13 Hz) recorded from the thalamo-cortical relay (TCR) cells and in the presence of cortical inputs, simulating an EEG biomarker of Alzheimer’s disease. However, the non-triviality of the parameter space in the model puts a constraint on an in-depth understanding of the essential thalamic influence on
cortical dynamics and vice-versa. Instead, we suggest that a ‘bottom-up’ modelling approach, where the LGN cell dynamics corresponding to retinal input in both resting and awake conditions are studied independently of the cortical input, may better serve our goal of understanding the underlying cellular mechanisms of the IN circuitry and their role in the overall dynamics of the LGN. Indeed, the pioneering experimental studies that have provided the current fundamental knowledge about the thalamus were based on in vitro studies of LGN slices from mammals and rodents, when disconnected from the cortex. Here, based on insights from our prior model-based work, we follow the precedent set by the early experimental studies and model a de-corticated LGN responding to extrinsic input from the retinal pathway.

Several studies have shown that the LGN dynamics recorded from the TCR cells as local field potentials (LFP) are correlated with an electroencephalogram (EEG) recorded from the occipital (visual) cortex. Thus, the time-series and power spectra from the TCR cells are considered as the ‘model output’ and are validated qualitatively with reports of LFP (thalamus) and EEG (cortex) in existing literature. In Sections 3.1 – 3.4, the sensory input is taken as the background firing activity of the retinal ganglion cells in an eyes closed condition and is simulated with a ‘white’ (flat power spectra) random noise. In Section 3.5, a case study is made to simulate Steady State Visually Evoked Potentials (SSVEP) in the model, a research paradigm that is increasing in popularity, owing mainly to a direct correlation of the recorded brain response to a periodic input stimulus (see (Norcia et al., 2015) for a review). Here, the model input is simulated with a periodic impulse trains at a constant frequency in the range 5 – 50 Hz, and superimposed on a white random noise, thus mimicking flashing LED lights provided as visual stimulus in SSVEP experimental studies.

The goal in this work has been to investigate the role of the afferent and efferent synaptic pathways of the IN population in effecting state transitions in the LGN circuitry. Towards this, systematic parametric variations with respect to their base values are explored in the model. The effect of synaptic depletion in the IN feed-forward pathway to the TCR is tested alongside the parametric variations, the objective being to draw a comparison with previous studies that ignore the IN population. The results validate several experimental reports and may be summarised thus: First, the IN population play a dominant role in efficient information transmission in the retino-geniculate pathway by suppressing the TRN feedback inhibitory effect on the TCR cells. Second, bifurcation to high amplitude synchronous oscillations are effected in the TCR cells with synaptic depletion and/or deletion in the feed-forward inhibitory afferent from the IN. A simultaneous decorrelation of the TCR output with retino-geniculate input is observed, thus effecting a transition from an awake state to a state of reduced cognition. Third, the IN plays a ‘homeostatic balancing’ role in the LGN circuit, and its absence or reduced impact (owing to other related parametric deviation) may be speculated to aid unwanted periodic oscillations in the LGN that are often biomarkers of neuro-psychological disorders, for example ‘thalamocortical dysrhythmia’ (TCD) in schizophrenia or ‘slowing of alpha rhythms’ in Alzheimer’s disease. At the same time, a smooth transmission from alpha to theta band with a reduced inhibitory effect of IN simulates EEG of wake-sleep transitions. (The reader may please refer to Section 3 for detailed discussions, model validations and predictions).

The bidirectional connectivity between the thalamus and the cortex are well known to be fundamental to brain rhythms (Sherman, 2005; Destexhe, 2008). Specifically, the cortico-thalamic input is speculated to be a key factor in transition between a drowsy state showing theta rhythmic EEG to a deep sleep stage with delta rhythmic oscillations (Abeyuririya et al., 2014). However, for aforementioned reasons, the cortico-thalamic inputs are not modelled in this work. This explains the distinct lack of delta rhythms in the model output, the exception being the case...
corresponding to the total synaptic deletion in the self inhibitory pathway of the IN population. However, a reduction of the synaptic strength in the pathway, and within an optimal parameter range, effects beta band oscillations in the model output. This non-linearity between power spectra and IN self inhibitory mechanisms suggest a modulatory role of cortical feedback on the IN population. Along these lines, an experimental study (Lörincz et al., 2008) report that the impact of IN on thalamic oscillations could be identified only upon application of a cortico-thalamic stimulus. We hypothesise that the cortico-geniculate feedback to the IN targets its self inhibitory mechanism: a deletion of the pathway would lead to delta oscillations in the LGN; a suppression of the pathway would allow beta oscillations corresponding to an alert cognitive brain state and enhanced information transmission; consolidating the pathway will lead to high amplitude synchronous oscillations corresponding to a reduced cognitive state. Conversely, a disruption in the cortico-thalamic pathway will inevitably effect abnormal oscillations in the LGN. This is supported by various experimental studies, for example TCD is reported to arise only by interplay between the thalamic and cortical cell populations (Sarnthein & Jeanmonod, 2008, 2007).

Ongoing work will extend our model by incorporating the thalamo-cortico-thalamic closed loop circuit to test this hypothesis. One other inconsistency in the model is that the GABA_B pathway does not seem to have a significant effect on the model output, and thus does not conform to experimental reports (von Krosigk et al., 1993). This calls for a further investigation of the parameters in this pathway, which is also expected to add to the dynamic repertoire of the model.

In conclusion, our model-based study implicates the IN population as a significant and vital constituent of the retino-geniculo-cortical pathway, regulating the state transitions of both TCR and TRN populations, and maintaining an overall homeostatic balance in the LGN circuitry in a normal awake state; any direct or indirect disruption to its synaptic mechanisms may cause unwanted brain rhythms that are EEG and LFP markers of neuro-psychological disorders.
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Figure 4: (A) The time-series plots show the phase-locked waxing-and-waning oscillatory patterns within the alpha band, (hallmarks of EEG and LFP in a quiet awake state with eyes closed, and resting) in both TCR and TRN, and in the absence of IN in the circuit. The average membrane potential from 15 to 25 seconds of the total simulation time of 40 seconds is show-cased and may be compared to the time-series plots in Fig. 3, corresponding to when the IN is included in the circuit. (B) The bar plots for TCR (Left) and TRN (Right) show a comparison between when the IN is in the circuit and when the IN is disconnected: In the former case, the TCR and TRN have different power levels within the specified frequency bands. The dominant frequency of the TCR is within the alpha band, while the dominant frequency in the TRN is within the theta band. In the latter case, i.e. with the IN is removed from the circuit, the proportion of power within the delta, theta and beta bands in both TCR and TRN are negligible in comparison to the power within the alpha band. (C) The STFT plots in the lower panel (without IN) show a fairly stationary signal for both TCR and TRN with a peak at ≈ 12.5 Hz in the absence of IN. In comparison, when the IN is included in the circuit, the STFT plots indicate a broad power spectra spanning the theta, alpha and lower-beta bands and is similar to that of the IN. However, STFT plots for the TRN output lies within the theta to lower-alpha region (4 – 7 Hz), implying suppressed dynamics in the presence of IN.
Figure 5: The steady state visually evoked potential (SSVEP) simulated in the model corresponding to an input periodic impulse train at 6 Hz superimposed on a white random noise. (A) A representative sample of 1 second between 24th and 25th second of the total simulation time is shown for all three cell populations. The TCR and IN are in-phase. The TRN is in anti-phase with the TCR. (B) The power spectral density plot shows the fundamental frequency correlated with the periodic input frequency (not shown here explicitly). Without IN, the TRN time series is in-phase with the TCR (not shown) and the dominant frequency is at 12 Hz, thus following the inherent circuit frequency with white noise input (see Fig. 4). (C) The STFT plots show the stationary nature of the simulated SSVEP at the fundamental and harmonic frequencies. (Top panel) With IN in the circuit, the TCR and IN show similar attributes and the TRN frequency response is suppressed, although the fundamental frequency follows the input frequency. (Bottom panel) With IN feed-forward synaptic pathway deleted from the circuit, the TRN and TCR are synchronised and oscillates at a dominant frequency of 12 Hz.
Figure 6: The Steady State Visually Evoked Potential (SSVEP) output of all three cell populations in the model during 1 second of simulation time, arbitrarily selected between 24 and 25 second of the total simulation time of 30 seconds, for a periodic impulse input at (A) 8 Hz and (D) 16 Hz, superimposed on a white random noise. The power spectral density plots for both (B) 8 Hz and (C) 16 Hz reflect the periodic input. With the IN disconnected, a strong frequency component is observed at ≈ 12 Hz.
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