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BACKGROUND

Are users of CSEM likely to “cross-over”? 2% went on to commit CSO; follow-up period <6 years (n = 2630)†

What are the types of individuals engaging in offences relating to CSEM?‡
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How do we explain this type of offending?
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RESEARCH AIMS

Provide first direct comparison of detected and undetected offenders

Identify differences between contact-driven and fantasy-driven offending

Part of the first empirical validation (and expansion) of the M-F Model

To inform the development of prevention strategies targeted at these groups

Provide empirically derived suggestions for treatment programmes

METHOD
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FINDINGS

Why did you access CSEM?

“Thoughts of disgust and being a monster… disgust with myself for watching the exploitation”

“I felt out of control with my use of pornography”

“So, why do you stop?”

“I just made an effort to stop looking”

Children, Internet, & Sex Cognitions

Sexual contact between adults and children causes harm to the child

Call for Participants

Are you male?
Aged 25–60 years?

We are currently seeking volunteers to take part in an online survey looking at uses of the internet for sexual purposes.

For further information, and to take part, please visit the following link:

http://bit.ly/1UgRd5U
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